# MISSALE ROMANUM

OR THE

DEPTH and MYSTERY

OF

# ROMAN MASS

Laid open and explained for the use of both Reformed and Un-Reformed

Christians.

# By DAN. BREVINT D.

Hos. 8. 11.

Because Ephraim bath made many Altars to sin, Ales

THE SECOND EDITION

OXFORD

THEATEN



UMI

# William Jucker 1760

# The Preface.

HE bold prastice of Papists at this day, who not ouely boast and own their profession, but by all false waies of lying and misrepresentation, labour to pervert others to it; renders it fit, that all the World sould be made to know, both what Popery is, and what entertainment it deserves. As ignorant teople, who know little or nothing of Rome, but from a Pamphlet or Gazet, incidentally mentioning his Holine's, facred Colledge, and holy Conclave, may be induced to fancy it to be no less then a heavenly Jerusalem; so they also, whose eares are continually filled with the ancient and Venerable Expressions of Catholick Faith, holy Fathers, General Councils, perpetual Succession, S. Peters Chair, ingeminated at all times by the Romanifis, may by the very found of such words, be somewhat distosed towards an advantagious conceit of the Romis Religion it self. Continual objects, we know, whether by hearing or seeing, will leave in the fancy or mind of Men some kind of impression : and if the Ephesians cry up day and night the Greatness of their Diana, 'tis hard if the Neighbours be not tempted to think in good earnest, that this Idoll is a Goddess. Therefore I have here endeavoured to fay as much concerning Mass, as may with Gods bleffing prevent this dangerous Inchantment, and fecure boneft Christians from being cheated with false wares upon the account of a fair gloß or outside. The most successful Policy, that commonly Papists make use of for catching others, is to keep themselves within general terms and Commendations of the Catholick Church: and after they have be ted up what they can say of the Infallibility and Faith of S. Peter, they confidently adorne their own Church and Prelacy with all these Titles of honour. Thus a stupid Asse may seem to of the Catholick Church : and after they have bested up be regardable under the skin of a Lion, and the Calves of Jeroboam might thus have charmed the ten Tribes with the Promises and Priviledges of Israel. Here therefore my

The Preface.

pose is, to pull them out of there plausible Generalities, wherewith they involve themselves to entangle others: and bring down Jeroboam strait to Bethel, there to stew him, that neither his Calves nor his Priefts have any share with the true Israel of God. To avoid general Wranglings, where Imposiure is more specious, and Convistion more difficult, I will not distute the truth of what they contend for, viz. that the Catholick Church cannot erre, or that they are the Catholick Church. But let them come to any confiderable Particulars : as for Example; let their daily worship be one; I wish for no better Evidence, wherewith to demonstrate, that that Church which I do find in such a dangerous errour, is neither an Infallible Church, nor any found member of the Catholick. This way of proceeding may both keep common Christians upon a Ground which they skall understand far better, then universall Abstractions; and conclude Wranglers to such a compass, as cannot afford them those shifts, that a general theme is subject to. For let them exalt as high as ever they can the Virgins of Israel and Juda, I am sure the Woman, whom I find committing lewdness under a green Tree, is none of them: and let all good Christians amplify, and not restrain (which latter will prove a thankless office) the favours of Christ to his Church, and the influence of that Spirit that is to lead her into all Truth; What is all this to Rome, who hath run ber-self out into a greater number of pernicious Errours, then the can find in the whole Creed fundamental Articles of faving Faith? To make this good, I begin with Mass Sacrifice, which is none of those Blemistes that sometimes are seen in good Faces, nor of those private Ulcers that can endanger but one member; but like the Plague in that Churches Heart, and in the most essential part of her worship, that doth pefter the whole Body, and destroy the main end of Christian Religion. In the opening of this Disease, I have used all fincerity, and as much moderation besides, as the matter in hand was capable of. Onely I am forced sometimes to call lying with another mans wife, Adultery, the Adoring vile Creatures, Idol-worship; and invading the Sacred

### The Preface.

Sacred Offices, Sacriledge: and if Roman Catholicks think these expressions to be uncivil, and after their ordinary wisdome, instead of answering just Accusations, complain. that Ireproach them; I must answer some-what like Elijah in the like case, that they are the onely men who have reproach'd themselves: for I onely say that which they do. and I have taken especial care to throw nothing upon their Face, but what I find in their Bosome. I hope this honest Dealing will appear to any one who will take notice, that I say nothing material to their prejudice, but I produce for it some one or other of their best Authors, and among them sometimes some of their Saints. If now and then I forbear it, 'tis in such known matters, that no man that hath any skill in these things, can doubt of my sincerity. And if sometimes also I pass what I know they will answer; it is because I do expest they should make their Answers themselves, and give me some opportunity of enlarging what I have to fay farther, without interrupting my Discourse with unseasonable Digressions. In the mean while, my best wishes and endeavours are, that truth may be fully known on all sides; and I would think it no less sin to make Papitts worse then they are, then to make Widows more destitute, or poor naked people poorer. It is true, I publish in this Book several things, which they do not preach, and which perhaps many of their most zealous Disciples never heard of : but I say not one word but what they publickly teach in their Schools. If they do not preach it also in their Churches, or there deliver it in such a scholastical style, as cannot be well understood but by themselves; it is out of a great care they have, least their People be offended with knowing too much of their Mysteries: I hope we may be allowed to take the same care, that our own be not seduced, because they know too little of them. But however to leave it to their best discretion, how far they will be concern'd to make their People either knowing, or ignorant; what bere I now publish, is nothing less then the fundamental (though hidden) part of what they preach. Roman Mass, worship, and service cannot subsist, unless it be propred

### The Preface.

up with these and more Absurdities; nor can any considerable stones of their Altars stand together, without being made fast with such dirt. Therefore 1 ma'e it my businels, ( as I think in conscience it is my duty, esfecially at this time ) to vent what others (mother and keep close; and to bring forth out of their Altars, up to their Gates, and into our Streets such dismal pieces of their Catholick worship, as whosoever views them well, shall have, I bope, no great temptation to look over-friendy towards Rome. In order to this end, I have taken special care to use no Arguments, but such, as all sorts of men can understand, and to lay aside all weapons ( how good and Grong soever) that cannot be well handled without some Philosophy and Scholarthip: my present intention being only to instruct all common Christians, and to lead them in a plain way, where afterwards they may see enough to lead themselves. When the Patrons of Mass worship shall run for more safety among the Thorns, and into that intricate Labyrinth of scholastical Distinctions, and Terms of Art, where any foolish Herefie, as well as Mass, may find shelter, it will be soon enough to follow them thither, and to rescue thence Sense, Scripture, and common Principles of Reason, which their new Divinity seek to smother and abuse: And God in his good time will fend both his Light and his Truth, to confound these works of Darkness. Amen. .

## The Contents of this Book.

#### CHAP. I.

THE Causes of the ancient Exaltation of the Roman Church, and its pittiful Decay in Essential Points of Religion, especially about its solemn Worship, called Mass.

#### CHAP. II.

Of the ancient word and signification of Mass or Missa: and that the present Roman Mass is quite contrary to it.

#### CHAP. III.

The Nature and end of this new Mass: and upon what gross mistake it is of late brought in, to offer and to sacrifice the Son of God.

#### CHAP. IV.

The untruth and impiety of this Mass Offering.

#### CHAP. V.

What Vile and low Value the true Sacrifice of Christ upon the Cross is reduced to, by this continually reiterated Mass Offering.

#### CHAP. VI.

The greater Impiety of Mass Sacrifice: and what a horrid Mystery it were, if it were true.

#### CHAP. VII.

That this pretended Sacrifice cannot be really performed, without a fearful and barbarous crueley against Christ.

#### CHAP. VIII.

That its Idolatrie is as bad; and that no Pagan God ever had so many notorious Characters of being an Idoll,

## The Contents of the Book.

Idoll, as hath that, which is solemnly and directly adored at every Mass.

#### CHAP. IX.

Of the Altar, and the ten or twelve Miracles, that must attend Roman Priests at every Mass.

#### CHAP. X.

Of the office, Ministry, and order of this Roman Priesthood.

#### CHAP. XI.

A Discourse concerning the Priesthood of Melchisedek, and Christ. And a clear Demonstration that Roman Priests are not Priests after this Order.

#### CHAP. XII.

That neither Roman Priests, nor Roman Sacrifice have so much as any probable ground in Scripture.

#### CHAP. XIII.

That the literal and proper sense of This is my Body, and others words belonging to the blessed Sacrament, destroys both Transubstantiation and Mass.

#### CHAP. XIV.

That therefore the Roman Priesthood, as far as it attempts to offering and sacrificing really the Son of God, is a most Sacrilegious Office.

CHAP.

# CHAP. I.

Concerning the Exaltation, and Decay of the Church of Rome.

HE World cannot afford a fadder Instance of what our Saviour Christ lamented once about Capernaum, Matth. 11. 23. then what impartial Christians see accomplished in the spiritual condition of Rome. This Church reputed to have had her Foundation laid by the hands of two great Apostles, S. Peter, and S. Paul; and immediatly after them raised yet higher by the Pastoral care, and cemented with the Blood of about thirty Martyrs, who all were Bishops there: upon this account, fince her very beginning, has ever appeared most eminent and venerable amongst Apostolical Plantations. Beyond this, the City, wherein She dwelt, was both the Head of most Nations, and the most ordinary Rendez-vous of Mankind: which circumstance added also many Cubits to her Stature, amongst, and, I may fay, above all other Patriarchical Churches. For either by a civil Congruency, or by other confiderations of Prudence, this Practife was held in the Church, even before General Councils, as it appears by the Nicene a Canons, that Episcopal Dignities, which otherwise as to their character were all equal, should as to their exterior Order and Dependency, take

2 Condl. Nican. Can. 6. 7.

A

fome

fome Preeminence from the Secular Dignity, and Jurisdiction of their Cities. And this is the very reafon wherefore Antioch, and Alexandria, great Head Cities then in the World, raised presently their own Bishops to the Dignity of Patriarks: and the fecond General b Council, which was confirmed by two cothers that followed next, allowed the Bishop of Byzantium, which before was but low, to take place before all, next to the Bishop of Rome, as foon as Byzantium was grown to be Constantinople. and made the Seat of the Eastern Empire. Thus the ancient Roman Church, being then a City built upon feven Mountains that did overtop the whole World, and as it were a Light burning upon feven high and conspicuous Candlesticks, became very remarkable, and was defervedly looked upon as the Principal Church of the World.

This Luster and Principality received afterwards very great improvement from the Conversion of the Emperours: Who being then most zealous in propagating Religion throughout all the parts of their Empire; and taking, as it was sit they should, the Bishops for their Directours, when either Churches were to be built up, or Heathenish Temples to be pulled down, or General Councils to be assembled; or in a word any thing done, that might advance Christian piety: it could not be otherwise, but great notice should be taken of, and from all parts addresses made to, those worthy Prelats, whom then the Emperors had not only in their Court, but even as it were in their Bosome.

Next to the Emperours favour, that which much advanced, and most justly raised the Credit of the

Roman

fi

n

C

rith

Fa

th

ye

cu

lik

the

on

rian

We

b Concil. Conftant. I, Can. 3. Concil. Chalcod. Can. 28.

Roman, and other Bishops in the West, was their Soundness in the Faith, during those dayes that most Churches in the East were either infected with the Heresy of the Arians, or persecuted by their rage. For then all the Latin Bishops enjoying the happines to live under fuch Masters, as both continued Orthodox, and commonly had fome influence upon the Eastern Emperours, who did not fo : and these worthy Bishops of Rome, being then fometimes as zealous to move their Emperours to help and countenance the found Doctrine, as either the Emperours or Bishops of the contrary fide were bufy to adulterate or destroy it: If in any part of the World any good Church, or good Bishop were in distress, the Roman Church did most commonly hear of it; being then the most comfortable Sanctuary that fincere-Profesfors could run unto, when they were either turn'd out of their Churches, or banish'd out of their Countries.

Thus her Bishops, during well neer five hundred years after the time of the Apostles, proved (at least many of them) the stoutest Champions of the Faith; if not to maintain it by their writings, (for they never were celebrated for much learning) yet to seal it often with their blood agaist persecuting Pagans; or else to help and protect it with their credit against Donatists, Arians, and other

like false Christians.

Lastly, what compleated the honour and Authority deferred to the Roman and Western Bishops on these accounts, was the unhappy Jarrs and Variances, which, during these Arian persecutions, the very Orthodox, whether Bishops, or Believers, were apt to have amongst themselves. For as the

A 2 Arian

S

f

n

 $\mathbf{f}$ 

١,

r

1-

0

at

e,

ıy

ly

c.

ch he

an

Arian Faction did not blaspheme every where in the same degree, but some kept close to the expresfions and refervednes of Arim, some went farther, and some followed him but half way : So the Orthodox Party likewise, though they had but one heart and one Faith, as to the main Fundamentals; yet they had not all one Mouth, either to defend, or express it : but herein every one did take the liberty to follow, whether his own judgement in the Method of afferting the true Doctrine, or his Prudence in preserving, whensoever it could be done, the peace and union of his Flock : till at last this kind of variety bred fuch mistrust and Jealousy among the very best of them sometimes, as it appears by S. Bafil, that they suspected one another, and oftentimes thought themselves sure of nothing besides the Nicene Confession, unless they had it from Rome, or Italy, the parts where these Points had not bin disputed, nor the Bishops ever tempted either to wrong, or disguise the truth. It was in those dayes, that even S. ferom, who in his retirements in Syria used to be Tutor to the Bishop of Rome in many points of Divinity, professes he would beleive nothing in this, no not fo much as to admit the word Hypostafis, that is Person, or Subfistence (now common in the Latin Church) unless that Bishop would affure him he might do it. And upon this fame confideration, whenfoever Valentinian, Gratian, Theodofius, and such other Emperours, famous for their Faith and Piety, would bring their subjects in the East to their own Communion, they alledg'd most commonly as a

V

r Bo

A Sepul Gree, Nat. Ep. 20. p. 789. tomol. Edit. Paris. S. Hieronym.

prevailing inducement, that fuch was the Faith of Damasus, or Ambrosius, &c. Bishops then at Rome, and Millan.

But as the highest Floods are followed by lowest Ebbs, it is fad to observe, what visible decay the Church of Rome fell foon into from this great Exaltation. The first step which she made downwards, may very well be conceived to be her vain delight to look down on all below her felf, which often makes their heads giddy who stand in very

high places.

n

Cr,

t

t

r

-

n is

e ft

y

r,

g it

ts

-

ıs

.

P

e

IS

or

1-

t.

er.

er

.

n

a

m -

One of the most holy, as well as judicious Bishops of his Age (I mean S. Cyprian) fobserv'd some shrewd beginnings of that haughty spirit, even when Pagan persecution should in all likelyhood have kept it low. Affoon as better times, and the favour of the Emperours had made it warm, prefently appear'd with greater evidence the Occidental arrogancy, which S. Basil & takes notice of; and the fecular pride and pomp, which S. Augufin h and a whole Council taxes in the Roman Prelates, when yet they were very good Men; which are infallible proofs, both of this unhappy declination, and of the great difficulty to be both great and humble at once.

When this passion first began to slame, it prevail'd upon three of these Bishops, Sozimus, Boniface, and Celestin, otherwise deserving men, so far, as to fet them upon invading the common right and Liberties of the best Churches in the world (for such were in those dayes the African) either by a most shameful forgery, or, which is

<sup>1</sup> S. Cyprian, Epist. 1. 2. Ep. 1. ad Stephanum. Idem 1. 2. Ep. ad Quir 2 S. Basil. ad Euseb. Samos. Ep. 10. h Concil. Afric. sub Bonifac. Epist. ad Bonif. Et Ep. ad Celestin. i Ibid. less

This S. Gregory the Great, as they call him, was a great Saint, if you take the paines to compare him with those many Droves of Beares and Tygers, who have succeeded him. For if you search out the times past, and run over all Successions, either of Consuls from Brutus, or of Emperours from Cesar, or of Sultans from Mahomed; the best Historian shall be very hard put to seek, before he find among them all, as long a list of abominable Livers, as this Roman Sea, from this Gregory, can afford. Sometimes whole sets of Popes, as their own Authors doe confess, were advanced to that Dignity by known whores. Sometimes being Magicians, they advanced themselves to it by their own Art; and oftner by murther, and poyson. Cardinal Bembo fays, that

Gregory

<sup>\*</sup> Baron. ad An. Chr. 602. n. 19. k S. Greg. Regist. l. 11. Ep. 384 Luisprand. l. 2. c. 13. Baron. ad An. 908. m Fascic. tempor. de Serg. Iol. 61. " Card. Bemb. in vità Hildebrand. " Idem.

Gregory the VII. who first notoriously raised Popes above Kings, had an honest friend Brasutus, who to make him room fomewhat fooner, dispatcht this way many of his Predecessours. And to this purpose an honest P Bishop used to say with a sad al-Infion to Hebr. c. 9. 7. that their High-Priests did not often enter into their Sanctuary without blood, even of their own Brothers. Hell it self cannot suggest any kind of either crime, or uncleannes, adultery, incest, and sodomy, which you may not find in some Pope. And these Villains, or, as they themselves call some of them, Incarnate Devils, thronging ' by twenties and thirties one upon another, and fometimes two or three together, do make up that fuccession, that now a dayes the Church of Rome so much stands upon.

It is no wonder if these Men, having so notoriously put away all good Conscience, have also made fearfull shipwracks concerning Faith. Not to speak of those Popes, who, either out of Instrmity, as Marcellin, and Liberius: or out of Ignorance, and ill persuasions, as Zepherinus, Felix, Anaskasius, Honorius, John XX. John XXIII. &c. sided with Hereticks: and to mention only some of those Doctrines rhat have a general influence to poyson that whole Body; who knows not, that by degrees the Blessed Virgin hath been made the ordinary object of Roman Adoration; That Christ himself is by special Masses sacrificed to the honour of this Goddesse: and that by solemn Psalters, Bibles and Rosaries, the highest strains of Prayer and Piety, that

David

nd

es

et

ed

u-

of of

1e

n,

)

r,

of

d

u

S

p Fob. Sarisb. Polycrat. a Concil. Const. sess. 11. . Genebr. ad An. 901. p. 553. Miss. Paris. Miss. de B. Mar. p. 18. Palter. S. Bonav. u Biblia Maria. Where most part of the things contained in the Holy Bibles is applyed to the Virgin Mary.

Davidand other Saints, in their feveral times, were able to worship God Almighty with, are largely

bestow'd on her?

On the other fide, who knows not also how by a fearful fall from that Seat of Glory, where some Missals will have her \* to command our very Saviour; their Legends bring her down fometimes to fuch fervices, as no womman of ordinary honesty would undertake? As for example; for 15. whole years together to take at Church both the form and the Office of an incontinent Nun, least it should appear all the while, that she was out of the Convent, rambling up and down in bawdy Houses: and to appear before Judges in behalf of a Lady, who in the absence of her Husband, had enticed her own Son, and murthered the Child whom fhe had by that incest. Here Catholicks have exceeded Turks, and Pagans: these never raifed a creature fo high, nor those ever depressed the Bleffed Virgin fo low.

The Christian World hath no Church of any denomination or Communion but the Roman, that ever fought for falvation by trivial methods of meer human invention, that neither any Apostles ever taught, nor any Fathers of the ancient Church ever heard of. For instance; a vast a Treasure is pretended to be left in that Church, and continually supplied and filled up with new satisfactions of their Saints; which our Saviour did not think of in that Parable of his, where he makes Virgins so incredibly soolish, as not to know where to get oyl. A soveraign powers is said to be in the Pope,

\* Miss. Paris. ut sap. Y Magn. Spreeul. Titul. B. Mar. Exempl. 19.

Magn. Specul. Tit. Conf. Exemp. 7. a Clement. 6. Extra Unigenit. de

Panit. & remiss. b Ibidem.

which

which Paul and Peter never had, of distributing out of this large profusion of Indulgences and Pardons, even fometimes for thousand of years. Ro-Saries, c great and d small Offices are made and publish'd; for the recommending whereof to the special devotion of Christian people, many instances are produced to demonstrate, that the most desperate finners cannot dye without . Confession (although hangmen f cut off their heads, or fishes g eat up their whole bodies ) if upon certain days they will read these Books, or procure them to be read by others. Devotions and Fastings upon Saturdays are injoyn'd to the honour of the Virgin Mary; who upon this very account takes, as they fay, fometimes h Robbers, fometimes lewd Women, sometimes worse k Criminals into her protection. Scapularies 1 and other such instruments are recommended, whereby any man or woman may draw towards him, or her felf, the Benefit of all the Prayers, and fatisfactions, and penances, that whole Fraternities of Mount-Carmel, or S. Dominick, or S. Francis, have ever fince their foundation. fweated for. And to make all good, there are many Bulls, especially that which is call'd the " Sabbathine, confirm'd authentically by diverse Popes. Thus numbers of people, that have all imaginable reason to fear Hell, and can hope for no pardon from Heaven, as long as they live as they do, are eafily tempted to go to Rome; which now, more than in

AV

her

e Clavis magni Thefauc. B. Alanus. d. Al. Gazaus de Offic. B. M..
e R. P. Seraphin. Bazius Hort. Exemp. titul. de B. V. Ex. 29. Tho..
Gantip. de Apib. l. 2. c. 29. Ca farius Mirac. Et Hift. Mirab. l. 7. c. 39.g Alexis de Salo Meth. Adm. c. 7. fol. 151. Id. Mir. 68. h B. Alanus.
1. p. c. 19. Clavis, &c. 21. & 22. i Alexis de Salo ex Scalà Calt Privill.
3. k Id. Privil. 5. fol. 33. Chronic. B. Genit. p. 72. Aurea Corona Dominic. Sexages. m Bulla Sabbathina Johannis XXII.

her first original is become an Asylum to all Villany. - The very light of common Honelty, which all The original corruption of Nature hath not as yet bin able to put out, and which Pagans and Turks cannot forbear to reverence, is not fafe at this very day amongst many Doctors of that Apostolick and infallible Sea. Not to speak of those bloody Bulls, that undertake to dethrone Kings, and to give leave to their Subjects to be forfworn; nor of those infamous Licences, whereby a Mass Priest was allowed to keep, besides one Concubine at home, Tres Putanas, that is, among his other spiritual preferments three or four Whores, who pay him a weekly tribute of what they can get " by their trade. The rules of Christian Holines, that goes next to the Angelical, are of late times brought down fo low beneath the heathenish honesty, that great and eminent Directours, such as the Reverend Fathers, E scobar, Banny, Lessins, ec. can comfort tender consciences with a new Art, which they have found, of justifying almost any fin; either by directing intentions; or by virtue of what they call Probable opinion : or by some other good Method of teaching men, how it is lawfull, for example, for good Children, to be glad that their Father is departed : for careful servants, to pay themselves such wages, as they think they may well deserve, out of their Masters purse, without his knowledge, or consent : for Gentlemen to destroy one another upon such either affronts or injuries, as may be esteemed worth five thillings: for young Men or Women, upon lawfull occasions, to venture themselves into such

<sup>•</sup> Cornel. Agrippa de vanit. Scient. c. de Lenon. Sic enim Proverb. Eccles.
places.

places, where they can probably foresee, they shall be infinared to sin, &c. And though these Confessors meet in their Church sometimes with refractor, Consciences, which cannot admit of such Doctrines, these plump Casuists have the best seat and countenance in the Synagog, when their opposers are kept for the most part under a cloud.

Now fince these Guides dare be such knaves in a plain way, where all honest persons may see well enough to guide themselves: what can it be thought they may not dare about high Points and Mysteries, which none but learned Men can understand? And thus it happens unluckily, that that which concerns the Sacrissice and Sacrament of Christs Body, as it is both the most holy and proper center of as well invisible, as visible Christian worship, is now a dayes the most grossly and visibly abused. And if God give me life and health, I can most clearly demonstrate, that the Roman Clergy hath made choice of the most sacred place of the Church, there to set up the most pernicious sbuse, which they call Mass.

Avi CHAP.

# CHAP. II.

Concerning the mord Mass, and its ancient fignification.

ASSE, or Missa, is a word almost as old, as the corruption of the Latin tongue, whence it comes; but much older then the corruption of the Latin Church in that part of Service, which it

was used to fignify.

There are more then a thousand years past, since that Missa, or Mass, fignifyed generally the whole Publick Service of the Church: and more especially that part of the morning Service, which after the reading of Holy Scriptures, and Sermon, and fome Prayers, doth proceed to the celebrating of that most holy Sacrament, which we do call Holy Communion. And it came to be called Milla, that is Dismission, \* or sending away, because 1 no man was suffered to stand and remain in the Church, that either could not, or would not receive the holy Sacrament: and therefore such persons, of what condition foever, as had a mind only to fee and hear what was then faid and done, were all without any exception dismissed, and, if need were, turned out, after one of the Deacons or Exorcifes had cried with a lowd voice, b Si quis non communicat, det locum; that is, Whosoever will not receive, let him go forth. Ancient Latin Bishops,

before:

<sup>\*</sup> Alcuin. de Div. Off. de Celebr. Miss. ex 1sidor. 2 Exposit. Ordinis Rem. L. 2. b Greg. l. 2. Dial. c. 21.

before the rust of time had spoiled all, peremptorily enjoyned it so. After Consecration, says Pope Calixtus, let every one receive, who will not be turned out of doors. The Primitive Greek Church was not in this point less severe; wherefore St. Chrysostom preach'd in a publick assembly, That whosever doth not receive the holy Mysteries, and stands there to hear and behold, is an impudent and rash fellow; and like that unworthy guest in the Gospel, Matth. 22. whom our Saviour commanded to be bound hand and foot, and turned out: not because he sate at table, says he, but because, before any sitting, he had been so bold as to come in.

To this very purpose it was ordered by another express Canon, Si quis intrat Ecclesiam, &c. that is, If any man enters into the Church to hear the Scriptures, and at his own pleasure abstain from receiving the Sacraments, &c. we do enjoyn, that such a man be expelled out of the Catholick Church, till he undergo penance. Such a sin was it in those days to recede from the express and original Institution of Christ, Do this, take and eat, &c.

Hence any one, that is not quite blinded by his private concerns, may see 1. How wrongfully the Roman service now a days, (whence no man is dismissed, who comes to behold and to hear, but not to receive,) bears still the name of Masse.

2. How contrary it is to the old may of the ancient Church, as well as to the Ordinance of Christ.

3. What kind of Devotion it is in Roman Catholicks, to go duly every morning to hear Mass, which express Canons of the Church censure, no

<sup>\*</sup> De Confect. Dist. 2. Peracta. d S. Chrysoft. Epbes. c. 1. Hom. 3. Avaiχυνη Θ· κὶ ἰταιμος ἐξηκώς, ° Collett. Canonum S. Mart. Bracar. c. 83.

less than a most scandalous and disorderly action, with Excommunication and Penance. If the ancient office of the Exorcist were revived, whose business it was, as it appears by the old Roman Order, to cast out the Devils, and to bid the people, that did not communicate, to go out: If ancient Fathers, and Popes, and Councils, were hearken'd to; I am very sure, that the best entertainment that Roman Catholicks could expect from their devout and caily Mass hearing, were, if not to be excommunicated and expelled out of the Catholick Church among Demoniacks and Infidels, at the least, instead of kneeling before an Altar, to be defired to go and walk in the Church-yard. The very word Mass, that is dismissing or sending away, may intimate to them thus much: and thus this very Title, which Roman Priests do keep up still, as an ancient ornament to disguise and grace their new Service, stands against them, as an original evidence both to discover and condemn it.

# CHAP. III.

Concerning Oblation at Mass.

HEREAFTER we will take Mess, not according to the primary notion, as it was taken anciently, for that part of Divine worship, where the elements of Bread and Wine were by the Priest both consecrated to God, and distri-

10rdo Rom. de Div. Offic. Rome. 159 . p. 63,

buted

buted to the people: which is the Supper of the Lord in St. Paul, I Cor. II. 20. and Legitima? Missa, that is, the only due and lawful administration of the holy Sacrament, in the old Latin Church: But, as it is now a daies abused and understood by Roman Catholicks, for that other folcom Service of theirs, whereby they do pretend to offer unto God the Body and Blood of his Son.

How Mass came to be changed from that to this, that is, from being a Sacrament, to the being of a Sacrifice, and from the Sacramental Communication of the Body and Blood of Christ to Men; to a proper and real offering the same Body and Blood to God, must be a very great wonder to any Christian, who knows no other Rule of his Faith and Worship than the Institution of his Saviour.

For what we call properly Sacrament, is a Divine Ordinance, whereby Christ offers himself and his Blessing to faithful people, who receive them: And Sacrifice is, as it were, an opposite kind of Ordinance, whereby this faithful People are to offer and give up themselves, their Praises, their Prayers, and all such good works as God in his mercy will be pleased to accept of.

This, whether Sacrament or Sacrifice, is not like some Ceremonies, which Custome or Tradition, and lawful human Authority can freely bring in, and set up in the Church: It is an Essential Part of the Religion it self, over which none hath any instituting Power, but that Eternal God, who is to be worshipped by it. For who else could pre-

R Durant Rational. I. 4.c. 1. fol. 42, Walafrid, de Reb, Ecclef. c. 22. pag. 349. Edit. Rom. 1591.

fcribe the waies, either wherewith God will tie himself to send Blessing upon his Church; or fix the terms upon which he will be well served and pleased, when his Church returns them to him especially after those laws and judgements, wherewith in former times God hath so exemplarily expressed his mind against all attempts of this kind?

Numb. 16. 2. Chron. 26.

Now 'tis certain, that whatfoever our God and Saviour was pleased to order in this matter, as far as four infallible Authors, St. Matthew, St. Marks St. Luke, and St. Paul can express it, concludes all, both what he did, and what he commanded us to do, within the compass of a Sacrament to men. He took bread. He ble fed it; and he gave it to his Disciples, saying, Do this. Take, eat, &c. That it should be a Sacrifice wherein he should either offer himself, or command his Church to offer him up to God his Father, it appears neither by any word, nor by any Att of his; for there both his words and actions are directed immediately to his Disciples, and fuch special addresses to Men, are neither usual Ceremonies, nor likely proofs of any folemn Sacrifice and Adoration to God.

This strange attempt of Offering in Sacrifice the very Son of God to God his Father, is the pitiful

abortive of a strange and pitiful mistake.

It is very true, the Celebrating of this bleffed Ordinance, which our Saviour inflituted for a standing Sacrament and Memorial of his Passion, must needs be compleated by such Christian duties, as are evidently true Evangelical Oblations, and Sacrifices. For pious Communicants cannot look, nor must look upon that solemn Representation

of what Christ suffered for their fins, without an humble and contrite heart, which, in the fight of God, is a very great Sacrifice : nor without a fenfible and thankful heart, both to God the Father, who gave his Son; and to God the Son, who gave himfelf, which is a Sacrifice of Praise: nor without offering their very Bodies and Souls , and confequently what they have, and can do; which under the Gospel must be continually the Holy, and Li-

ving, and Reasonable Oblation. Rom. 12. 1.

From the very time of the Apostles, as far as we are able to trace up holy antiquity, whenfoever Christians met together, in order to publick worship, they began it with these oblations: and would have thought it as unlawful in their time, as it was under the law, to appear before the Lord with empty hands. Therefore they had them usually full (besides other Oblations, as their hearts or exigencies did fuggest) h with Bread and Wine: by which, however small oblations, they (as the Ifraelites before them did with few handfuls of corn) meant to engage and fanctifie unto the Lord the whole harvest, that is, their very Persons, and in a manner their Estates. Thus, according to St. Augustin's Divinity, the Church was offered in that very oblation which she did offer. Hence it is, that this Father tells k his new Christians, that this Oblation of Bread and Wine, made of many Grains and Grapes, doth represent the Mystical Body of Christ, that is, the Church made of many united members: according to that of St. Paul, 1 Cor. 10. 17. Because there is one Bread, we

h.S. Iren. 1. 4. c. 34. i L. 10. de Civ. c. 6. ut sup. Ep. 59. ad Paulin. & S. Aug. apud Fulg. de Bapt. Æthiop. c. ult. Isid. Hispal. in Levit. c. 6. being

being many, are one. And the better to represent the Unity and Union of this Rody, St. Ambrose thinks, that St. Paul will have the Corinthians stay all one for another, at the holy Communion, that the Oblation of those many, might be offered also at one time. Moreover, to the same purpose ancient and good Authors tell us, that this Oblation, which is to be made at one time, was of several measures of meal, (which the Priest took care to collect out of several Families) made into one great loaf; which represented, both at the Offertory, all the Members offering themselves to God as one Body; and in the Sacrament, the Body of Christlikewise, feeding

and maintaining all these Members.

These Oblations of Bread and Wine, which all Communicants were indispensably obliged to bring before Communion: and which holy Fathers " commend, as the general Christian Sacrifice, that fucceeded Jewish Offering; were brought, either from a Table standing in some further place of the Church, where the people had laid them down: or else immediately from the hands of the o people into the Quire, upon the holy Table or Altar; where the Bishop, or in his absence, some other Priest, did present them unto God, with most devout prayers (and some of them are yet to be found in the Roman P Missal) that God would be pleas'd propiciously to look down upon the Oblations which the people did presume to offer to him, as he did once upon the Sacrifices of Abel, of Noah, and of Samuel, &c. And this is the ancient Evan-

gelical

<sup>1</sup> Ambr. 1. Cot. c. 11. m Honor. Gemm. Anim. 1. 1. c. 66. Durant. Ration. 1. 4. c. 53. " S. Iren. 1. 4. c. 32. Ordo Rom. 9. & 13. P Miff. Rom. Dominic. 5. post Pent.

gelical Sacrifice of Bread and Wine, which, as S. Fulgentius <sup>9</sup> affirms, the holy Catholick Church, spread over the whole world, offers continually to CHRIST, with the Father, and the Holy Ghost, in Faith and

Charity.

at

O

it.

b

25

it

h

S

n

g

1

t

This Sacrifice being done, immediately after the Primitive Church proceeded to the Celebration of the Holy Sacrament: for which the constantly used some part of those offerings which the people had presented before: Thereby, imitating, as near as it was possible, both the example of ] E s u s CHRIST, who, for the use of the Communion, which he instituted at his last supper, took some of that Bread and Wine which he had sanctified before at the Paschal Oblation: and the Nature of those other more ordinary Sacrifices (whereof the Christian Eucharist is a most signal Antitype) which Moses called Shelomin, that is, Sacrifices of Peace; where first the Israelites did lay their Offerings at God's Altar, and where God having graciously accepted of them, did then with part of these, as with a Banquet of his own Goods, treat them liberally, and bid them to eat and drink, and to rejoyce before him at his Table. Deuter. 16. 11. So that we have a compleat Embleme of a perfect Communion, where Christian people declare by their small Oblations, that whatsoever they have is Gods: and where God, infinite in-mercy, accepting of small Offerings, returns and improves them into great Sacraments: and here both reprefenting, and facramentally prefenting the Body and Blood of his Son, declares also thereby, that

<sup>4</sup> Fulgent. de Fide ad Pet. C. 19. S. Theod. Pfal. 110. S. August. de Civ.

whatfoever he hath, and whatfoever his fon hath purchased with that Body and Blood; Heaven, mercy, and immortal happines, becomes his peoples.

To this purpose it was, that so much of that Bread and Wine, as might well serve, that all the Communicants should have a convenient Portion, being taken and set apart out of these Offerings, the Bishop or Priest did consecrate with these solemn prayers; that according to our Saviour's merciful institution (which in some Churches was read before, and in others after) r God would be pleased to send down on these Sacraments, the Holy Ghost; and so sanstifie them, that they might be the precious Body, and the precious Blood of his Son, to them who should

receive worthily, &c.

Now here is the Babel, where, for want of attending the order and language of holy Fathers, after ages have tumbled upfide down the nature of these holy things. The Roman Church doth misapply the Sacramental expressions of the Body and Blood of Christ, which the people are to receive, to the facerdotal prayers and Offices belonging to the Bread and Wine, which both Priest and People are to offer. and on the other fide, by misapplying these same prayers that concern only the Bread and Wine, which was anciently offered, to the other part of the fervice, that doth concern only the facramental administration of the Body and Blood of Christ, which is to be received; hath fo confounded and shuffled these two Offices out of their due and proper places: that the Priest says concerning the Body of Christ, what he should

<sup>·</sup> Liturg. S. Faceb. Ed. Morel. p. 26. Liturg. S. Baf. p. 58. Liturg. S. Chryfoft. p. 100. & 101.

fay concerning the Offerings of the People, namely. that God would be pleased to accept of the Sacrifice of his Son, as he did once of that of Noah, &c. which is improper, or blasphemous: and then instead of offering to God these sacrifices of Bread and Wine. which the people have brought from home, he takes upon him to present God with his own Son: and the Son himself with his own Body (upon pretence that the holy Fathers fay sometimes, offer f to. Christ) which is both absurdity and sacriledge. By these means, and with the same dexterity that the fellow in tS. Ireneus did, out of the precious stones belonging to a Royal Image, which he had broken, make a Fox; Roman Priefts have, out of these disjoynted and misconstrued pieces of ancient Worthip, made up their Mass. Thus by shuffling Tales, and disjoynted parcels of holy Scripture, have the Fews made up their Talmud, and Mahomet his Alcoran, with this fad difference withal, that neither Tems nor Turks have such a dismal impertinency, as is that, which the Mass mainly drives at: namely, that the Worshipper should really offer, and really Sacrifice his own God.

CHAP.

S. August. Epist. 118. ad Januar. c. 7. S. Fulgens. de Fide ad Petr. c. 19. S. Iren. adv. Heref. l. 1. p. 26. Edit. 1570.

## CHAP. IV.

Concerning Mass, as it is an oblation.

THE maine intention of the Mass, is first 1 to, offer up to God the Father the Body and Blood of his Son. This Body and Blood, fince his Refurrection, is not without the Soul: nor the Soul and Body without the eternal Godhead. So whole Christ, both God and Man, both the Saviour of all Men, and the Head of all Angels, the great God bleffed for ever, is before a Roman Priest, the ordinary Victim; which he may, whenfoever he pleases, so it be not after a meal, both lay his hands upon, offer up, and facrifice as really and properly, as Aaron could have offered a Calf. This is the grand Object of Romes Catholick Religion: and whofoever every morning goes to that Church, it is in order to have some share in this unreasonable Service.

For, both in Reason and Scripture, we are to offer our selves to God; which S. Paul calls our reasonable Service. Rom. 12. I. We must blikewise offer our Prayers, Praises, Elevation of Hearts, Tears of Contrition, virtuous Thoughts, just and charitable Vows and Works, &c. which in opposition to the Flesh and Blood of Levitical Sacrifices, the ancient

Fathers

b

h

n

n

in

de

do

lil

for

\$h

ta

it

w

Evi

Pfa

Vaf

<sup>2</sup> Concil. Trident. fest. 13. c. 1. Bellarm. de Euchar. l. 1. c. 2. b S. Chryfoft. Hebr. 6. Hom. 11. Meral.

Fathers use to call 'Sacrifices without Blood. We must also celebrate, and in a manner offer to God, and expose and lay before him the holy Memorials of that great Sacrifice on the Crofs, the only Foundation of Gods mercies, and of our hopes: in like manner, as faithful Israelites did, at every occafion, represent unto God that Covenant of his with Abraham their Father, as the original Conveyance of Blessings setled on his Posterity. And this is the d Sacramental Priestly office in the Areopagite: the commemorative Sacrifice ' in S. Chry fostom: and the Sacrifice fafter the Order of Melchisedek in S. Theodoret, which we folemnly do offer in the celebrating of holy Mysteries. All these things I say, and whatsoever else depends on them, it is our duty to offer to God, and to Christ, or rather to God by Christ: But that we should offer also Christ; himself, our Lord and our God, to whom we must offer our selves; it is a piece of Devotion never heard of among Men, till the Mass came in, to bring fuch news unto the World.

We have heard, and by the grace of God we do beleive, that God so loved the World, that he sent down his Son. John. 3, 16. and that God the Son likewise so loved the Church, that he gave himself for Her. Ephes. 5.25. But Mass sets here upon the Stage another kind of Tragedy; for God must take his Son again, when ever Romish Priests make it their business to send him back. And therefore what they do, if you will seleive some of them, is

e

t

S

<sup>€</sup> S. Iren. l. 4. c. 34. Athenag. Apol. pro Christ. Euseb. Demonst. Evang. l. i. c. ult. ἀναίμακί ⊕ γυσίω. d Dionys. Areop. Eccl. Hierarch. c. 3. συμεθολική ἱερφυρρία. ο S. Chrysoft. Hebr. c. 20. ε Theodoret. Pfal. 110. g Hug. de S. Victor. de Sacram. l. 2. p. 8. c. ult. ap. Vasquem.

called Mass, upon this double account. 1. Because God sends down his Son to the Priest at the Consecration, And 2, because the Priest sends him up to him back again by Mass Oblation. Therefore it is worth inquiring, when, and where, and by whom this reverse, and Antipodes of the Gospel was ever preached in the World, that whenfoever God gives a Saviour to Men, Men must return him

back up to God.

As in Religion, so in Justice, men ought not to make Vows or Sacrifices, but of what is both their own, and in their own actual power. King David once made it a Point of conscience, to confecrate what was his Neighbours, although he would have given it him. 2. Sam. 24. 24. No right Israëlite would have taken his Brothers Lamb; nor no good Subject his Princes meanest Servant, therewith to pay any of his Vows. And let the best Roman Catholick confult a litle with his own heart, whether it would be meritorious to deaicate, much less to Sacrifice to the Order of S. Francis, the least of his Popes Nephews (unless he happens to be his Father.) This familiar Instance, it may be, will enable him to judge what manner of Devotion it is, to lay hands on the Son of God, over whom he hath no Propriety, and to make him his Offering.

But suppose a man be so unwise, as to reckon the Lord of Glory among his other Possessions, whereof he may lawfully dispose; which certainly is no small folly. Yet this kind of Disposing, when bei ther lawfull or unlawfull, is no actual oblation, and the therefore no Mass, till he have his Gift in his hand, Say to lay it down actually upon the Altar. No man app in Israel could have bin thought to offer actually dist

Pigeons

u

is

aı

V

B

as

th

in

if

Bo

Pigeons, or Lambs, though by right they were his, as long as these were running in the Fields, and those flying in the Air. And will Romanists be so fond, as to pretend on earth to make an actual Sacrifice of that eternal God and Man, who fits and

reigns above the highest Heavens?

To make this good, they fancy that these few words, This is my Body, being seconded with ten \* Miracles, can bring down the Son of God at any time within their reach: and this Depth of abfurdity must be called in to help the other. But yet suppose (for this is not the place to dispute it) that these few words, and many Miracles, will either stretch the hands of a Romish Priest up to Christ; or bring our Lord and Saviour Christ down into the hand of the Priest: yet would this enchantment, though it were as true, as it is frivolous, but heap up incredible things, to support a most useles, and most ridiculous offering. For what idle busines is this, to fetch down expresly the Son of God, for this purpose, that, according h to their Prayer, an Angel may carry him up whence he came? Were ever fews fo mad, as, when they had their Bulls and Rams at Ferufalem, to drive them thence as far as Dan, in order to have them so removed, that after this circulation they might be presented son in ferusalem again? Must we think (as we must, ns, if this transportation be not idle) that the precious nly Body of Christ can become more acceptable by he being upon a Mass Altar, than it can be above at and the right hand of his Father? Is that Adorable and, Saviour like those imperfect objects, that will man appear more lovely when they are feen at a ally distance? Or doth the, whether holy or unholy, geons

)

t

S

n

t h

g

n-10

ht

;

it, est

rt. ch

aft

his

en-

is, he

<sup>\*</sup> See bere after Chapt. 6. h Can. Miff. Supplices te.

hand of a Priest offering our Saviour here below, make his Intercessions more gracious in our behalf, than they are above in Heaven, where he continually offers himself? Shall that most adorable Sacrifice become either more holy in it self, or more propitiatory for us, when a sinner doth present it? Or is not the Son of God near and present enough to his Father, by his sitting at his right hand, unless he be brought yet nearer by *Priests*, who are far-

ther from him?

Besides all this; it is a thing never heard of in Israel, that the Blood of any lawful Sacrifice, after it had been carried by the High Priest into the San-Eluary, was ever brought out thence again to the Altar: It remained in that Holy Place; and what was returned for that Blood, was not the Blood it felf, but the Bleffing which that Blood had procured. That whole Oeconomy was indeed an admirable representation of our Saviour's Priesthood and Sacrifice. The whole Fabrick of the Universe is his great Temple. The Earth and Air abroad, where he was crucified, is the outward Court, where he offered himself for Sacrifice. The Highest Heaven, which is the proper Seat of God, whether, at his Ascension, he carried the Blood of this Sacrifice, is his true Sanctuary. Thence, according to the manner of Aaron the High Priest, who represented him; he was to fend down (and so he did) on his Disciples, not his Body which he offered, but his Spirit, the fruit of nis Oblation : And thence, being High Priest for ever after the Order of Melchisedeck, he dispenses continually upon the Church, the gracious effects of his still powerful and lasting Intercessions. Otherwise it hath never been heard

Paulo

a

ir

al

ha

th

ev

du

is

80

25

to

M

are

hear

thar

LIMI

or scen, that any part of the Sacrifice that was carried up from the Altar through the Air towards Heaven, or by the Priest into the Sanctuary, which was the figure of Heaven, should return down upon the Earth; unless it were in unacceptable Sacrifices, which God by this token would shew he did abominate, when the sinoke and Persume, which was to ascend & disappear, was blown down back towards the Altar. Thus Mass begins in the degrading the Sacrifice of fesses Christ to the Oblation of Abel: & afterward brings it as low as the Sacrifice of Cain.

This new Circulation of one and the same Sacrifice, toffed up and down from Heaven to Earth, and from the Earth to Heaven again, is as clearly against the Gospel, as against all Levitical Laws. It is a fundamental failance, and very uncomfortable in essential Points of worship, to have no warrant from God's Word, to support us in what we do. For alas, what can men expect from fervices which they have forged to themselves, upon no other ground than their own fancies? But it is a great deal worfe, even dangerous and fatal, to affront clear and indubitable Declarations of God in Scripture. And it is clear and express in Scripture, Hebr. 9. 25. 28. &c. that Christ never offered himself but once : and as clear in the Roman Church, that Mass pretends to offer him up twenty thousand times every day.

To take off this open contradiction, and to fave Mass from impiety in this attempt, Mass Priests are driven to say, what sober Ears may tingle to hear; that k Christ indeed never was offered more than once, under his own form and figure, and that

0

S

n

er

1-

ne

at

od

0-

ni-

nd

nis

he

of-

en,

his

ce,

an-

im,

fci-

Spi-

ing

chi-

rch,

ting eard

or.

i Canon Miff. Supra qua. k Bell. de Miff. l. s. c. 25. Par. Ad loca ex

was upon the Cross when he shed blood: But that he may be for all this, and is offered as really as he was that once on the Cro(s, upon their Altars twenty thousand times in one day, under the form and figure of a Wafer, under the which he sheds no blood. But here sense and reason, as well as all lights and helps of Scripture, must stand aloof, and not approach near these Mysteries. As in former times the Manichees, an infamous fort of Hereticks, did beleive, that the Body of Christ was in the Sun, and in the Moon, and on the top of every Tree; Papists bring it lower, to every little crum of bread, and drop of wine, which they have confecrated after their way. And as if you could fancy David, with his proportionable and comely Body, fuch as you may probably conceive he had, running before his Enemies under the skin of a small Flea; because he said once, The King of I frael, that is Saul, is come out to seek a Flea, that is David, I Sam. 26.20. these Men believe, at least they teach, that the natural Body of Christ, being still as entire, and as big, as either it was upon the Cross, or as it is now in Heaven, lies hidden under the figure, and within the quantity of small wafers: and that these being confecrated, it may be on thousand Altars, and every one of them bruifed into as many pieces, the faid Body both is entire and whole in every one of then, & for all this, is one in all. This is it, which they call, to be under the Sacramental Forms; which the Apostle never meant, as they fay (and they fay true or nor ever did a fober man) when he faid fo express alth that Christ never was offered but once. And these in a fayings (call them, and think of them what you Stage

t

h

fo

fw

bu

wł

he

me

wh

S. August. 1, 20. cont. Fauft. c. 11.

please) are the main Props, that do support the

most solemn worship of Rome.

Certainly it is very hard, that Catholick worship must fall, unless it be kept up by these Manichean fancies and dreams. Yet can they not ferve half the turn; nor reconcile the Mass with Scripture. For how could the Apostle, with any either discretion or candour, absolutely deny, that Christ was ever offered more then once, referving in his own breast these limitations, which no man could have guessed at, Videlicet, in his own shape, or with effusion of Blood: or to redeem; if he be as really offered every day a thousand times at Mass, under the Sacramental shape of Bread (and under his natural one too, for that excludes not this ) or without shedding Blood: or with an intention of Appliing, what he hath done, when he offered himself for to redeem? Will any conscientious and sincere man affirm positively, that he never went, or went but once to Rome, if he go thither effectually every year under the Habit of a Pilgrim? Or will any true and judicious Historian say again and again, that Alexander never fought but once in his life, because he was wounded but once? Or will this wounded Prince, perfwade his Chirurgeon, that he never came to him and but once, to wit when he made him a plaster; when as it is most certain, that fince that time e of he came to him every morning to apply it? Such they mental refervations may be easily allowed in one, who never went to Rome above once in very deed; or never fought above one Battel in the Field; rell although he had made many fuch Journeys at night theilin a dream, and fought several Battels upon the you Stage. In like manner one may affirm very ho-

d

)\_

e

-

n,

:;

d,

ed

id,

as

ore

ise

me

ese

iral

as in

hin

ing

the

nestly, that he never saw the King but once, though he fees him often in his Picture: and that Christ never was offered but once, to wit really upon the Cross, though he be offered every day myftically in the holy Communion. But in Voyages, and Battels, and Offerings equally real and true (for they make Mass to be as real and true an of-Seing of Christ, as that on the Cross) such a distinction as this is too equivocal for an Apostle; tis scarce fit for a fesuite. But what more follows is yet worfe.

## CHAP.

What vile and low value the Sacrifice of Christ is reduced to, by being reiterated at the ce Mass oblation.

off HIS Mass Oblation, which by its strange to 1 presupposal contradicts both Sense and Reason fur and by its pretended Reiteration affronts the ex hat press words of holy Scripture, as I have shew'd mu dishonours also, and visibly destroys the infinite vir worth and dignity of that everlasting Sacrifice Tr which it pretends to reiterate.

For, if that first and eternal Sacrifice, which the Christ by his eternal spirit offered once upon the Pri Cross, have all that both sufficiency and efficacy the which can be procured by a Sacrifice: nothing i frai left, that can be done by a fecond, and so Mass Sa Sco erifice is out of doors; or if this second be needful, must be needful to supply somewhat, that wante inner

t

200

V

u

u

to m

to

CO

in the first. For if the first, sa s the Apostle (whether Covenant, or Sacrifice, it is all one) had been faultless, then should no place be sought for the second. Heb. 8. 7. S. Chrisostom is full and eloquent to this purpose. To be offered, says he, is a Conviction against the sinner: But to be offered more then once, is an evidence of weakness against the Oblation it self, &c. So Roman Mass is a reproach to the infinite value of Christs Oblation, being visibly grounded on this plain blasphemy, that Christs Oblation upon the Cross was desective.

To this Mass Priests confess, that the Oblation upon the Cross is alsussicient, and so needs not to be reiterated, as far as to redeem: But they maintain withal b, that this redeeming is beneficial

to no body, unless it be applyed by Mass.

Hitherto this Doctrine hath been the most sucthe cessful Piece of Wisedom, that Roman Clergy
could have thought of. For as they have this Mass
offering in their own hands, thereby they pretend
nge to distribute to whom they please, that vast Treason sure of Redemption, which the Sacrifice of Christ
ex hath purchased, and which their Mass (as they say)
with must apply. For, says Biel, as the Pope hath by
nite virtue of his supremacy the power of managing the
sice Treasure of the Church, and of giving sometimes a
most plenary Indulgence, sometimes an Indulgence for
hich the third part of Mens sins, &c. So may Bishops and
the Priests, because of the noble office, which they have in
act the Church, apply either to this, or that man, the
agricult and virtue of the Sacrifices which they offer.
It belongs not,

h

on

li-

ıd

or

f-

a

le;

WS

nto mum ut. c Gab. Biel & Can. Lett. 26. d Scot. Quodlib. q. 20.

fays he, to God alone, but also to the Prieft, to distribute the benefit gotten by the Sacrifice : because as it is in his power to determine his intention, whether he will offer for this, or that man : so it belongs to him to determine, to whom he will communicate, what is gotten by virtue of that Sacrifice. And the Angelical Sum in fewer words; Mass is beneficial to them to whom the Priest hath an intention to apply it. So by virtu of this applying Sacrifice, hath the Church of Rome easily got into her hand, another virtu of applying unto her felf, all the earthly Emoluments, which men, standing in need of mercy, are tempted upon this account, to exchange for such hopeful Applications. Hence came these brave Intentions to be bought at the dearest rate; and Altars fit for that purpose, as best attractives of bleffings, to multiply. And the use of holy Communion, by the due participation of it, as difficult, and dangerous, to grow out of common fallion, and in a great measure to cease.

It is pitty that this prosperous Policy hath neither common Justice, nor common sense, nor

tincture of Divinity to colour it felf.

1. No Justice; for the Sacrifice of the Cross, being by Christ offered unto God by way of Redemption, and payment for men kept in prison for debt; if another Sacrifice be needful, then are two full Payments required for one debt; and Christ having fully satisfyed Divine Justice by one Sacrifice, must again offer a second, as good as the first, that this first may be applyed to whom it is intended: just as if after I had paid the whole summe, that my Friend is pursued for, his Creditor would have him or me pay again the like fumme

UMI

8

ti

e

bi

3.

R

the

ar

fid

G

rep

eve

or

fumme, that the first may be his discharge. The ways of God are infinitely juster then Mans, and

yet no man is fo unjust.

es

r

to

t

2-

to

t.

ne

er

ly

of

X-

ne

eft

at-

nse

of

of

ife.

ei-

nor

fs,

Re-

fon

are

brift

acri

the

it is

hole

Cre-

like

ame,

2. No common fense. For how can any thing be properly applied to a man by being offered, and in a manner applied to God? Is that Balm well applied to ones wounds, who lies fick in Samaria, by being fent back again to the Physitian who lives and prepares it in Gilead? Or can my Soul be made clean with that Blood, which I defire the Priest, not to wash me with, but to carry to the Altar? Or if you go to Legal Applications, which I suppose in this matter are more considerable; Whoever heard that Gifts and Legacies (and fuch are the Mercies, which we acquire by the Sacrifice of Christ fesus) can be made sure to any man, when he returns the Will, or other Deeds containing these Favours into the hands of the Giver? Liberties, Priviledges, and Graces conferr'd in general, are certainly applied to this or that particular Man, when he both thankfully accepts of them, and besides the accepting, performs the Conditions, which are required by the Grant. For example, God hath so loved the World, that he gave his only Son, that who soever beleives in him, &c. John. 3. 16. Repent and be baptifed, and you shall receive Remission, &c. Act. 2.38. If we walk in the light, the Blood of Christ cleanses, &c. 1. John. 1. 7. These are general Donations granted to Men, which, befides the general Grant, are first determined by God himself to such among all men, as will beleive, repent, and walk in the light: and so applied to every fingular Person by himself, by constant Acts or habits of Beleiving, Repenting, &c. And thus thefe

these Duties, and not the Mass, are the proper means of applying and appropriating to our Souls, what ever God the Father hath given, or the Sacrifice of his Son hath purchased. But as for offering the Body and Blood of Christ to God; if it were recommended as proper to do any thing, it could not be to apply it to the Person who offers it; but to God, whom it is offered unto, and who

hath no need of that Blood.

3. Finally, I say that this applying Oblation cannot confift with any true Divinity. Among fo many forts of Offerings, which were prescribed by Gods Law, you cannot find a Sacrifice, whereof the fruit & benefit was ever applied by another Sacrifice in reference to a person or thing entirely forein to, or distant from the Votary. The Worshipper had it applied, either by the sprinkling of the Blood, which the Priest sprinkled down upon him, not thrown upwards to God: or by the eating some of the Flesh, which in some Sacrifices was given him: or by his own proper Acts before and after the Sacrifice, as washing, laying of hands on the Victim: or farther, he perfected his propitiation by Confessions and Prayers: to which allude and correspond the sprinkling of the Blood, Heb. 12. 24. The Blood of sprinkling 1. Pet. 2. Wherewith the Saints make their Robes white. Revel. 7. 14. And therefore it is a sprinkling that fals down from God upon Man, who stands in need to be made clean: and not from Man upwards to God, who, to be clean, needs no washing. Or else by the act of beleiving, men recommend themselves; which both under and after the Law, hath been allowed to be the best way of either eating or laying hands on the Sacrifice.

I

t

fe

do

tio

Par

32.

Sacrifice. And laftly, with all these proper applying Acts, and methods of procuring grace and favour, is the use of the holy Sacraments, which the Roman Priests cannot deny f to be the proper Means instituted purposely by Christ, both for the remisfion of fins, and fanctifying of the finner. And herein, either forgetting themselves, or being forced by plain truth, they will fometimes g confess, that their pretended Sacrifice is inflituted for somewhat else, rather then either for applying remission of sins, no not these very small ones, which they use to call venial: or for investing the sinner, with any first or fecond Graces ( which are the two main Benefits of the Sacrifice on the Cross) and are so ingenuous as to acknowledge, that in all the Scripture there is not one word spoken of any such Institution or Promise.

But should we suppose, though it be against all reason, that this Sacrifice of Mass is absolutely necessary to the applying that of the Cross; yet, since Roman Priests make them equal and infinite in their intrinsecal value, the same Christ being, as they say, both here and there, the principal Sacrifice offered, and the principal Priest offering, tis as much against the infinite Dignity of the one, as

of the other, to be offered more then once.

They plead, that k on the Cross Christ immediatly made an oblation of himself: whereas at Mass he offers himself by the mediation of an inferior Priest, who is not of the same worth with Christ. Therefore I say, since it is so, they should do well, to leave Christ the whole administration of his Priesthood, at the right hand of his Fason of his Priesthood, at the right hand of his Fason. Dicosecundo. Hor. Becan. de Sacrif. q. 5. 1 Concil. Trident. Sess. 22. Can. 2. K Bellarm. de Miss. 1. 2. c. 4. Par. Secunda ratio igitur.

Bvi. ther;

n

0

e

0,

it

ch

n.

ne.

or

a-

m:

n-

ef-

be

nts

re-

od

an:

be

be-

oth

be

the fice.

ther; where without any help of Men, or Angels, he is by himself both entirely present, and infinitely endear'd to God: and not to invade this abominable office, of reducing the immense dignity of his Sons alsufficient Sacrifice, to the low and narrow compass of a Mass Priest's Oblation. Certain it is, the Mass must be a most deep and black mystery, if it be more effectual through the unworthiness of a poor Priest officiating, to restrain the infinite Merits of Christ; then are the Merits of Christ therein exhibited, towards the enlarging the litle worth of the faid Priest. Hitherto no man hath feen a high Mountain brought down to the small Dimensions of a grain of Mustard seed by any appendant circumstance; nor a Jewel worth all the World, when it is given by the Master, fall to the vilenes of a straw, for being presented by a Servant.

Yet suppose, if you please, that the infinite intrinsecal value of the Sacrifice of Jesus-Christ could be swallowed up so, and deprest at Mass by the meannes of the Priests, who now officiate; certainly that first Mass, which, they say, Christ celebrated at his last Supper, could not be so. Since then the Sacrifice on the Cross cannot be reiterated without a prodigious facriledge, because it was infinite, and fo left nothing to be done by another redeeming Sacrifice; how is it possible, that this applying Sacrifice, which, if true, is equal to the redeeming both in respect of the Thing offered, and of the Priest offering, that is, Christ offered there also by himself, instead of applying infinitly, as the other redeemed infinitly, should leave this work of application so incompleat, as to require a million of other

Se

other Sacrifices of the same kind to supply it?

If they reply, as Bellarmin and others do, out of their common Doctrine, that this infinite Sacrifice produces no infinite application, because of the Will and Institution of God, who hath ordered it otherwise; they should do well, to produce some Evidences, or at the least some words tending to this Institution.

Jesuite Suarez m maintains, that this applying Sacrifice procures by it felf no remission of mortal fins , because, fays he, neither Law nor Promise can be shewed to this purpose: and that supernatural Mysteries must not be rashly obtruded without some revealed Principles. And he fays well. Therefore I ask on this fame ground, where is the Law or revealed Principle, that doth restrain the virtue of a Sacrifice, which is originally infinite, to the weak and uncertain remission of some few temporal punishments in the other World, or, but with much ado, of some few venial fins in this? Is it a thing eafily to be imagined, that God should refuse to take this Oblation of his Son, for what it is really worth? and that our Heavenly Father, who is fo gracious toward all his other Children, as to fet a high rate on the smallest thing they can give, ( witnes the Widows mite, and the Disciples cup of cold water) is so severe to his only Son, as to take at an undervalue his very Body and Blood? Certainly God the Father hath abundantly afferted his love and refpect for his Son in this behalf, when he hath accepted of one Oblation of his upon the Cros, for

S

t

S

e

e h

11

e e

e r-

1-

T

nt-

1e

h-

e,

11-

ng

ng he

by

er-

li-

of er

<sup>1</sup> Bellarm. de Miss. 1. 2. c. 4. Par. Tertia ratio. Salmeron de Miss. Privat Traet. 33. Par. Quod si urgeas. m Suarez. de Sacrif. Diss. 79. Sect. 3. Par. Dico secundo.

g The Depth and Pystery

a Sacrifice of an everlasting virtue, to satisfy the most implacable justice, to expiate the fowlest fins, to wipe off the guilt of the whole World, and to redeem without any exception all men, who come to him. So that if any one man perish, it is not for any want in the Sacrifice, which either some other, or the same, being reiterated must supply : but it is for want of coming, that is, of faith and repentance in the finner, to make use of the Sacrifice. Therefore if the Sacrifice of the Altar, as they call Mass, were the same Body and Blood, as they pretend, which the same Priest our Lord Christ had offered at his last supper for application of his merits: that one application should as well ferve for all, in the eyes of God Almighty, as one Redemption hath done.

And when they alledge, that men do fall and fin daily, and therefore stand in need of daily applying; it is certain, that both infinite Redemption, and infinite Application may equally coextend themselves to the daily wants of sinners, in what time and place soever they live. At least, when they are gone to Purgatory, where, as they say, they sin no more, one application after their departing might do the deed, and spare a million of Masses, which are daily payed for in behalf of those

distressed Souls.

This multiplication of Masses must in all reason depress thrists Sacrifice, as much beneath that of Aaron, as by the Apostles account, Hebr. 9. and 10. its Unity raises it above the Levitical oblations. And if the Apostles argumentation was well grounded, to demonstrate the excellency of Christs Sacrifice above that of Aaron, because that was offered

fered but once for all, and this once every year:

I may on the same ground demonstrate as well the excellency of the Sacrifice of Aaron, above that of Melchisedek (which in their account is Mass) because that was offered but once a year, whereas

this is offered every day.

t

I

1

e

n

,

f

e

d

In the order of Aaron, one Lamb was thought fufficient for one morning: one oblation of Shewbread, for one week: one Sacrifice at the new Moon, for one month: one facrifice of Expiation, for one whole year : and if a man, in a private capacity had transgressed against the Law, most commonly one facrifice was thought sufficient for the legal transgressions of this one man. But here by the prefent Roman Law, the Lamb of God, the antitype and completion of all Aarons facrifices, which, according to the order of Melchisedek, should be offered but once, and by one Priest, is, as they pretend, really offered more times in one morning, then there were Lambs or Goats throughout all Juda ean'd or faln in one year: more Masses sung, that is, as they take it, more offerings of Christs. Body made, it may be, for one fingle man, then either Bulls or Rams were offered heretofore at any time for the whole people of Israel. And, which is worse, when all this is done, that is, when for these many thousand Masses, ten times as many thousand miracles have been wrought, ( fo flender an opinion have they of the Body of Christ thus offered) they are not fure, that all is done: because the rate of the infinite worth of Christ thus offered by them, as it stands depretiated by the interpolition of the Priest, is both so uncertain and fo finall, that the Roman Church must fupply:

fupply this great defect, by great numbers. Infomuch that whosoever will impartially consider, how many Churches, and Altars sometimes, are taken up about one soul, will rather take these facristices to be after the order of Balack, who offered upon the top of every hill he could get, Num. 23. 1. 14. 27. and withall did avail nothing; then after the order of Melchisedek and of Christ, who, as far as Scripture can teach us, never offered but once, and in one place.

It is a most sad thing, to see Christ, and his whole sacrifice, to be made less then a Popes Bull, that is able at one blow, to remove all punishments from one, and as some think also sweep off clear all his sins. It is worse then sad, for it is abominable what some say, that of 28 steps or stones, which once were in the house of Pilate, and now in a Chappel at Rome, any one, if it be humbly kneeled upon, hath the priviledge of delivering one Soul, because Christs feet, they say, toucht it once: and yet Christs whole Body and

Blood at Mass hath it not.

As for Impetration, which is the proper end, that, as some " say, Mass is directly good for; it is as short, and as narrow, as the pretended Application. Roman Priests spare no words, that can exalt in general Mass sacrifice: for they make it to be Satisfactory, Propitiatory, Impetratory, &c. But when they come in particular to consider the busines, they are constrained to make it as thin in every particular, as in general they made it full. For they will tell you plainly, first, that Christ, whether as offering, or offered, is not there in

n

i

r

t

b

e

ti

a

pf

(

0

u

N

a condition of either deserving, or satisfring: and fo upon this account Mass is neither a meritorious, nor satisfactory facrifice. Secondly, that of two effects, that Mass can produce, to wit applying, and Impetrating, the first is much short of remitting P any one fin; and that it can go no farther, than removing of some temporal punishments: and yet how far those are removed, they cannot tell. The second, that is Impetration, is uncertain; and if it chance to be certain, tis not by vertue of Mass sacrifice, but of the good Prayer that attends it. So it is the good Prayer that doth the deed; because it is grounded upon promise, (and Mass is not,) and what Mass contributes, is only in general, to make Prayer more folemn, and more favourable. This is the goodly price that Christ is prised at of them, Zachar. 11.13. Amongst many reasons Roman Priests have, to bring their Mass to this uncertain and low rate, the most probable are; because otherwise, if a Mass could produce an effect answerable to the infinite worth of Christ there both offering, and offered, I. Una Missa totum evacuaret Purgatorium: r that is, One Mass alone would make clean work in Purgatory, and pull out thence all living fouls: which Thomas takes for 'an inconvenient absurdity. 2. Monasteries. Chappels, and Altars, founded for continual finging of Masses, would be altogether infignisicant and useles. 3. Priests, who are payed for three hundred Maffes, might do all what they have to do, in one; and thereby fall to idlenes: and on the other

ė

)

n

t

p

t

r

t

f

í

e

o Becanus de Sacr. q. 11. n. 6. Tertia conclus. · Suarez in 3. p. Disp. 79. sett. 6. Suarez ibid. sett. 2. Par. Differentia tertia. Becan. de Sacris. q. 12. Par. Dices fundatur. · Alph. Saimero de Privaris Miss. Traet. 33. Par. Quarto probatur à poster. · Salmero ibid. Thom. in 4. Dist. 45.q. 2.

fide, the people coming to understand this Mystery,

would never buy more than one Mass.

To avoid these and many other like inconveniencies, they have so well ordered the value of Christ's Body and Blood, that unless a Priviledged Altar, or fome Indulgence help it forwards, it amounts not to the vertue of the Virgin Mary's t small Office: not to the worth of a Pilgrimage to Lauretta: nor of a piece of the old Cross: nor scarce \* of an Agnus Dei, made of wax : nor to one sprinkling of Holy-water: if that be true, which Thomas Aguinas thinks u probable, that either the very going into a confecrated Church, or the sprinkling of this bleffed water, is enough to remit venial fins. And fo among the twelve Remedies prefcribed against this fort of light offences, \* Digna Communio, and, Aque benedicte aspersio, that is, The Blood of Christ worthily receiv'd, and Holywater, march together. But however, all these things are so admirably well contrived and as it were compacted, that though Mass be commended (which is sufficient to invite buyers) in the beginning, as a most propitiatory facrifice: these Propitiations are, (when paid for) reduced to fuch a compass, that four thousand of these Celestial victimes, (as they call them) well faid, and well payed for in the behalf of one Soul, shall make but such a progress, as will not stop the sale of as many more.

To fuch idle purposes is the Blessed Saviour fetched down, and offered up, at every ordinary Mass. I say ordinary, for there are some Altars, as at

t Al. Gazeus Offic. B. M. p. 69. \* L. 1. Ceremon. Cur. Rom. titul. 7. fub fin. Par. Balfamus, & munda, &c. Thom. p.3. q. 83. a. 3. Par. Ad tertiam. Gratian. de Confect. dift. 3. Aquam fale. \* Pet. de Pa. dift.6. Quarti.

S. Peters in the Vatican for example; and also some fignal daies; as when the Heads of S. Peter and S.P and are shewed; or when such and such Churches. at Rome especially, were consecrated; which, by the liberality of Popes, out of the publick stock or Treasure, may enrich one Mass, that is one real of. fering of Christ's Body and Blood, with more blesfings, and Pardons, than thousand other Oblations, of the same both nature and worth, could procure upon any ordinary occasion. Thus Popes make good, what some Jews dream, that the Son of 70seph lies still hidden within the gates of the Romans: they might have faid, within their Churches; fince there he lies in a condition of being helped up, with the additional fatisfactions of his own Aprftles, and, which is more shameful, of begging Monks, who make up that treasure, whence he gets this supply. And thus much concerning Mass, as pretending to offer Christ.

CHAP.

f

d

0

e

-

0-

y

ζ-

-

a

s,

y-

is a e,

eas

ur y

at M

## CHAP. VI.

Concerning Mass as pretending to sacrifice Christ; and what a horrid Mystery Mass were, if it were true.

BECAUSE it was the general custome of primitive Christians, never to receive the Holy Sacrament, but after they had made their Offerings, out of which the two Elements of Bread and Wine, being a fet a part, and confecrated, and then by an ordinary manner of speech, called b the Body and Blood of Christ; the Word as well as the Att of Offering, got so large and common a use in two distinct Offices, as to signifie the whole Service, which St. Augustine more distinctly calls Offering and Receiving: that is offering the Bread and Wine before, and receiving part of it after it was consecrated. And really the whole Service was little more than a continued Oblation. For Christians before the Sacrament offered their Gifts, and after it, offered their prayers, their praises, and themselves. And this was the constant and folemn Oblation of the Church, untill dark and stupid Ages, which by degrees have hatched Transulstantiation in the bosome of the Roman

a Ordo Rom. Qualiter celebrandum fit. b S. Aug. Ep. 23. Ad Bonif. Facund. Hermian, l. 9. c. ult. c S. August. Ep. 118. ad Januar.

Church

tl

a

fo

al

th

CO

re

ce

dic

W

Sac

wh

Le

Sacr

monfi de M Church, have at last improved it to this horrid direful service, which mainly aims at this, to offer upon an Altar, not the Bread and the Wine as before, but the very Body and Blood of Christ.

And because these publick Offices about the Holy Sacrament, are in antiquity commonly called Sacrifices, as being standing Memorials of the true Sacrifice of Christ; the Church of Rome is now pleased to mistake these Antitypes and Representations, as the ancient Church calls them, of the sufferings of Christ, for Christ himself represented by these Antitypes: and upon this mistake she now builds up Altars in every corner of her Temples, thereon not only to offer, but also to Sacrifice the Son of God.

This Act of Sacrificing goes much further than that of Offering; and I make no doubt, but it will amaze any man, whether Christian or Turk, who-foever will but consider what it is properly and re-

ally to Sacrifice.

1

d

11

n

ne

ly

be

it

le

n.

eir

eir

int

ırk

ed

an

Fa-

rch

Sacrifice doth require, besides and above offering, these three things especially, as Bellarmin, and others consels. I. An Altar, whereon to lay the Sacrifice. 2. A Priest solemnly ordained to offer it. 3. A real Change and destruction of the thing sacrificed. For example, the Israelites in the wildernes did offer to God many things, as Gold, Brass, Wool, &c. which none can properly say to have bin Sacrificed, these men being no Priests. And Aaron, who was a Priest, is said Num. 8. to have offered the Levites, as an Oblation to the Lord, and not to have sacrificed them, because these men were only ap-

d S. Chrysoft. Hebr. Hom. 17. Constitut. Apost. 1. 5. c. 13. Euseb. de Demonst. Evang. 1. 1. c. ult. Greg. Nazianz. Oratione. 11. de Sorore. Bellarm. de M.ij. 1. 1. c. 2. Par.. Id verò probatur.

pointed to serve the Lord, but not appointed to be flain. Whereas all things, without exception, that were properly facrificed, were in order thereunto, destroyed one way or other, either by being killed, if they had life: or by being burned, as Frankincense, and such other solid inanimate Substances: or by being shed, as Water, Wine, &c. And the true reason, wherefore such things as are sacrificed, are thus destroyed, is, as Bellarmin himself s confesses, because Sacrifice is the highest Declaration we are able to give of our subjection to God, as Soveraign Master of life and death: and so this great service requires, that not only the Use, but also the very Being of the thing, should be both made his, and protested to be so, by being really destroyed.

I am the more willing to borrow this Piece of Divinity out of Roman Priests, both because it is very true, and because thereby they declare, that when they fay, that their Mass is a true real Sacrifice of the Body and Blood of Christ, they do not unadvisedly, and at random speak it: but fully un-

derstand, and consider what they say.

First an Altar must be set up. For Sacrifices and Altars are fuch relatives, as cannot fublist one without the other. The foundation of this Altar, h must be some choice Relick; as the Skull, or Arm of a Saint. The Lime and Sand must be consecrated by a Bishop, whilest the Masons are laying it on. Then the Stone being fet as it should, Holy Water, Salt, Ashes, Wine & Hysop, and such other things as are conceived good for cleanfing, must be P

hi

W

ci

of

ex

ha

of

wh

an

tim

rac

i P

f Tell. ibid. Par. Octavo dicitur. Gabr. Vafq. 3. p. Difp. 220. c. 3. nu. 12. Rell. de Miff. b.1. c.2. Par. Sextum Argumentum. " Pontif. Kom.de 1.4. Conf. Eccl.

fetch'd in. After that, all forts of Oils, Wax, Incense, Fire, &c. to qualifie this Table of Stone toward a Consecration. Then with many kneelings and figns of the Crofs, God the Father Almighty is most folemnly called upon to enlighten or clarifie propitionsly that Stone, and to bless it with eternal light, and so enrich it with all graces, that he afterwards may be pleased to bless the Sacrifice of the Body and Blood of his Son that shall thereon be administred. Finally, come forth Indulgences, which fometimes will inable the Altar towards the fanctifying of the Gifts, in such a large manner, that one Mass sung (that is in their account, one Sacrifice of Christ offered) thereon, may be worth, as to the benefit, some hundred other of the same Christ, when he is offered upon an ordinary Parish Altar.

Secondly, to wait at this Altar enters a Roman Priest, not with Bread and Wine in his hands, as did once Melchisedek: nor with the Blood of Bulls or Goats, as did the High Priest of Israel: nor with his own Body and Blood, as Christ once did: nor with the holy Mysteries and Sacraments of that precious Body and Blood, as the Priests and Ministers of his Gospel must: But with a most special and extraordinary Commission, which no Priests nor Men had before, to Sacrifice that very Body and Blood

of Christ.

S

į-

t

-

d

ne

h

m

a-

it

ly

ner

be

nu.

h'd

That this Body and Blood may be ready at hand when 'tis called for; the Priest still hath about him an infallible Character, wherewith he works at any time he pleases to speak but five words, ten great miracles. & Some reckon more, but these may serve for

the

i Pontif. Rom. edit. Lugd. de Confect. Altar. fol. 146. h Durant. Rational. m.de 1. 4. de fexta part. can. Par. Notandum est autem.

the most part. For 1. he must destroy and turn into nothing (which is against the whole course of Nature) or, which is worse, turn into the Body of Christ, the whole substance of that Bread, which is before him. 2. He must make all the Forms, Colours, and Dimensions, that once did belong to the Bread, subsist by themselves. 3. He must contract the bleffed Body of Christ, into the smalnes of the least crum of Bread, that he can possibly break it to. 4. That same Body which is in the Priests hands, must at the same time be in Heaven, and in thousand other remote places. The 5.wch is extremely confiderable, is (after the Body is once got into that room, which the destroyed substance hath left empty) there to faften it so close to these thin forms, which it is wrapt with, that it may never get out thence, as long as these Accidents are in being. And this is the miraculous Union, which Cardinal Cajetan, and others call inconceivable and ineffable: and m fome, almost hypostatical: and others, who will speak more soberly, " take it for a supernatural Power, which God gives to these weak Creatures, to keep and draw along with them the whole Body of Christ, and consequently Christ himself; that by this means the Priests may be sure, that they lift up over their heads, or eat, or carry about that Body, which they and the People are to worship, whensoever and wherefoever they carry about these Accidents. And certainly it is a great wonder, that these poor weak Colours and Figures, which cannot naturally fubfift without substance, should be so strong when the have none. Five other like wonders go to the Wine Dicer Biel. Soto.

Then by the strength of these Miracles, comes up

E

fe

P

th

by

br

ſti.

ma

cat

hav

as 1

we.

a re

05

f Cajet. Richard. m Alan. l. 1. c. 34. n Suarez. Difp. 52. Seff. 1.

f

e

f

0.

ft

er

e, ch

a-

pt

as

a-

ers

flo

0-

od

aw

on-

the

ds,

and

re-

er-

eak

ubhe

ine

s u

th

the Priest to celebrate, with these five words, Hoe est enim Corpus meum, and lays Christ down upon his Altar. The strength of these words (with the Miracles that attend them) is fo great; and the Character of the Priest (holy or unholy, vit is all one) fo infallible; that, whenfoever he pronounces them all five, and with due Intention, presently p they do thrust out all substance of Bread and Wine (let there be never so much of each q in an House, or in a Tun, so the quantity be both certain, and limited to what the first word Hoc : can signifie) and in the place of this thus evacuated substance, forthwith the same words both thrust in, and unite, that is, make the Son of God there so fast, that the Priest afterwards may handle and order, as he pleafes, this his Celestial Victime.

But first there arise great difficulties about the Manner of conveying this Victime, from so high a seat as the right hand of God, down so low as the Priests Altar. 1. Some, as Scotus and Beilarmin, and the whole Order of S. Francis, would have it done by Addustion, that is, that Christ should be really brought down from above where he is, and remain still above nevertheless: But then this would not make what they call Transul stantian, but a Translocation rather. 2. Some, as Dominicus à Soto, will have it by Production, that is, by an Act so powerful, as to produce effectually the Body of Christ, if it were not already produced: or as Suarez, by such a real producing Act, as re lly produces the Body and

o Suar. Diff. 61. Seet. 3. Par. Ultimum addendum. p Ibid. feet. 2. Par. Dicendum verò est primò. Thomas. 4. Sent. Dist. 11. Its. 3. p.q. 74. a 2. Eiel. Leet. 35. Dwand. q. 6. nn. 6. Scotus. 4. Dist. 11. a. 3. a. 2. Dom. Sow. 4. Dist. 11. a. 3. a. 2. Dom. Sow. 4. Dist. 11. q. 2. a. 4. u Suarez. Disp. 50. Seet. 5. Par. Quintus ergo.

Blood of Christ, by keeping it under the Sacramental Forms: which way, in other Schoolmens Judgement, is both \* false and impertinent. 3. Other men devise other waies, which their own fellow Priests justly recondemn, both as impossible, and as apt to expose

their Religion to the scoffing of Hereticks.

However, though they cannot agree about the manner of coming by this Body: yet they agree among themselves, that one way or other, they will have it. So after that Christ is thus taken, the main business that remains more, is about the Act (an horrible one, if it be true) of bringing him to that destruction, which, as we have shewed before, a true real Sacrifice doth most essentially require.

Here the Priest at every Mass hath 2 five or six 3 several things to do. 1. To offer the Bread and Wine.

2. To consecrate it, and by this Consecration to transfulftantiate them into the Body and Blood of Christ.

3. By certain express and formal words to offer up this Body and Blood. 4. To break and mingle them together. 5. To have them be eaten. Now which of these sive Acts it is, that gives the blow, which properly makes the Sacrifice, is the great, and I think, indeterminable question among these tragical Actors.

th

ca

Te

Sag

Ac

and

Crat

Was

The first of them, the Oblation of Bread and Wine, cannot be it; for so, say they, the great and inessable Sacrifice of Mass, could be no more than a meer

facrifice of Bread.

The third, that is the Oblation of the Body, which bring comes after Confecration, is not it neither; because, under fay they, and they say true, when Christ had connece

z Greg. de Valent. de Præsent. Christ. Disp. 6. q.; Punet. 3. y Gabr. Vasquez. Lisp. 181. cap. 12. nu. 141. z Bell. de Miss. l. 1. c. 27. a Suaret. b Le. de Sacris. Disp. 75. Sett. 2. fecrated Alan d

fecrated his Body, he gave it his Disciples to eat, Take and eat, this is my Body, so he had not time to offer it up to God his Father. I speak all this out of their mouth.

Neither is it the fourth, that is the breaking and mingling of the Body and Blood together. For they fay, it was broken only, that it might be distributed to the Disciples. Hence it is, that if the Wafer happen to fall into the Cup, when the fign of the Cross is made over it, the Priest hath no need to take it thence for to break it. So they must seek their Sacrificing Act, either in the second, or in the fifth, that is, either in Fransubstantiating, or in eating what they have transubstantiated.

Some b will have it in the Priests eating. But others bring weighty reasons against it; as for example, that Christ, who first celebrated this Sacrifice, appears not in Scripture, to have eaten what he had consecrated: and that the Priest cannot do this in the person of Christ, but in his own; because he cannot represent Christ eating himself. Therefore Jesuite Becan, and others think, that their Mass Sacrifice must needs be done and perfected by their

Act of Consecrating.

1-

p

m

of

0-

n-

rs.

ine,

ffa-

eer

hich

use,

y Gabr.

But here comes Bellarmin, and many more before and after him, who reject this, and fay, that Confecration or Transubstantiation, considered as an Act bringing or producing the Body and Blood of Christ under the forms of Bread and Wine, is rather a necessary Antecedent to the Sacrifice, as Incarnation conwas to the Passion, than the Sacrifice it self: And

Suaret b Ledefma. 1. par. 4. 9. 23. a. 4. Cano. 12. de Locis. c. 13. Soto in 4. Dift. 13. q. 1. Bell. de Miss. l. 1. c. 27. Becan. de Sacr. q. 6. Conclus. 7. Quill-rated Alan de Sacr. l. 2. c. 25. Cathar. Opuse. de Sacris. Palac. 4. Dist. 8. Disp. 1.

that to facrifice a thing, is a great deal more than producing or setting it upon the Altar. Witnes the Pope, whom they fet fometimes upon the high Altar, there only to adore his Holiness more solemnly. Witnes the very Sacrament, which, for being upon the Altarall the holy Friday, is nevertheless no Sacrifice. Witnes, in a word, all Lambs & Goats in Ifrael, which, for being either ean'd and faln, or brought about the Temple, were not reputed actual Vi-Clims or Sacrifices till they were flain. And if Tranfubitantiation be considered under another notion, as it fets the Body by it felf, and the Blood likewife by it felf; and by this feparation, would really make that perfect destruction, which the Sacrifice requires, (were it not for another Miracle, which they do call Concomitancy, whereby Body and Blood follow one another perpetually) Cardinal Bellarmin fays to this most wifely, that, fince the Concomitancy hinders the real separation and destruction, it hinders the real Sacrifice: and that the Angel, Gen. 22. 12. who kept Abraham from flaying his Son Isaack, though fet and tyed on the Altar, and thus far made an Oblation, kept him likewife from making him a Sacrifice. They also say, that Transubstantiation is not an external visible Act, such as an external publick Sacrifice must needs be: nothing appearing more visible during the Transubstantiation than before: Therefore both this visible appearance and this destruction must be sought for in the eating. The truth is, that which is necessary to make Mass a real Sacrifice is in neither: and they themselves most clearly demonstrate it one against another. So, none Mig. 1 of these five Acts aforesaid, being taken one by one Bec. conftat can serve their turn, as to that real Destruction which they stand for. Never

a

n

re

th

kn

a (

led

be

Co

by i

Maf

d M.

Sacran

Nevertheless, rather than to be put to a stand, and want a Sacrifice, they will put all these acts together, and order one way or other the whole matter so dextrously, that, before their Mass be over, the Son of the living God (here both Melchisedek and Aaron may rent their clothes) shall lye under their hands, mortuo d modo, that is, in the most pitiful condition of a dead man: and though the Priest doth not radically destroy his life, when by his Consecration, he sets the Body in one place, and the Blood in another, because Concomitancy prevents that actual separation, which otherwise would be to him an actual death: yet he takes from him so perfectly, all sense and use of life, that without a continual Miracle, as long as He is in his hand, or in his Pix (that is a box which they keep Him in) he can neither breath, nor feel, nor move, g fo much as one finger. All his internal h fenses and faculties are so mortified and bound up, that he apprehends nothing, nor can make any ordinary use of his understanding i or reason. This poor victime can see neither the hand that holds him up, nor the poor people that kneel about him, nor the Altar he lies upon: a Church or a dunghill is all one as to his knowledg. He cannot k fo much as fee himfelf; or if it be imagined he doth, by vertue of the feign'd Concomitancy; 'tis to make him so much the worse, by feeing and feeling this fad condition, which Mass pretends to bring him to. He hath no manner

y

in

n-

n-

2.

k, de

n a

15

ub-

ing

be-

this

The

real nof

Etion

ever

d Marat. Diff. 25. fest. 2. Vega de Miff. Thef. 22. & 23. c Ap. Bell. de Miff.l. 1. c. 27. Par. Alii volunt. Suarez Diff. 53. feet. 3. Becan. de Sacram. c. 19. q. 3. concl. 2. Suarez Diff. 52. feet. 1. Par. Dicotertio. none One Bec. ibid. q. S. prima conclusio. i Suarez Difp. 53. fett. 3. Tar. Ultimo conftat. k Suarez Diff. 52. feet. 3. Par. Dico primo.

of strength lest to help himself. He falls unless he be held up; and when 'he is fallen into the dirt, as it may happen, there he must lye, unless some body takes him up; or a puss of wind m blows him away: or a bird, or a mouse catches him: for it is among Mass Priests a clear case, n that any kind of beast, as rats, dogs, &c. that care for bread, may as really eat the blessed Body of Christ, as they can themselves. To this pass comes the best and highest Service of the Roman Church, and thus is Christ honoured at Mass.

## CHAP. VII.

That this Sacrifice of Mass cannot be celebrated, without an horrid cruelty to the Son of GOD.

A S when Absalom attempted to rebell against his own Father, and in order to this rebellion, had invited as many friends as he could, to a facrifice and festival, the Scripture says, 2 Sam. 15. 11. that two hundred of them followed him in the simplicity of their hearts, knowing nothing of his design; It is certain that the Church of Rome hath thousands, who know no more of what is intended at Mass, than did these two hundred of Absalom's horrid attempt, when they went after

him

t

V

re

th

ot

th

the

rea

No

ing

<sup>1</sup> Miss. Rom. De Desectibus in Ministerio. n. 15. Suar. Disp. 46. set 8. Par. Sequitur secundo. Miss. Rom. De Desectu panis. n. 7. Suar. Disp. 85. sett. 1. Par. Sextò, evenire potest. a Gabr. Vasquez p. 2. Disp. 195. c. s. T'ar. Verum non est quod neg.

him to Hebron. They commonly hear or know about Mass, as much as these good words, Real presence, Publick worship, most holy Sacrament, High mysteries, and such like engaging expressions may dispose their minds to imagine. Their leaders will not fail to acquaint them, with what is more plausible in every part of their Religion: but as some say of the Jesuites, that they make Christians in the East Indies, without telling them one word of Christ suffering, which is the true soundation of Godlines: Roman Priests are as wise in these parts, and keep their followers as great strangers to all particulars, which might justly scandalize them: though these particulars make the very essence of their Mass.

Certainly Roman Catholicks may be as good and tender hearted, as other people: and if they were well perswaded, that, whensoever they go to Mass, it is to see others, if not themselves, swallowing up a man alive, they would not less abhor that Cyclopical action, than their Priests do sometimes whensoever by some illusion or other, their

wafers do appear to them as mans flesh.

But whether it appears so, or not, if it be really so, no mother would eat the least bit of the slesh of her son, if she thinks it minced with other meat: much less would an honest Christian the whole body, with bones and all of his Saviour, though he saw it not, yet thought it disguised, and really hidden under the white colour of a wafer. Nor may we palliate this horrid attempt with thinking, that Christ commands us to eat him, S. John. 6.

ıst

1-

to.

m.

im

ng

me

is

red

fter

3. 8.

Disp.

him

b Thomas in 4. Dist. 10. q. 1. a. 4.

in any other manner, than to tast God, Psal. 34. 8. nor that we must at the Holy Communion, eat Christ, in any other guise, than we are both said and bidden at the holy Baptisme to put on Christ. Rom. 13. 14. & Gal. 3. 27. for neither is here Christ a mantle to be put about our shoulders; nor there any fort of flesh or meat, to be swallowed down our stomacks: this is no work, fays S. Augustin, either for the palate, or for the teeth. manner of speech, says the same holy Father, Christ doth not enjoyn us, to eat the flesh of the Son of Man, (for he should seem thereby, to enjoyn a cruel action) but to part ke his passion, and to let this sweet and saving Meditation sink deeply into our minds, that his flesh was crucified for us. And if they were most brutish men, who could thus mistake his meaning at Capernaum, where then it was not fo apparently impossible, but he might be eaten by mad men; what madnes should this be, now to mistake it thus again, and to think that after his Ascension, which takes away this possibility, (as he did alledge it, as . St. Angustin takes it, both to clear his meaning, and to undeceive them) he should be eaten every day by Christian and sober men? And I would ask of them, who like well this eating; and do call it spiritual, because it neither bruises, nor mangles what they eat; whether the whale, that devoured a whole man (namely fonas) at one bit, was a less beastly devourer, than the bears, that in the time of Elisha, did tear and eat children in small pieces?

However I do still entertain this honest opinion of

F

C

of

e S. August. in Psal. 98. ut sup. in Joh. Tratt. 26. & 27. d Id. de Dott. Christ. 1. 3. 6. 16. e Id. in Joh. Tratt. 27.

the Romish laity, that when they go to Mass, their desire is not to see their God and Saviour Jesus Christ thus really sacrificed into the condition of a dead man; nor thus eaten presently after, either by their Priests, or themselves: since this kind of worship, besides troubling the whole course of nature, by that prodigious set of Miracles that it is to be done with, makes both reason and piety tremble and stagger under its horrour.

A grave and learned Pagan used to say, that famong all the Religions of his time, there was of none any so brutish, as to pretend to eat his God. And a grave and learned Father of the primitive Church, g gives it more than once, for a piece of ancient Divinity, that the reason, why in the Law God divided so exactly all kinds of beasts, into clean, that could be facrificed and eaten, and unclean, that could not; was purposely to keep his people from that beaftly worship, which they had seen so long in Egypt. For, fays the Father, they will abominate to worship them that are unclean: and they will be ashamed to take them that are clean for their Gods; since they do sacrifice and eat them: This being the uttermost degree of madness for one to adore what he eats.

It feems there was then in the whole world no example of worshippers so barbarous, as to make it their religion, either to eat what they did adore, or to make their God, their victime. And the holy Fathers could not foresee in the after-times of the Church, this which they call, extreme brutishnes of Catholicks, in good earnest laying down their

f Cicero de Nat. Deor. l. 3. n. 40. 2 S. Theodor. in Levitic. quaff. 1. Id. in Genef. quaft. 55.

C 5 Saviour

14

d

e

1,

is

be

nd

g;

es,

le,

one

irs,

hil-

n of

Doct.

the

Saviour on Altars, and drowning all known Principles of common honesty and true piety, under this horrible Sacrifice; that Christ being exalted to the God of all sless, Master of Angels, and Saviour of all mankind, should every day, at the sound of sive words fall down senseles, under the hand of a Mass Priest, and lye as long as this Enchantment is upon him, open to more dishonour and disgraces, for small or no purpose at all, than ever he suffered on the Cross for the salvation of all mankind.

1. For when he suffered on the Cross, that one suffering of few hours, was requited with this honour, that it wrought out eternal salvation for all men, who, from the beginning to the end of all the generations of the world, would faithfully rely upon that eternal Sacrifice. And now when thousands of Masses have sacrificed him thousands of times; it is not certain whether he had rescued (out of Purgatory) one soul; or obtained pardon

for one simple venial sin.

2. When he suffered upon the Cross, he suffered neither the least insurative from his nature, nor the least insurative from men, but it was both destinated to a great expiation, by Providence: and (least offence might be taken at it) 'twas mark'd and foretold by Prophecy. If an insolent man doth but touch him; if another opens his mouth, or shakes his head, or stretches his hand to abuse him; there are clear Predictions to guide the hand, order the very words, and to direct the rod, the lance, the nails, where they should go. At Mass what Scripture can Priests shew, for all the shameful accidents that happen to him, as they confess themselves, either

UMI

pl be of

on

tim

Temp

De D Rom.

e briet

either upon, or from their Altars? What either figures, or Oracles are extant in Holy writ, to forewarn fincere Christians, not to be startled at all, when they shall see their dear Saviour, after his glorious Ascension, brought or produced down every morning into the figure of a thin waser, and shrunk to an indivisible atome; and then in this slight equipage, slying sometimes like dust and stubble in the Air, or keeping close to the prison, whilst the Mass Priest is about a murther?

3. When our Saviour was on the Crofs; though both his pains and ignominies were very great, yet the glorious effects of his power, and Majesty, were at that very time much greater. The whole Earth quaked under his feet. Above the very Heavens did mourn. The graves did open to yield up their Dead : and so much strength was left with him, in the very depth of his weakness, that even then and there, as the Apostle doth observe, Coloss. 1. 19. He could triumph over all the Powers and the Principalites of Hell. At Mass the case is quite altered; for there he lies, if not quite dead, yet without use of life or strength: there a Mass Priest either keeps. or gives him away, or carries him about, just as he pleases: And before he be thus disposed of, he may be eaten by fome vermine, or vomited up mout of a weak, or drunken " stomack.

The very Devils, who were defeated by him upon his Cross, have the better of him at Mass. Sometimes a witch layes ohold on him, to bring about

fome

d

e

0

f-

e-

ch

nis

re

ery

ils,

ure

nts

ves,

her

h Suarez Disp. 52. sett. 1. i Miss. Rom. De Desect. panis. n. 7. k Fascicul. Temp.an. 1082. Platina in Clement. V. Compil. Chronol. An. 1086: 1 Miss. Rom. De Desettu. 5. Si Musca. Gratian. de Consecr. Dist. 2. Qui bene non. m Miss. Rom. n. 14. Si Sacerdos evomat. n Gratian. de Consecr. Dist. 2. Si quis per chrietatem. o Thom. 4. Sent. Dist. 11. Biel. in Can. Lection. 35.

fome ill design. Sometimes a Monk will do the like, I to get by him a fair occasion to kill a King. A modest man would blush to read, what ugly sports Devils and Magicians make of him, in their infamous conventicles. It is easie to prove all this by good q and authentick records.

The Lord liveth, and bleffed is the rock of our falvation. He certainly is exalted above the reach of men and Devils. But if Mass was, what they pretend; neither Jew, nor Roman Souldier did ever commit greater outrage about his Cross, than what a Roman Priest doth continually at his Altar.

Mass Priests will say, that Christ suffers no pain at this Altar, being there in a condition both infenfible and senseles. But first, it is something, to bring their Saviour to this pass, that he may feel nothing more than do rotten carcasses. Secondly, though he fuffers no pain, he fuffers such shameful abuses, as are much worse than any pain. No man, that hath any principle, either of good nature, or common fense, could be glad to see his Fathers Body torn among dogs, or his bones digged out of his grave, and thence thrown upon a dunghill, although neither body, nor bones be in a condition to feel it. And can men full of pretentions to piety and zeal, without any manner of horrour, expose their Saviour to worse abuses; and do this by the Mass, that with shew of duty and devotion handles him thus? and thereupon pray God the Father, to be gracious unto them; and strongly perswade him to it, by shewing to him, his own Son, whom they do ferve in this manner?

P Fascicul. Tempor. Supr. 9 Regist. Rothom. Inform. Mazdal. Bavan.

Thefe

These Men do what is in their power, to disguise these absurdities, by pleading the example of God himself, who is really present every where, without any disparagement to his Essence; as if the true slesh, that Christ had, out of the Loynes of Abraham, and which he keeps still in Heaven, were of the fame condition, with that eternal Spirit, whom no corporeal thing can affect : and, as if there were no difference between that glorious immensity, wherewith God holds and governs all, and this fad imprisonment, which doth keep in, as they suppose, the whole Nature of a compleat man, within the small compass of a thin wafer. Wherefoever Gods immense Presence is, there is his infinite power: there is his throne; and there, even in Hell, the very Devils obey and tremble. And wherefoever the Mass Priest shuts up Christ, there he lyes left as it were in the shadow of death, with destitution and impotence, to attend him: there the least Mouse, that can but bite a crum of Bread, is strong enough to master him: much more can those damned spirits, who were conquered by his Cross, take shamefull revenges of him when they get him on his Altar. And it is an easy thing to get him there, fince all forts of Priests both good and bad can confecrate; and fince their Confecration, when they make it either for fort, or witchcraft, is as powerful to shut in Christ, as if it were wholy intended for the falvation of mens fouls.

It is to as litle purpose to say t, that when Christ was wounded on the Cross, some drops of his Blood fell to the ground, and there perhaps were suckt by

fome

5,

n

e

to

lo

e (e

r Bellarm, de Euchar. l. 3. c. 10. Par. Ac primum apud. f Thom. 3. p. q. 74. Par. Ad fecundum. Francisc. Suarez. ibid. card. Perronins. de Euchar. l. 3. c. 20.

fome worms. For 16 fome drops of Blood are not Christ: And 2. if he suffered upon the Cross both death and curse, and therewith some shedding of Blood, during the days of his Flesh upon earth, there is no reason now, that he should suffer any such either punishment, or dishonour, after all his sufferings are over, and this same Nature that suffered fo, is highly exalted above the Heavens. And Bellarmin wrongs much himself, and betrays as much his weak cause, when he is driven to defend it with this impertinent, and, I may fay, blasphemous inquiry. Since there are some, fayshe, " that cannot endure, that Christ in any wise, should either be Shut up in a Pix, or devoured by a beaft: I would fain know of them, whether they do not believe that he was once before inclosed within the narrow and dark compass of a womans womb, and after tyed with swadling clothes. For we beleive, that Christ once was in the womb of the holy Virgin; first because the Scripture says so, and cannot be understood otherwise. Secondly, because it was altogether needfull it should be so: both for the truth of God, that had promised and foretold it: and for the Redemption of Mankind, that could not be faved without a man made of a moman, and made under the Law, to redeem them that were under the Law. Gal. 4. 4. And we cannot beleive that after he is exalted up into Heaven, he can be eaten by earthly beafts; 1. because Scripture says it no where: fecondly because to be lodged so in Beasts bellies, were as impertinent to our Salvation, as it is inconfistent with his Glory. The Earth, faith Anastafins \* the Sinaite, ( much less the belly and guts

m

u Bellarm. de Euch. l. 3. c. 10. Par. Denique quia nonnulli. x Anast. Sinait. de Resurcet. Christ.

of Beasts) was not a fit place for his Body, after he had laid down the gross infirmity of the Flesh. Befides, when the truth and Justice, and Mercy and Wisedome of God did require absolutely, that the Saviour should be made lke unto us in all things, fin excepted; for fear any tincture of fin should come near him, the holy Ghost took care before hand to fanctify that Flesh and Blood, and Womb, out of which he was to be born : And fince he was to dye, and to be buryed for our fins; Providence defigned him a Sepulcher which no dead body had infected : So both the womb of the Virgin Mary, and that other womb of the Earth, were made clean and fit to receive that Holy One of Israel. I hope Mass Priests will not say so much, either of the belly and guts of Beasts, which, as Bellarmin supposes here, can swallow that, which they call Christ: or of the stomacks of sinners, who, as their y Rules for Penance presuppose also, may be gorg'd with drink, when they eat him. I am fure that Christ is here twice most horribly blasphemed, first by their comparing that Sanctuary and facred Womb, where he was pleased to be conceived, with the dirty guts of vermine: Secondly, by comparing those precious sufferings of his, which wrought out our Salvation, and which in order thereunto, were laid on him by God his Father, with Roman impertinencies, that are of their proper making; and that in their own estimation 2, are scarce able to remit venial fins.

"Lastly, they think well to shift off all ugly con-

y Gratianus. Confect. Dift. 2. Si quis per voracitatem. 2 Soto d. 11. q. 2. a. 5. Lindan. l. 4. Panopl. c. 51. Suarez 3. p. Disp. 79. Sect. 5.

fequences by faying, that what feems to be unfeemly for Christ to suffer at Mass, he suffers it not in his own natural species or forms, but in the forms of Bread and Wine only. They call species or forms Sacramental, all qualities, figures, colours, &c. which were in the Bread and Wine. But this shifting is a meer cheat, or rather an open untruth. For Christ can be no where under the Sacramental species, without being there also within his natural ones : fince Transubstantiation b strips them not off: and if it did, Concomitancy would restore them. Christ then, I say, cannot be brought down under their hands, in that manner which they fancy, without being and suffering in propria specie, that is, in most of his natural forms. He suffers for example, in his own stature, shape, and bizness, which from a proportion becoming a proper Body, must shrink sometimes to the compass of the sinallest drop of Wine. And although this reduction be nothing in wide large sheets, which a Dutch Woman can fold into finall bundles, or in many yards of pack-thread, that may be winded into a bottom; yet it is very confiderable, or rather extreamly ugly and infuitable in a Man, when these Dimensions, which are given him of God, to extend his Body to a decent and natural proportion, both are contracted, and contract him, to fuch an unnatural hideous finalness, that, as it must need happen at Mass, eyes and heeles, breast and back, head and feet are together. He fuffers in his external fenses, which by this prodigious posture are made useless. He suffers in all his internal Faculties, which there are stopt: in his intellect and memory, which then faile, in his strength & a Bell. de Euch. l. 3. c. 10. b Tecan. de Euch. c. 19. q. 2.

sinews

n

fa

OI

be

th

gn

sett.

finews and bones, which then prove as fluid and weak as water. For otherwise, how could they be drunk. As to the consecrated species of Bread and Wine, in which they tell us that Christ suffers ( as if he did not fuffer in his own ) they are so far from sheltering him against this unworthy usage, that contrary wife they object him to much worfe. It is by their attractive vertue, that (as they fay) Christ must follow their motion, when they are toffed or blown up and down: unless the rapidus Ventus, that is the Wind that toffes them, be a whirlwind, that wheels them about; for in a circular agitation these Masters allow him to stand still. But upon any other occasion, as he, who holds the Belt, if he be ftrong enough, holds the Souldier; what kind of creature soever can lay hold of a confecrated Wafer, pulls the Body, that it contains; pulls it, I fay, more infallibly: for the strongest Belt may break, ar the Mantle of Samuel aid teare. 1. Sam. 15. 27. and then both Souldier and Prophet have some possibility to escape: But in this case, if the Wafer breaks to twenty pieces; who oever gets the least fragment, d hath as much as if he had all. For here an usual, and withal a most strange Miracle, multiplyes still that one Body, into as many the fame Bodies, as there are crums in the whole Wafer. So man, or beafts that have a mind to abuse Christ, can never miss. And therefore these very accidents, or confecrated species, which here they plead to be Shelters, that should fence Christ all about, are the unhappy meanes that expose him to foul indignities: for if there were no fuch confecrated fpe-

c Greg. Valent. De modo quo Christ. Diss. 6. q. 4. d Suarez. Diss. 52. sess. L. Par. Tertio modo contingit. Thomas. 3. p. q. 76. a. 3.

cies to stick so fast c to him, and to drag him along with them, I do not know, what evil spirits may do; but I am sure neither Rats, nor Mice could reach him.

I have met with some Protestants, who thought it uncharitable to beleive of Papilts, that they beleive any fuch horrible doctrines: or that they make of Mass any thing more then the representation of Christs death. Doubtless a great many who go to Mass, think of no more: and I confess, it is most strange that these two Monsters should really sink into any rational mans brain. 1. That Christ must be facrificed at the Altar to represent that he was facrificed upon the Cross: as if Pictures could not represent men, unless the men themselves be standing within or behind their own Pictures. 2. That Christ, who is supposed to be so well hidden under a condition, where neither Men nor Angels fee him, can represent both himself and his sufferings, without being feen. We take alwayes things that are feen, to represent them that are not so: But here contrarywife, things we fee not, must represent them that were feen. Surely nothing less then a dereliction and judgement from Almighty God, can give over understanding Men, to such senseless delufions. But notwithstanding 'tis most certain, gross incongruities are fo far from being impos'd, and falfely father'd on Papists, that the most solemn, and the most Catholick worship the Roman Church charges her children with, even all those who have no mind to be charged with Herefie, is this ( and if I deceive them or my felf, their answer will

undeceive

G

So

hii

bu

ß.

o Suarez. Disp. 53. 1. Par. Dico ultimo. f Thom. 3. p. 9. 76. a. 7. Bonavent. 4. Sent. d. 10. 9. 111.

undeceive us all) namely to go every morning to Mass, and there apply their hearty devotions to that Service, wherein a Priest pretends,

1. To offer our Saviour to God his Father, as

really as he offered himself upon the Cross.

2. Not to offer him up only, as a Fother may offer his Son, and a Mailer may really and juttly too offer his Servant: But to facrifice him also, as a Priest doth, when he facrifices his Victime.

and even, as some confess it, a real destruction; the the Priest with his sive words, and at the the least ten Miracles, must bring down the blessed Saviour under the despicable quantity of the Wasers and Wine consecrated, where He is shut up, for as long time as these consecrated & Accidents do last, in such a senseles and strength to help himself, if any villain will catch him, then that very white shape of Bread, under which he lyes hidden, is endued with.

4. To compleate this Sacrifice, the Priest must either eat him, or rather swallow him down, for fear if he did chew the Waser, that chewing might leave some small crums, and consequently the whole body, either in the gumms, or in some hallow

tooth of the Prieft.

3

'n

e

d

111

ve

5, This done, both Priest and People must move God to bless them, in consideration of this Sacrifice, whereby he sees they have so well ordered his Son. If the Priest had the power really to order him thus, there is no man that sees him doing it, but should make it his utmost endeavour, to have

g Thomas. 3. p. 9. 76. a. 6. Durand. d. 9. q. 3. Suarez. Disp. 46. set. 8. Par. Dicendum tertid.

him hold his hand nor, no true Christian Heart, but would have all his venial fins forgiven him by some other way, as whipping, confessing, and lying in Purgatory for a while, rather then by such shamefull and contumelious handling of Christ. As concerning the Priest; though what he doth be in essection empty Pageantry; yet since he intends to throw his Saviours Body and Sool into that horrible condition of death, this detestable intention makes him as really guilty of a flagitions and abominable crime, as is that of a Parricide, who intended to kill his Father, but missed his blow, and smote a Post.

6. Both Priest and People adore what they have

thus facrificed.

In this whole business you may observe chiefly these two general things. The attempt, and the Object attempted upon. The Attempt doth consist in three savage Ass. The first, to remember and represent the death of the Lord, by plunging the Lord himself into an invisible and reall condition of death. The second, to adore what one eats, and to sacrifice what one adores; the whole World affording no example of any one worshipper, that ever bowed to his Victime, except the Roman Priests at Mass; and the Jews at the Passion: where these worshipped Christ in raillery, and after that crucisied him. The third, a barbarous thinking of well serving and pleasing God, by thus abusing his dear Son.

2. As to the Object of this Attempt, if it is the true Son of God, whom Roman Priests thus offer, and devour, Mass is an open Cruelty. And if it be not the true, but a false and imaginary Christ, whom they adore thus solemnly, Mass is open Idolatry.

UMI

tii

M

for

## CHAP. VIII.

That no Pagan God ever had either so many, or so notorious Characters of a downright Idol, as what is adored at Mass.

DOLL is a Relative, that here may look towards three things. 1. To the thing which it represents, in which sense the 72. Interpreters sometimes take Idel, Image, and Carved thing promifcuoufly. 2. To that religious Service, that Men will bestow upon it. Thus, that which is an Image in a House, where it hangs but for an ornament, becomes an Idol in a Church, if it be removed thither to be worshipped. 3. To that foolish opinion which sets men upon this worshipping, which is as vain as vanity it felf; in which respect the Hebrews use to call Idols and false Gods אלילים that is, Things worth nothing. Whereto the Prophet Jeremy alludes, c. 2. 9. They walk after that which profits nothing, or rather things that are not at all, or a meer Nothing. Which fense S. Paul seems to allude to. when he fays, that the Idol is nothing. I. Corinth. 8. 4. That is, Idols may be somewhat, as to the material part; for so, they are sometimes brave works of Mens hands, statues of Gold, &c. Sometimes excellent works of God himself, as Sun and Moon, Angels, and Saints: But as to their other formal being of any excellency, which might require Divine

Divine worship, they are nothing at all of that, we'n fond worshippers are pleased to conceive of them.

Good Authors ' fay of Serapis, the greatest Idol of Egypt, that when Bishop Theophilus Patriark of Alexandria, was about to beat it down, the People thought that the least stroke offered upon that Image would provoke the God whose it was, to fend forthwith plagues among them: and that if it were quite beaten down, the Land would shake under that fall. Notwithstanding all this, Theophilus sent for tools, and Men fit for his work. When the People faw the Black-smithes with their hammers, they prefently cryed out, they were undone. But when Serapis his Head was cut off, and his Body thrown down from that advantageous station, that helped somewhat the huge Statue, to keep its worshippers in awe; in stead of Plagues, and Thunderbolts, they faw nothing but a fwarm of Mice, which the blow had frighted away out of the body of this God, besides many secret conveyances behind in the wall, by which Priests did usually get up, to move and to animate this Idol, as occasion did require. Consider therefore this vain Image in all its Materials : it was fomething indeed, to wit an admirable piece of work, but as to that Divine power, that Pagans did conceive of it, it was nothing.

To come nearer our business, take the Virgin Mary for what she is; think she is the most blessed, the most holy, and the most happy of Women: and God forbid we should ever either think, or speak otherwise of that glorious chosen Vessel. But if you make her a Goddess, or a Queen and Mistress of

ou

po

fai

and

wh

p. 18 f Spec

firmed ibid.

<sup>2</sup> Theodoret. Hift. Ecclesiast. l. S. C. 22. b Misfal. Paris. pag. 205.

the whole World: who, as Mother ' may command Christ: and raise d her Seat above or near the Throne of God the Father: and out of this Elevation rescue fometimes from the hands of Justice desperate men, after they have denyed Baptism, Trinity, and all facred things except her felf: and appear to S. Dominick with a Troop of above a hundred armed Angels, to strengthen him against 1500. Devils, who tormented an Heretick for laughing at her Rosary: and come down to Purgatory & punctually every Saturday. On the other fide, if you make her come down out of this glorious Majesty, either to cure h the scabbs of a young Monk; or to visit a i fick Miller in Germany; or walk about that fame Countrey to make her Image k fing at Mass, thus, I fay, you shall render the blessed Virgin a meer Legend, and if you worship and pray to her upon fuch accounts, you shall make her also a true Idol.

This is the case of Mass worship. What a Priest holds, breaks, consecrates, elevates, and adores, is certainly something; to wit, a white thin Waser of the bigness of a Roman penny, dryed between two hot Irons, by a Baker. But if you think that whilst the Priest mutters sive words, presently parts out of his mouth, or from Heaven, a miraculous power, that will destroy the whole substance of this said Waser, and that under its remaining white and round sigures, creeps that very Body of Christ, which by his Ascension hath bin carryed up to

1

t

e

in

d,

be

ak

ou

of

05.

the

Heaven:

b Missal. Paris. pag. 205. & p. 165. c Missal. Par. Miss. de B. M. p. 18. a Argent. de 7. Excellent. Edit. 1614. Scala (wli. Privil. 2. f Specul. Exemp. titul. Rosar. exemp. 1. Eulla Sabbath. John. 22. confirmed by Pope Alexand. V. Specul. Exemp. Titul. B. M. Exemp. 18. ibid. Exemp. 52. k Casarius. l. 7. C. 21.

Heaven: and farther, that being once got in this new lodging made of figures,&c. wraps it about so strait, that it pulls the new Guest, which ersoever the Priest, or the Rat, or other vermine will carry it; and thus gives him close attendance, as long as it is able to last: all these and other like fancies come to Nothing, and this Nothing being worshipped, is an Idol.

The truth is, of all Idols that ever were worshipped by Pagans, there is none fo open and exposed to all the reproaches and censures of holy Men in Scripture, as is this which Roman Catholiks adore folemnly at every Mass: and if this which they thus adore, were truly Christ, one might safely aver ( what even to think were blasphemy ) that neither Prophets, nor holy Fathers, in their speeches against Heathenish Gods, either considered well what they faid, or ever thought well of their Saviour.

1. To begin with their Original, when the Prophet Isaiah inveighs against them who worship Gods made by a Carpenter, of a Tree, which the Worshippers had planted, and after hewen into pieces. whereof one was to heat an Oven, and the others to make a God. c. 43. v. 14. 15. 17. Can any rational man think that the Holy Ghost did foresee, that all true worshippers in the times af the Mesfias, were to adore a God every morning made of, and every morning inclosed within somewhat of that Wheat, that first Countrey-men had fown, and Bakers baked into wafers, of which afterwards an Apothecary was to take some to wrap Pills in, and a Priest all the rest to consecrate into a God? And if the taking that for a God, which before the Confecration was but a Stock, is a Pagan blindness

S

in

m

fhi

vin

wh

ftia

lies

con

fubil

Arnol

fit for a Prophet to wonder at. v. 18. Is the adoring that for a Saviour, which, immediately before the uttering of some few words, was a thin wafer, fuch clear understanding, as may become a Catholick? Here, faith honest Minutius, 1 Pagans melt brass, they cast it, they set it up, they fasten it; 'tie. yet no God: they polish it, they adorne it; neither is it jet a God: But see now, they consecrate it, and pray to it, then as loon as Men will have it to be a God, it is a God. Was this wife man blind, not to fee that Pagans might return the fame raillery? Christians fow Wheat, they cut, gather, and thresh it, 'tis no Christ yet : they grind it, they sift it, they bake it. 'tis but a Wafer; they fet it upon an Altar, they elevate it, and cross it several times, no wonder yet: at last they speak five words upon it, presently ten Miracles break forth, and among a hundred wafers, which are all like one to another, that which they are pleased to think upon, is their Savionr.

Where was the wit and judgement of holy Fathers, S. Chrysostome, Management of holy Fathers, S. Chrysostome, Arnobius, Tertullian (if they had then Romes Mass worship) when they charged Pagans with flat madness, for lodging their Gods in Images, and for dreaming of Consecrations, we might turn the fate of vile materials into Gods, or shut these venerable Gods in vile Vessels; not perceiving in the mean while, that if Christians did then, what Roman Catholicks do now; both antient Christians and new Catholicks fall visibly to worse follies? For the blindest Pagans never dreamed in the consecrating of their Idols to turn effectually the substance of brass, stone, or timber into the very

s,

rs

a-

c,

ef-

of,

of

vn,

rds

in,

Spe

the

fit

<sup>1</sup> Minutius in Octav. m Chrysoff. in Natal. tom. 5. p. 517. edit. Æton. Arnob. 1. 6. o Tertull. Apolog. c. 12. initio.

Nature of their Gods: as these, who think and talk always of converting the whole substance of Wasers into the whole Body of Christ, Pagans could change by their Idol worship, the Glory of the incorruptible God into Images, Rom. 1. 23. made of vile materials: but they did not intend, by any help of miracles, to change this vile material into any God. This extraordinary attempt was never own'd, as I can remember, during the times of Pagan Rome. And Pagans did acknowledge their wood and stones, even after they had consecrated them into their Gods, to be no more p then Seats and Domicils made of wood and stone, where their Gods did love to appear: and where their assisting power, which they did call Numen, was wont to work.

This being so, all both reproaches and ironies. which Holy Prophets throw on Idols, fall twenty times more heavy upon what is adored at Mass. For example, if with Jacob, you laugh at Laban, Gen. 21. 30. for serving Gods, that a man or woman can steal away; or at the Egyptians with Isaiah 2. 20. for worshipping that at one time, which they must cast to Moles at another: or at the Babylonians, Isaiah 4.6. 7. for carrying on their shoulders their God, who otherwise could not help themselves: or at Bel and Netos Priests, Ifaiah 46. 1, 2. because their Gods fall to the ground, and are carryed away captive; these Idolaters will tell you, that all fuch reproaches, are gross mistakes: that they are not so mad, as to think that brass or timber can make a God: and that when these materials fall to the ground, or are stolen, or carryed away by Souldiers,

or

p Arnob. l. 6. Porph. apud Euseb. de Prap. Evang. p. 6. edit. Steph. Celsus apud Orig. l. 7. p. 37. Athanas. Oratim. cont. Gent. p. 17. ed. Comelin. their

their Gods are neither shut in, nor tyed up to their own Images. This indeed was not fufficient, to exempt Laban, Egypt, and Babylon from the Burthen and Lash of the Holy Prophets. For when these Pagans worshipped either their Bel, or their Serapis: it was then just with them, as it is now with downright Catholick Pilgrims at the Lady of Lauretta; the Gods and the wooden Images in their popular Devotions most commonly went together. But however it is far more, then Roman Catholicks will or can fay for what they do. 1. What Roman Catholicks adore, is exposed to all the insufferable abuses, that any dumb Idol can receive. It fals oftner then ever Nebo did to the ground; witness the injunction q of scraping the ground where it fals. It is sometimes stolen away, as the poor Gods of Laban were, witness Pope Innocents Decree, that it be so well kept, that no rash may approach it. Witness also the sad complaint, that a Bishop made to Charles the fifth', that his Church was so slenderly built, that the very Body of the Lord was not fafe from thieves and witches. It is fometimes carryed away captive, as were the Heathenish Gods. whom Pagan Rome had conquered; witness S. Lewis the IX. who being beaten, and in great distress, surrendred it for a Pawn, into the hands of the Sultan, who carryed away to Egypt.

2. It is exposed both to a greater number, and a worse kind of contumelious usages, then have ever been heard of Idols. 1. Never Idols were eaten up, or swallowed down by their own worsh ppers, except these two, the golden Calf, and the Mass God.

2 But

b

h

V-

rs

:S:

se

a-

all

are

ke

the

ers,

Celfus

nelin.

neir

a Missal. Rom. de Desect. in Minist. Can. 1 S. 1 Innoc. 3. Decretal l. 3. Titul. 44. c. 1. 1 Alex. Gerardin. Itiner. sub fin. : Froius.

But here is rhe great difference between these two forts of worshippers; They who worshipped the Calf, took it but for a representative of God: and when they had it down their throats, they were presently convinced that this God was but an Idol. This was a convincing evidence, which Mofes had learned of Noah: as Noah had learned it of God; when, as Holy Fathers " take it, God by diftinguish clean and unclean, in order either to prevent, or to convince Idolatry, gave Mankind ground for this Rule; that neither the things which are unclean, nor the things that are eaten, as being clean, must be adored, or thought to be Gods. This Rule flood in the Church of God, till Transubstantiation put it down: for Mass cannot abide such Doctrine. Here Noah, Moses, and God himself are consuted. And when they thought to have fufficiently kept off Paganism, by throwing their Gods among meats, that do fall down to Mens bellies, as Isaiah did efterwards by throwing them among fuch things as should be cast to Bats and Miles, 46. 7. Roman Priefts take up the quarrel, and maintain by what doth happen often at Mass, that Gods may be Gods ftill, though they thould fall into worse places. If hea henish Gods fall but to the ground, though it be but feldom, and by mischance, holy Prophets will laugh at them : and when the Mass God falls into worse filth, which is often, and of due course, whenfoever it is vomited up, good Catholicks will \* adore it. So certain and evident it is, that those holy Prophets and these good Catholicks are not led by the fame spirit.

Secondly,

n S. Theodoret, in Genes. interrog. 55. x Missal. Rom. de Defestib. c. 10. npm. 14. Si Sacerdos. Sugrez. de Euchar. Disp. 46. sett. 8. Par. Sequitur tertio.

Secondly, left you should reply that the mouthes, and throats, and stomacks of consecrated Priests ·have Priviledges; for some fay, that Priests do compleat, effentially the Sacrifice, when they eat it, which without question lay-men do not; it is now generally agreed amongst them, that the most lewd and beaftly lay-men, do both swallow down, and afterwards cast up sometimes this self same adorable object. Bonaventure indeed, and some few more, once had the simplicity to startle at this. But Pope 2 Gregory the XI. and the whole School, have long fince undeceived all Roman Catholicks of that errour: So that now it is publikly taught, that, if not a man onely, but even the vilest vermin, can nibble at confecrated Bread, she goes a away with this Mass God: and keeps him, whether in her mouth, or flomack, or guts, for as long as she can keep that crumb of Bread. Therefore are these two rules b prescribed. 1. That the Priest lick, or take up most reverently, if he can, what Hath been thus miscarryed. 2. And that when either flies, or spiders chance to fall into the Chalice, because these litle beasts cannot drink so litle, but they drink him whole, and have him in their litle guts; the Priest must by all means swallow down these flies, and spiders, if he can do it, without the indangering of his life. Once was the time, that whole Egypt was made ashamed of the stateliest God they had, as foon as they faw Mice creeping out of the belly of their God: what would they therefore have done, if they had feen their God.

D 3 creeping

1

t

e

h

S

S

e,

se.

ot

ar.

y,

y Bellarm, de Miss. l. 1. c. 27. 2 Direct. Inquisit. p. 2. q. 10. 3 Gabr. Vasquez. Disp. 195. c. 5. b Missal. Rom. De Desectibus, c. 10. n. 5. 4. 14.

creeping down, as the Mass God doth, into the

belly of these Mice?

Thirdly, what Rome adores, fares fometimes worse; and this must happen when the Priests stomack cannot digest what it receives : its natural ferment being depraved or overthrown; for in that case whatever is eaten, must be cast off crude and unaltered; and fo discharg'd by the usual evacuation of nature. where is the wife man, fays Cardinal Contarenus, who can doubt? since the virtue of Consecration holds out as long, as the thing which is consecrated, that is, the accidents of Bread and Wine. And therefore some here put in a caveat d against lienteries, and such like indispositions; that no man, being troubled with fuch weaknesses, presume to eat the Sacrament, for fear of throwing, what he adores, whither I am fure no Pagan worshipper would throw his God.

Pious and learned origen demonstrates against Celsus, the uncleaness of that Spirit, which inspired Pagan Prophets by the unclean parts he entered and upon the same ground one may guess at the holiness attributed to this God of Bread, by the same parts that he goes out of. I cannot write to this purpose, what a civil man could not read, nor a pious one think of, and therefore forbear to dilate on it. Learned men know, what Rabbins say about the worshipping of Baal peor. This new Idoll is twice as bad: for certainly neither antient, nor modern expositors thought hitherto, that holy Scripture should call a Deity, Bosheth, and Gillulim, that is God of shame and dung, upon such a literal

and proper account.

1

P

c Contar. Catech. Christ. Inter. 14. Sotus 4. Sent. d. 12. q. 1. a. 3. d Pa-Ind. in 4. d. 9. q. 1. s. 2. e Origen. contr. Celf. l. 7. sub init.

Lastly, as here are heavier charges laid upon this, then ever were upon any Pagan Idoll; fo. hath a Roman Priest much less to fay, to shift them off, then a Pagan. He cannot dery (as a Pagan can ) but that this very thing, which is fo infamoufly abused by all creatures, and of all forts, is the direct object of his best adoration, and the very God ( nor his Sacrament or Image anly ) whom he worships, and looks full in the face, when he falls on his knees at the elevation of the Hoft. Neither can a Roman Priest deny, as can a Pagan in some degree, but that the Roman Confecration is both more Destructive, and more Binding, then the Pagan hitherto is known to have been. I fay more Destructive, both to the to the consecrated Wafer; for it destroys its whole substance : and proceeds to the very Body and Soul, which it drives into the room of that evacuated substance; since it deprives them of the actual use of all their senses and faculties. The Body hath eyes, and cannot fee: it hath hands, and handles not, &c. and fo forth all along the perfect description made twice Psal. 115. and 135. of an Idoll. Whereas Pagans, first never destroyed their gold and filver, when they confecrated them into Images: and fecondly, when they called their Gods into them, and got them in, they never pretended to enchant them in any manner, that should make those Spirits more senseless and liveless when they were in, then when they were out.

I say also, the Roman Church must acknowledge their Consecration to be more terrible, then the Pagan inchantement, not only in destroying, but

1-

y,

f Misfal. Rom. Can. pag. 303. 304. 310.

also in Binding; for though consecrated Images were called fometimes, Bodies, which these Spirits did animate, because sometimes they made them speak: yet were they properly but Seats, and Honses, which they were pleased to haunt. So that when Pagan or Christian Conquerours did carry away these Images out of their towns; it was no more then if his Holiness would remove old S. Patrick out of Ireland, or our Lady from Lausetta. These heathenish Spirits were not at all carryed in fetters, like true captives; they had the liberty to follow the Triumphing chariots at a distance; and hover far or near about their captive Images, as Sea plovers about their brood. Nay more, they had liberty to fly far and wide over the whole face of the World: it was enough, if now and then, they would visit their old Abodes. Whereas at Mass, the Deity is entangled as a bird within a net, fo pittifully immur'd and feal'd up within these consecrated figures, that whosever can catch the wafers, catches therewith what they containe God, and Christ, and Saviour together. And whereas old Romans did bring into their Pantheon, ( that was a Temple for all Gods, among their other Conquests) the Idols of most Nations: Now by a contrary revolution of affairs, a Turk, a few, a witch, a Rat, a Bird in a Wood, any thing, that can but bite, may carry away this Roman God.

Unto all this, what the Roman Clergy can principally say for themselves, is that the blessed Saviour whom they say they adore at Mass, falls into this deaddish condition, that evposes him to these abuses, quatenus est in Sacramento, only as it is either

either offered to God by the Sacrifice, or given to Communicants by the Sacrament of Mass: but that nevertheless he continues to be at the right hand of his Father, both in the possession of a most glorious life and strength, and above all Injuries. I do not here dispute, whether the same Body, which is at the right hand of God, can at the same moment of time, be here beneath upon an Altar: Nor whether the same Body, which here at Durham is lifted up, to be adored, by one Priest, may at London at the same time be thrown into the fire by another to stop the flame; or delivered in the Holy Land into the hands of a Sultan, for the fecurity of his money: Nor whether twenty confecrated wafers, whereof one is danced about among Witches, another at the same time is devoutly carried to a dying man, another hath some other fate, &c. in twenty several places, can contain one and the same man; as if you would fancy that twenty or fourty shells can contain all severally, one and the same little oyster; I answer in one word to what is faid; that the glorious Saviour, who fits at the right hand of his Father, and there fees and governs all things both in Heaven and Earth, is Christ, the great and eternal God: And if you suppose him to be with his Body and Soul, in the Sacrament, and as being there, not to be able either to help himself or others; certainly as being there, and as being fuch, you make him a perfect Idoll. For of these two manners of being, to wit, the Sacramental in. a wafer, and the Natural in Heaven, which they do presuppose to be, so equally both real and g

g Becan. de Sacram. c. 17. q. 13, n. 7;

D 5

inde:

independent the one from the other, that Christ is pretended to be both as really and as absolutely in the wafer, as in Heaven; his natural and glorious presence in Heaven doth not impede his desolate presence in the wafer. Here he lies still as destitute of all actual use of life, and strength under the hands of a Roman, as if he was not there with his Father. Christ reigning among and above all Angels, doth not rescue the poor h Captive, whom a Turkish Sultan carries away: nor the poor wretch, whom ' a flie can as eafily swallow, as it can drink: nor a pitifuller creature, k that did hang about the beard of rude untrimmed lay-men, before ftrict order had been given, that they should take the Sacrament but in one kind. Concemitancy, or Identity of one Body in thousand places, and such other pretty Toyes, that Mass Priests must call to their help, do no more rescue Christ from being in the guts of twenty butter-flies, for all his being among Angels, than this being of his among Angels, is, as they fay, hindred by his being in the guts of butter-flies. If these and other like passages are as real, as they are pretended to be; Roman Priests, who offer to bring the blessed Saviour to fuch streights, are as bad as Typhon himfelf, and all his brothers, the Giants, who forced all the Gods of those times, to hide themselves in Crocodiles: and their Confecrations at Mass may go beyond the cruelty of all inchantments known any wher.

But if nothing of all this be true, if the whole theory of the Roman Mass, be a long dream of

ground!es

h Jovins f. p. i Missal. Rom. De desect. Par. Si Musca. & Job. Gerson. de Com. sub utrag; pag. 102. Periculum in longis Barbis Laicorum.

groundles impossibilities, there neither is, nor ever was, Pagan Idolatry like the Roman, as even Jefuites sometimes confess. And those heathen, who worshipped senseles stocks, and stones, can say a great deal more for themselves, than they who worship a consecrated wafer. For they who worship'd wood and stone, as once most part of the world did; or Rams, and Hawks, and Snakes, as the inhabitants of Egypt did; they were hereto perswaded in consideration of somewhat else, greater than any thing that could be contained in them. Among the Idolaters of all Ages, except only the Manichees, whom S. Augustin m makes worse than Pagans: because these worshipped alwaies something, that was, though 'twas not God; and those adored meer Fictions (namely Christs hanging on tops of trees) which neither were Gods, nor any thing else: Except these Manichees, I say, the whole Vatican in all its antient Manuscripts cannot find one Pagan example, that ever adored, as the true direct object of Devotion, such a small senseles ungodlike substance, as is contained in a wafer.

Against this, the last refuge of Roman Catholicks, is to defend themselves, by pleading good Intention, & say they directly worship, what is contained in this waser, because they take it for their Saviour. So might they plead, who worshipped the Sun, and Moon, (common Idols of ancient times,) for they would not have look'd up twice towards them, as upon objects of supream worship, if they had not thought them to be true Gods. And in this case, the Pagan hath this advantage for his ex-

<sup>1</sup> Cofter. Fnebirid. de Euchar. c. 8. Par. Decima, pag. 301. Edit. Colon. Agripp, 1387. " Augustin, contra Faustum 1, 20. c. 9.

cuse; that he can see in the splendor, motion, and influences of these great and noble bodies, more probabilities to betoken a God, and so both to deceive, and defend himself, than the Roman can ever perceive in a wafer. In other cases, I ingenuously confess, the Pagan may have the worse; because in thinking to serve a God, they commonly ferved a Devil: whereas the Roman ferves but a wafer. But in this, they are both alike, that both worship a meer creature instead of the b'essed Creator: and that neither ignorance, nor good Intention can excuse their Idolatry. Otherwise, if that ignorance, which commonly misguides Intentions, and causes all Idolatry, could excuse it also in any confiderable measure, there would be found no damnable Idolater in the whole world, if he hath a Religion, and hath not made himself guilty before of the fin against the Holy Ghost: a rare fin in the world, whereas Idolatry is common. For it is hard to find any one Pagan, who will confess, that he ever adored his base Idols, without this good intention, of adoring what he thought a God.

The truth is; there may happen mistakes, which good intentions will in some measure expiate: as either when errour cannot be avoided, what application or care soever a man will use to prevent it: or when sometimes the suddenes of the thing prevents all application & care. Thus far one may pardon that devout woman, John 20. 15. who at the first encounter took Christ for a Gardiner. And that blessed Apostle, Revel. 22. 8. who, being dazled & overcome with glorious apparitions, worshipped an Angel, thinking he had bin the Saviour. But there

there are some other mistakes, which might have bin, either prevented at the first, or corrected and restrained in the progress, if there had bin no want of honest endeavour about the knowing of the truth. Thus a foolish woman may take one in the dark for her Husband, whom, if the had had but the patience to hear him speak, she might forthwith have known to be no other than her Neighbour. And thus millions of men receive into their hearts the first Religion which their Countreys afford, which upon better enquiry, if they would have bin at that trouble, they might have found to be no better than prophaness. All such mistakes argue a vice within the heart, namely statidity, & wilful unconcernednes about holy & unholy things, which fometimes is as inexcusable, as the very sin which they cause. Who con excuse a Pharifee, for throwing stones (although ignorantly, and upon that ignorance out of great Zeal, Act. 3. 17.) at fefus, when so many Prophets and more Miracles, if they would have examined them, proclaimed openly he was the Christ? Who can excuse either an Egyptian, if he take a Leek for his God: or a Roman, if he take what he eats, and fometimes \* spues, for a glorious Saviour; when his Faith, his Reason, and all his Senses do bid him to take it for bread? And if he cannot yet difcern it with all these helps, the very Birds and Flies, that feed on it, will help him out of his errour (as fay holy Fathers, " who used to laugh at such heathenish follies) & teach him better, what this God is.

<sup>\*</sup> Missal, Rom. de Descell. &c. c. 10. na. 14. Si Sacerdos evomat. n Minutius in Octav. Quanta de Dii, &c. The very Rats and Bats know better than you, (Pagans) that your Gods have reither sense nor knowledge: for they sit upon them, and would, if you did not fright them away, nest in their mouths. Edn. Oxon. 1631. p. 7.

As for the fincere intention here pretended, it is altogether inconfistent with such mistakes: there is no honest intention in that woman, who in the dark ventures her self with every one promiscuously as her Husband: nor in that blind worshipper, who rather than to examine truth, will take stone, bread, brass, wood, or any thing else for his Saviour. It being certain, that an earnest and true intention in a woman, of dealing faithfully with her husband; or in a worshipper of applying his heart to none but to the true and living Lord, would permit no room for such mistakes.

The Roman Church hath at this day two most special objects for the choicest Devotions; the B. Virgin, and the Sacrament. After that, Gatholicks have bestowed on Her all expressions of Faith and Adoration, that David could bestow on God, they excuse themselves from Idolatry by their acknowledging that the Blessed Virgin is a creature and not a God: as if a woman surprised in bed with her neighbour, should cry out, it is not adultery, because she knows she doth not lie with her husband. And when they bestow upon a consecrated waser, the same direct and terminated Adoration, which is due to Christ, they hope they will be excused from plain Idolatry by good intention and ignorance, because forsooth they would not have worshipped a filly

wafer, if they had known it to be but a wafer. So chuse whether of the two you please, either knowing or not knowing will justifie these worshippers: and the Harlot between knowledge and ignorance, like a Rat running to and fro between joint stools, shall never be taken in her sin.

In the mean time, whatever they know or know not, this is certain and unanswerable, that if by their consecration they reduce the Blessed Saviour to that pitisful condition of being enclosed in a wafer, and thereunder being exposed to all the dishonours that attend such a pitisful condition, their Mass is a detestable Tragedy, and themselves most cruel Astors. And if their Consecration hath no such real effects, but consecrated Bread is still bread; then what can their worshipping of this waser be else, than such a compleat Idolatry, as the whole World hath not the like? but I return to the Sacrifice.

## CHAP. IX.

Concerning Altar and Miracles necessary to the Mass Priest in his Sacrifice.

ASS, as it is already faid, cannot be fuch a real Sacrifice, as it is pretended to be, without Priest, and an Altar.

As for Altars, good care hath bin taken, that they should be right and compleat. It is ordered they

they be made of a stone: otherwise if they were of wood, fuch as the Apostles once b had theirs; they could not be anointed, they must be all of one piece, not of two, shutting like a Book, or joyned one to another. They must be ancinsed with what they call Chrisma, and washed and sprinkled with holy water. For this sprinkling is likely to procure remission of venial sins. They must be consecrated by a Bishop; unless it be in remote Countreys, where by a most special priviledge, Jesuites may perform that Office. The Prayers at this Confecration come to no less than to bringing down the Holy Ghost upon these stones. Upon these Altars must there be Wax-candles g burning night and day; for without these it were ha mortal sin to fay a Mass: and under them Bones of dead Saints, or in default thereof, as some think the very Body of Christ, must be buried or deposited as a Relick. I lay afide many leffer formalities, as the feven Cirenitings, the five Croffes, &c. which for ail that are conceived to be extreamly necessary. For, says Suarez, it were a mortal fin to celebrate the Sacrifice upon an Altar not consecrated after this manner: fince by this Confecration Altars m receive a Spiritual vertue, which make them fit for Gods fervice.

All these things are easy to be had. The greater difficulty might be to find out such extraordinary *Indulgences*, as may both draw much People to

. Mass,

a Decret. Evarist. Tom. 1. Concil. b Scarez Disp. 81. Sett. 5. Par. Dicendum. Gratian. de Consecrat. Dist. 1. Altaria. d Sylvester in Summa verb. Altar. Thomas. 3. p. a. 83. a. 3. Ad tertium. Vasquez. Disp. 233.c. 2. r. Pontiscal. Rom. de Consecrat. Altaris. Palud. Derand. Spc. D. 13. Becan. de Moral. Miss. 9. 7. Par. Secunda Conclusio. k Durant. Rational. l. 1. c. 7. Pag. 15. Scarez, Disp. 81. sect. 5. Par. In tertia. Thomas. 3. p. q. 83. Ad tertium.

Mass, and capacitate the Altar to raise the Sacrifice of Christ offered thereon, to some considerable

rate, about its ordinary value.

But the manifest difficulty of all, is to get such a Priest, as may be well qualified for the great work which he undertakes. This great work is, to get within his reach the Body of Christ from Heaven: and in order to this reaching; to work in five words, more Miracles than either Moses or Elista, or any other Saint, unless it be S. Dominick and S.

Francis, ever did in his whole life.

At his faying these few Latin words, Hoc est evin Corpus meum, if he will but mind what he fays (for without this intention, there is nothing done) 1. The jubstance of as many wafer cakes as can be well laid on the Altar, is destroyed in a moment. And what you fee remaining of them, is no more than an empty figure, a white colour, and fuch other hollow shadows. 2. These Shadows and Figures, after they have lost their substance, which was their only feat & support, must against their own nature, subfift without it, and by themselves. The whole world affords nothing like. For the Apples found about Sodom, that S. Augustine " makes mention of, have fome ashes or foot within, which supports their cheating colour. And those Tuns, which, as some fay, a kind of Thunder will waste within, and spare without, have still a dry hardned substance, that maintains their outward Figure. The very Phantasmes, such as the Disciples being affrighted once thought they saw, though destitute of Flesh and Bones, yet have some kind of vapour, or condenst air, that gives ground to their appearance. But these

<sup>.</sup> August. de Civ. l. 21. c. 5.

Mass Accidents have not so much as the ordinary Air to hang upon. The whiteness and roundness, and taste and dryness of these consecrated wasers remain when the white, round, insipid, dry wasers are destroyed; just as if you can imagine all the Stories and Antiquities of the Vatican Library, sluttering still about the Walls, after all the Books that contain'd them, are gone and decayed. Such a continual and prodigious Miracle, never heard of before Transubstantiation, must at every Mass save and keep these; white, round, dry Nothings.

3. A third Miracle must fetch down, and then pack up, a proper and well proportioned Body, within every one of those little rooms, which the wasers substance thus destroyed hath left empty. An huge Camel passing through the eye of a fine needle; or a Giant hiding himself under a nut-shell,

would be twenty times less wonderful.

4. A fourth Miracle must make this Body lye, sit, or stand, or however be in twenty thousand several places at the same time: and teach the Angel better Logick, than that he made use of, Matt. 28. 6. to conclude, that Christ was not in the Sepulchre, because he was gone to Galilee; for by vertue of this Miracle, Christ might be both in the Sepulchre, in Galilee, and in a thousand other most distant Countreys.

5. Four such other like these, are requisite to the Sacrifice of him under the other kind, that is, the form of wine. There are two more required sometimes for to create a new substance for worms, vinegar, and other things, whensoever they are engendred under the consecrated forms of Bread and

Wine.

F.

n

ir

Wine. This corruption is found sometimes, as they fay, under the consecrated species, to conceal this great Mystery, and to keep up the worth of their merit, who believe it; for if nothing were produced when these Species, are corrupted, that one experiment would discover Transulstantiation: and it were no Faith to believe a thing that were thus feen. Therefore to this holy purpose " wife Catholicks will allow new Miracles, to make worms and vinegar, when the consecrated species are kept too long, or to make ashes when they are burnt : or to make new Bread and new Wine in their behalf, who either p out of infidelity, will try whether there be any substance under these shows, or out of gluttony will eat and drink fo much of them, that I their Bellies shall swell, and their Brains turn. But let the Priest eat and drink of these never so soberly and sparingly, yet must they turn in his stomack into fomething, that falls into the draught, and that is not created without some of these Miracles which attend constantly the work of Transubstantiation: in which case the Miracles are bestowed towards Chamber-pots and close-Stools.

Whosoever will be counted for a true Roman Priest, must have all these Miracles, especially the 8. former, at his command; which certainly is a power above the condition of any Man in two respects. 1. The simpendousness of the work. And 2.

the familiar Use of it.

1

,

e

n

2,

el

8.

e,

of

e,

nt

to

S,

ed

n-

nd

ie.

1. The stupendousness of the work. For we hear of Moses, that he divided the red Sea once: of Eliah,

o Scotus 4. Sent. d. 12. q. 6. Par. Dico ergo. Cabr. Biel. in Can. lett. 45. F. Durand. in 4. Dist. 12. q. 2. nu. 10. p Waldens. tom. 2. q. 62. 9 Gabr. Vasq. tom. 3. in Part. 3. Aquinatis. Disp. 195. c. 4. nu. 43. Vasquez ibid. nu. 40.

that he brought down fire from Heaven twice or thrice: of fosbuah, that once he made the Sun and Moon stand: But of any Prophet, or Apostle, whose Miracles could go higher, even to the very Throne of God the Father, where Christ doth sit, yet not so safe, but that a Roman Priest may get him down, and dispose of him at his pleasure when he hath him, this is a feat indeed, that never had a precedent, nor I think, a being since the foundation of this World.

2. I say secondly, the frequent and familiar use of these stupendous Miracles; for when Moses and the Prophets wrought their Miracles, it was not by any habitual inherent Gift, which they might exercife when they would: but then onely, when that Almighty Spirit of God, who gave them the power, moved them for that time to use it. Hence it is that Elisah, living among many Lepers, never cured but one Naaman: Peter raised but few from the dead; and Paul with his gift of healing left his dearest Companions, Trophimus and Timetheus, the one dangerously sick at Miletum. 2. Tim. 4. 20. the other struggling with the pains of an ill stomack at Ephefius. 1. Tim. 5. 23. Whereas a Roman Priest must by all means be as ready at his Miracles, as at his Mass. If any of his Parish be dead, or sick; if a Traveller will part earlyer out of the Inn, then the ordinary time of Service; if an Ox, or a Horse, yea even 'a Hen do not thrive in the Neighbourhood, presently Masses will be called for : and all these M. racles must be at hand, for the preservation of these poor Cattle. So that ordinarily one Priest shall spend more Miracles upon such trivial exigen. cies, for the behalf of his Parish in half a year,

then

t

t

t

W

CC

re

hi

fp

tic

cra

on

M

fid

fel

the

Ma

the

no

fenfi

8. P

nert.

f Guill. Man. de Sacrif. c. 32.

then S. Pecer and S. Paul ever wrought throughout all the world in their whole life.

To make these strange things more credible, it availes nothing, to fay, that this Countrey Priest works no Miracles, but by the power of our Saviour, who, as they fay, is at every Mass the Chief Priest, and the Roman Priest his servants For Moses, and Peter, and Paul were but servants also, in all the Miracles they did. Only here is the difference, which makes the great abfurdity; that God did inspire Moses to lift up his Rod, Foshuah to speak to the Sun; and Peter and Panl to bless, and curse, whensoever he was pleased to work Miracles: whereas the Mass Priest, I suppose, waits for no such inspiration to do his work, contrarywise Christ is conceived to be always ready for this officer, whenfoever he will but open his mouth to fay five words.

And though this Substitute were a mortal 'deference finner, an "Excommunicated and "Degraded person, or even a "Magician; his Sacrincing Character is held so strong, that the Consecration and Miracles of his Master must still go on. In so much that if the Priest will meddle with Magical Arts, and intend this business of his, besides that of his proper Office; he can make himself as sure of his pretended Masters affistance, in the Transubstantiating of his wasers, as of his true Masters Inchantment, in quenching the sire with them, or turning them into an "Armour, which no sword or bullet shall pierce. And whereas some-

times.

or

d

se

ne

ot

n,

n,

. 1

d.

Se

br

y

er-

at

er,

is

cd

di

eft

ne

he

at

eft

at

fa

he

ea

d,

efe

of est

11.

ar,

nen

t Franc. Suarez in 3. part. Aquinat. Disp. 77. sett. 2. Par. Secundus sensus. Thomas part. 3. q. 82. a. 7. Par. Respondeo. Thomas ibid. a. 8. Par. Resp. dicendum. y Thom. q. 74. a. 2. Ad secundum. 2 Senuer. Pratt. 1. 5. part. 4. c. 24. De Impenetralibus.

times it may a happen that Mass doth good to no body, in order of impetrating, which is the main this Service; yet do they conceive Christ to be so punctual an observer of the time, when these Officers will speak the words, that though he will attend, neither the devout Prayes of the worshipper, nor any of those ends wherefore the Mass is sung or said: yet will he rather throw away all these Miracles, to no purpose, or, as in the case of black Magick, to ill purposes, then to disappoint the Mass Priest; who of his side makes no question, but, that what he says will be as powerfully and really brought to pass, as if Christ himself had said it: the Priest, though Sorcerer and degraded, being still united ' to Christ, as they fay, by his Character, and in those Acting a as Christ himself. In a word all these wonders do follow the Priest every day, whither soever he can carry a consecrate stone, that is as broad, as to hold up a little Cup, and a Patten. These wonders wait continually for the motion of his tongue, and the opening of his lips: And as foon as the last word of his speech is out of his mouth, presently these wonders deliver Christ, who hath wrought them, into his hand. Well may Rome wonder at S. Augustine, and take him for an ignorant man, who knew nothing that should amaze any body about the holy Sacraments. " They may be honored, fays he, as being holy, but not wondered at, as being marveilous. Then, when the Priest hath him in his wafer,

t

do

fh

lic.

bel

Durand. 4. D. 12. q. 4. Vasquez Disp. 228. c. 3. Par. Verum enimverò. Suarez Disp. 79. sett. 1. Par. Fundamentum. Becan. de Sacris. q. 12. Par. Dices, fundatur. b Bellarm. de Miss. l. 2. c. 4. Par. Tetta propositio. Saurez in Them. 3. p. 82. a. 7. d Id. Disp. 89. sett. 11. Par. Dico ergo primò. e S. August. de Trinit. l. 3. c. 10.

like a Corps in a winding sheet, first he he blesses him, and prays to God, that he may be as acceptable, as the Sacrifice of Abel was. Then he lifts him up over his head, that all the people may adore him. And because all this while the Priest is the superior, (otherwise he could not bestow a Sacerdotal bleffing on him ) and hath the advantage to dispose of this his Victim; if the Priest chance to be a discreet man, either he carryes him abroad, to be adored in a publick Procession; or to be eaten by some dying man, or else he puts him sub fideli custodia, where no Rat or spider can come. But if he be rash, and careless, (as in all professions every one is not what he should be) then the Victim runs the hazard of going along, wherefoever Thieves, and Witches will drive. Now in conscience is this the CHRIST the Son of the living God, the only Saviour of the World, the God of Christians, and the Master of Angels : or a pittifull Roman Idoll, which Rogues and Devils do tofs about, and abuse so? Such Conceits, being shuffled among true Christian Mysteries, might go near to tempt the truest and soundest Catholicks, much more a number of unlearned and undiscerning men, to mistrust all, and at last to beleive nothing.

CHAP.

S

S

d

y

W

y

ip

he

of

n-

to

ne,

oly as ous. fer, nimif. 4. ertia Par.

like

## CHAP. X.

Concerning the Office and Order of Roman Priesthood.

THE Priest being armed with these Miracles, proceeds to the Ast of Sacrifice, blessing and Consecrating, what is to be facrificed. This Consecration is none of Gods blessings, wherewith all creatures grow and prosper: since it either destroys or makes worse every kind of thing it lights upon. When it falls upon the Elements of Bread and Wine, it consumes clear away their whole Substance: And when it falls upon the Body of Christ, strips it clear (as to the use) of life, and strength. Thus Christ having lost all use of life, and the Bread and Wine all their substance, do joyne all upon equal terms, to make the compleat <sup>2</sup> Sacrifice.

This being done; the Priest bestows the other Blessing upon Christ. That be God the Father would be pleased to look propitionsly upon it (that is his beloved Son thus sacrificed, and to accept of it, as since he accepted of the offering of his servant Abel, and of the sacrifice of our Patriarck Abraham, and of that holy and spotless Victime, which Melchisedek his High-Priest presented him. A very good prayer once, in the mouth and sense of ancient Fathers, and the behalf of the Offerings, which devout people

a Bellaum, de Miff. l. 1: c. 27. Par. Tertia propositio. Snarez Disp. 75. st. 1. Par. Dico tertiò. b Miss. Rom. in Canone.

in

a

a

m

th

fo

W

it

A

pa bis in those days usually brought to the Lords Table. But as it is ever since the time of Transubstantiation used in behalf of Christ, it cannot be less then blasphemy.

These two Blessings, however bestow'd on Christ by one Man, must be dispensed by different Capacities; and no Priest can compass that essential part of his Mass, without acting three Personages.

As for this last Bleffing, which compares Christs Sacrifice to the Rams and Lambs of Abel; the Prieft gives it in his own ordinary Office. For every Prieft, as Priest, by virtue of his Character, is Master of his own Victime; and in this capacity can both offer it, and bless it, according to the standing rule, Hebr. 7. 7. Without all contradiction, ( fays the Apostle) the less is blessed of the better. He speaks of that Bleffing that is given by virtue of Publick power, as when Melchisedek bleffed Abraham, and Kings, Priests, and Prophets bless any of the People. Thus far the Roman Priest is better then his own Master; and CHRIST, who, at his Passion, and in his grave, was made, at those moments, a litle lower then the Angels, P (al. 8. is yet after his Refurrection, and his reigning above all Angels; made at every Mass in many respects much lower then any ordinary Priest.

As to the Consecration; here the Priest must seek for a capacity higher then his own, otherwise it were an untruth in him to say, This is my Body; when 'tis not his, but Christs. Therefore he saith it as Christs Legat. But because a Legat, or an Ambassadour, is not by his office sufficiently capacitated, to call those things his own, which are his Masters; (for neither Spanish nor French Em-

E baffa-

S

d

of

e,

υf

e,

n-

er

be

e-

as el,

and

dek

yer

ers,

ople

P. 75.

in

bassadours will call Navarre or Catalonia their Kingdoms; nor the Spanish or French Queens, their Wives:) therefore he is forced to take upon him another part, like a Player ( fays 'learned V asquez) upon a stage : and to invest himself with the very habit and shape of Christ, when he is uttering the five words, that do invest and wrap up Christ with-

in the habit and shape of Bread.

These words being said, he then stops short; and either he passes over the words following, or lays aside the Person, he had assumed: For the next words Do this, &c. are the Part which the Bishop must act, whensoever he gives holy Orders: and if the Priest should say them on still in the fame person of Christ, all the Communicants would come very near to be Priests. Therefore to avoid all danger, it is fafer to stop at these words, and to proceed immediately to the Consecrating of the Wine. Where the Priest doth appear again for a while under the shape of an Historian, relating meerly what Christ did, in the words Simili modo, that is, in like manner after supper taking the Cup, &c. Then as foon as he is at the Benedixit; that is, He liessed it: he makes the fign of the Cross, which I think he doth under the habit of a Priest: But before he delivers the following words, Drink ye all of this; he must by all means leave it off, and return to his Historians part; for the Priesely would bring lay-men into danger of being forced to receive the Communion, as they did in antient times, prop under both kinds. Immediately after, at the found own of these words, Hic enim est, &c. that is, This is Bellar the Cup, &c. Christs Legat, or the Mystical Christ

. Vafquez Diff. 200, c. I. Par. Alii verò cenfent.

appears

to

an

chi

bei

nit

d Ca

dib

reac

bod

offer

ftom

this:

d Anti

& Bel

appears, till he come to the Prayer, Unde & memores, &c. which he can fay upon his own account. Such a diversity, and such both frequent and sudden changes of persons, upon any other stages then a Mass Altar, would certainly confound and puzle the best play. Nevertheless Schoolmen and Priests are so admirably beaten to it, that if you beleive them, they can presently find out among all these shiftings, a plain and ready literal sense of Christs

words, to prove their Mass.

Therefore that they may clear this Mystery, and unshuffle it into some order; they distinguish two Priests at one Mass; the first and chief, is Christ himself: who as being in Heaven, offers himself as being on Earth. The fecond is the Roman Prieft. who offers him also as his under officer and Instru-But this, I fear, is to make darkness to be darker: and to heap up one confusion upon another. For, that Christ being in Heaven, is the chief to offer himself properly and actually, as being on Earth; is a point of Scholastical Divinity so abstruse, that many of their best Doctors cannot beleive it. For to pass over this incredible absurdity, that our Saviour Christ from above reaching down as low as the Earth, here finds his body on an Altar, whence he takes it up again and offers it to his Father; (for Roman Catholick stomacks fometimes must digest as hard meat as this:) Doth Christ himself sing, or say Mass, which properly is his Sacrifice? Doth he confecrate his and own Body? and according to those best Divines is is Bellarmin, &c. who think that this Sacrifice is prin-

cipally

ł

d

e

a

g

10,

P,

IS, ch

ut

3'8 nd

uld

re-

ies,

brift

ears

d Anton. de Corduba l. 1. q. 3. Gab. Biel. Lett. 26. Vasquez dist. 225. c. C. Bellarin. de Miss. l. 1. c. 27. Par. Septima propositio.

cipally confummated, when it is eaten by the Priest; is our Saviour Christ, at every Mass, the chief eater of his own slesh?

To this Bellarmin can fay nothing, but that 'tis true, fays he, f Christ doth not eat himself immediately. Nevertheless one may say, that he doth eat himself at Mass: because he gives himself, (to the Roman Priest) to be eaten , just in the same manner, as in the Cross Christ really sacrificed himself, because he delivered himself to be slain although he did not flay himself. So far must these able men run out beyond their wits, where they undertake to defend Mass. If you admit of this answer; here Roman Priests officiate at their Altar, as Roman Souldiers did at the Cross: and as these had spears, so those have teeth to tear Christs slesh. A new Priestly Order indeed, which neither Melchisedek, nor Aaron had. But as Christ had nothing to do with these villains, who murthered him: so hath he not any concern with those, who, for as much as in them lyes, go about to eat him up, as if he were really murthered.

Some of them go fo far, as to fay, that & Christ offers himself actually, all the time he lyes within the waser: and, that by this actual oblation, he is continually appearing his Father. It seems these men will give an account of what Christ doth all the while he is kept in his Pix, which often is three or four days. The Turk kept him once there so long, till he was paid off his money; and the Priest should do well upon this score to keep him, as long as the Consecrated accidents can both keep him.

u

I

fup

tha

this

is t

as (

Price

ledg

Bar.

f Bid. Par. Quod sutem. s Apud Vasq. Disp. 225. c. 3. Par. Recurriers.

and themselves, since he bestows his time so well-But it is a most simple thing either to ask or to answer what he doth, when it is confessed by all

Roman Catholicks that he doth nothing.

Therefore others being ashamed of this folly, dare h not say, that Christ offers any otherwise, but because he hath instituted, and approved of the Sacrifice. But laying aside this instituting and approving (whereof hereafter) to institute is not to offer. These two different Asts are belonging to two quite different Powers, that to the Priest, and this to God. And as no Priest can be the Author or Instituter of his own Order; for no man takes this honour unto himself, Hebr. 5. 4. neither is he of his Sacrifice: God alone is that, and not the Priest. This is so true, that when Christ was pleased to be a Priest, and to offer himself a Sacrifice, he would do nothing at all, but as he had received the commandment of his Father. Joh. 15. 10.

Others will have Christ to be the Chief Priest upon another kind of ground, because his Humane Nature is to him a living and joint Instrument of the Transubstantiation, wherein consists his Sacrifice. I will not stir the bottom of this Puddle, for fear of raising out of it more stink then truth. But yet suppose that Transubstantiation, and all Miracles that are supposed to attend it, have a being in this World: Suppose likewise that Christ, as Man, is the efficient cause of all these; so is God too, as God, who for all this cannot be thought to be a Priest. Some of their best Scholars k will acknowledge sometimes, when this truth concerns them not

E 3 much

5

it

e

r,

ſe

ut

nd

an

ers

ose

ly

or

ith

he

ach

he

rift

hin

e is

nese

all

ree

e fo

riests

long

him

Cecen

and

h Vasquez ibid. c. 3. Corduba l. 1. q. 3. i Suarez Disp. 77. Sect. 1. Par. Tertid consider. k Id. ibid.

much, that to produce the thing which is to be facrificed, and to facrifice it, are distinct Acts, and do require distinct capacities. For example, he that sets the Pine-Tree, and afterwards makes of it an Image, is not the man who can confecrate it: nor are Bezaleet, and Aboliab fit men to fanctify and anoint the Tabernacle, although they were fit men to build it. To come nearer to this purpose, the Countrey Men, that had brought up the Rams, or the Levites that, it may be, had tyed them to the Altar, had not the Character or Unction of Aaron to offer rhem. And if instead of ten Miracles, the Mass Priests had so many Angels, able and willing at their five words to lay down Christ; yet should not all these Angels by fo doing become High Friests. Those need not alwaies to be Priests, who carry the Pope to S. Peters, or heave him up on the Altar, there to fit and to be adored folemnly in the very Seat of their God. 2. The ff. 2.4. Nor doth His Holiness become an Oblation by fitting in that place, where Heave-offerings are presented.

The reason is, that besides the natural, whether strength er Efficiency, for bringing and slaying Victims, which is common to a Butcher: and besides the civil right and power, for the disposing of these Victims, which is common to any Master; the Priestly and sacrificing Ast requires and includes effentially a double moral and sacred capacity; the one upon his Victim, that the Priest may sit and consecrate it for the Altar: the other toward God, that he may so far have credit, as both to intercede, and to procure acceptation in behalf of this Victim.

The first Capacity of preparing and dedicating Sacrifices, is grounded upon that *Dominion* and disposal,

-

a

a

posal, which Priests must have over all things they offer. Hence it is, that before Aaron there was no Priest to a Nation, but the King: nor to a Family, but the Father; and after him the eldest Son. And since Aaron, it is well known what sway the High-Priests, and Priests had, from their beginning to their end, in the Common-wealth of Israel.

The fecond is grounded upon their Inauguration, which invested them with this Priviledge, that what soever they duely offered, was made Holy: and whatsoever they lawfully recommended, was both acceptable, and most commonly accepted. Hence it is, that as the best Frankincense yielded but sinoke, unless it was burnt by that fire which was fallen from Heaven, and kept safe upon the Altar: so were the sattest Sacrifices counted for no better then common Flesh, unless they were offered by a consecrated Officer, that is a Friest.

Hereupon the Church of Rome doth what she can, to attain to these Priviledges; I. by consecrating their Altars, and praying, that the Holy Ghost (which is a bold expression) may fall down upon the

stone, and sprinkle it with eternal m light, &c.

2. By anointing the hands of Mass Priests, That through the virtue of this Anointing, all that may be blessed, which he shall bless; And all consecrated and sanctifyed, which he shall consecrate and sanctify. 3. By the conferring of power to offer Sacrifice to God. These and other like ceremonies may peradventure promise somewhat towards consecrating the Elements, and raising them from their common to a blessed Sacramental use: as likewise towards con-

d

r,

r

5

e

fe

re

at

re

er i-

es

ese

he

es

he

on-

nat

nd

ing

lif-

al,

Pontifical. Rom. de Consecr. Altar. portat. fol. 145. m Ibid. fol. 147. pag. 3:7. edit. Rom. 1611. n Pontific. Rom. de Ordin. Presbyt. f. 22. E 4. fecrating

fecrating the Gifts and Offerings of the People, and commending them by Prayers and Intercessions to God. Thus far the Ministers of Christ are allowed to exercise a Sacerdotal Function. But what is all this towards the reall facrificing of Christ, which Mass Priests are pleased to pretend unto? First is their Character, I mean that of their Ordination (for I mean no magical Art) so powerful, as to bring down the Lord of Glory under their dominion and disposal, without which they are not capacitated to offer him? Secondly, are their hands by being oyled, enabled in any degree to confecrate, that is, to raise the Body and Blood of Christ to a more elevated and facred condition and use, then is that he hath in Heaven? Is there in our Saviour any thing, that may be called common at the right hand of his Father, which may become more holy by the hand, and upon the Altar of a Roman Priest? Thirdly, is the beloved Son of God any where in the World so great a Stranger to his Father, as to have need of any Intercessour to present him, and pray for him to God to look favourably on his own Son?

Cardinal Bellarmin and others try to fave all, by alledging the Suffragans and Vicars, and Legats, which Christ hath in all his Offices. I confess that in every Office, as it is exercised towards Men, Christ hath under him his Ministers; for he hath Angels and Princes as he is King: he hath Pastors and Teachers under him, as he is Prophet: and he hath Priests also to bless, and to absolve Men, and to dispense among them his Mysteries, as he is High Priest. But this is the blind oversight; all these Legats, Priests, Pastours, Princes and Angels, are

. Bellarm. de Miffa l. 1. c. 25. Par. Quol autem attiner.

employed

fi

fe

te

fa

employed from Christ to Men abroad on Earth, where he neither is, nor appears visibly: they are not fent from him to his Father, to whom he is more then visibly present; and from whom these Deputies and Officers, of what order foever they be, even the most glorious Archangels are, in comparifon of him, absent. Now the Priests in question are brought in to serve Christ, not towards Men, but towards God. Every Priest, fays the Apostle, Hebr. 5. 1. taken from among Men, is ordained for Men in things that pertain unto God. It were therefore a strange revolution, if whereas all true worshippers, and among them Melchisedek, Aaron, &c. as religious votaries and pious Priests heretofore. made all their addresses to God by the mediation of Christ: Now Christ should be driven to this pass, as to be presented to God by the mediation of his own worshippers and Priests. The Sons and Favorites of Kings, may and must have Officers abroad. to dispatch affairs among their Vasfals in the Countrey: But neither these Officers, nor these Vassals, are likely, specially when remote from Court, and fometimes as far from favour, to mediate between these Royall Persons and their Fathers. Once indeed Absalom made use of Joab, to help him to be reconciled with his Father, but it was onely for that time, that Ab salom was in Banishment, and foab in the Kings bosom. When Christ had once in a manner worse banished himself out of Heaven for our fins, then Ab (alom had done from his Fathers prefence by his Rebellion; yet did he offer his Soul. and Body and Blood in Sacrifice, without any intervention of Saints or Angels: and now when this; fame bleffed Saviour is immediately next to his Father, E 5

e

h

it

is

o

of

m

11,

e-

n-

ds

he

a-

nd

en,

: 15

ese

ire

ed

ther, must be take Vicars and Suffragans, creatures alwaies far from the Throne; sometimes farther from the Grace of God, to help this same Sacrifice, by the credit of their Office, and by the strength of their own Intercession? Christ is able, says the Apostle, Hebr. 7. 25. to save men to the attermost, who come unto God by him: as for himself he must daily at Mass, if you beleive Roman Catholicks, come and be presented by their Priests. Thus men, thinking to honour themselves, will dishonour their own Saviour both before God and before Mon. Before men, they wrap him about with a white Wafer, as once they did with a red Coat, that all the World may cry out upon him again, this is the King of the Jews, and the great God of Christians. Before God, two manner of waies. By throwing upon him Satisfactions of Monks, Priviledged Altars, and Indulgences, as if he had need of them to raise up higher the value of his infinite Oblation; and by furrounding him with a million of Mass Priests to present him, as if he wanted favour with his own Father. Thus men, when they will forfake plain Scripture, are often times given over to forfake all Sense and Reason. And so much concerning the Office and Function of Roman Priests. What more they pretend to, as concerning their Order of Priefthood, is not better.

pi

for

## CHAP. XI.

Upon what Ground Mass Priests dare pretend to a Priesthood after the Order of Melchisedek.

LL Scripture Priests are reduced to these A two Orders, one of Aaron, and the other of Melchisedek, Aaron with all the Priests and Sacrifices after his Order, were excellent Figures and representations of | I sus-CHRIST. For there all men could see in the violent death of the Victims destroyed and burnt to ashes, what kind and degree of Reward fin will deserve at the hands of Divine Justice; and in the transferring of this punishment from the sinner, who deserved it, upon the Victim, that without deserving it suffered it, Men and Angels could likewise discern the great mercies of God, in not imputing to Men their Trespasses, but laying them on a Sacrifice, that was to fatisfy Juflice for them. Hence come these expressions of Forgiveness. 2. Sam. 12. 13. The Lord, fays the Prophet Nathan, hath put away, or rather after the Hebrew \* hath made thy fin to pass over. To which is correlative this other expression of Isaiah 53. 6. The Lord hath laid, or made the Iriquities of us all to \* meet upon him. That is, when God in his Mercy puts away Punishment from sinners, he transfers it and makes it pass upon the Sacrifice, that suffers it: הפגיע \* העביר

0

t

for them. Thus far went the Order of Aaron, towards representing our Redemption by Christ.

But because the condition both of Aaron and of his Order was unavoidably attended, as well in their Persons as in their Office, with such circumstances of weakness and mortality, as did rather overshadow then express the excellency of that blessed Saviour, either in his eternal Nature, or in the strength of his eternal Sacrifice; God in his infinite Wisedome was pleased, besides Aaron, to bring in Melchisedek, and to set him out with such Colours, that could reprefent both the Saviour and his Salvation in a higher and more eminent manner. For example, in the Order of Aaron, the High Prieft, with his b. it Robes, appears not better then a meer mortal man, whose beginning and end, birth and death, are as commonly known as his life: his Sa. crifices are but Beafts, which in no reason can make amends for the fins of their own Masters. And moreover these satisfactions were but temporary and short, some for a day, some for a month, the best of them were but for a year; which being ended, these temporary Sacrifices and atonements were to be reiterated, as if nothing had been done before.

Here then appears a nobler representation, both of what Christ is in his Nature, and of what he was

to do by his Office.

1. Among all great Persons, whose Birth and Death are as punctually recorded, as their very life, in Scripture, comes in a Priest higher and greater then they all. His life and dignity stands a on record, Genes. 14. 19. 20. And though undoubtedly

Thus I take the Apostles meaning, new eropounds on Ch. That is of whom it is recorded, that he liveth. Heb. 7 8.

he was mortal, yet there is no more mention made either of Father and Son, predecessor and successor in his Office, or of beginning and end in his life, than if he had bin eternal. And by this means he represented as well as it was possible (for such great things cannot be represented otherwise than negatively) the everlasting both Nature and Priesthood of Christ.

der, appear commonly in Scripture about their Altars, and among their Victims, that is, with some mention made of Sacrifices, and other things which do belong to their Office; Melchisedek alone comes in with this glorious and Sacerdotal Character, as being Priest of the most High God. Gen. 14. But if you desire to know what Sacrifices he comes to offer, you shall find about Melchisedek no Victime, that he can offer, unless he offer his own Body. And by this was represented what the Apostle insists upon, as specifical to Christ's Priesthood, that He came not with the Blood of Goats, &c. but by his own Blood, Heb. 9. 12. and by the Sacrifice of Himself, Hebr. 9. 26. &c.

3. Neither is there any mention or appearance of an Altar, which is a thing most requisite both to offer and to fantisse Sacrifices. Thus Melchisedek was in figure what Christ at his Passion was in most real Truth, Priest, Altar, and Oblation. Which the Apostle alludes unto, Hebr. 9. 14. How much more shall the Blood of Christ, who through the Eternal Spirit offered himself to God, &c. In which words Christ is the Priest, his Blood is the Sacrifice, and his Eternal Spirit is the Altar, that both raised up on high, and sanctified this precious Oblation, above all

S

d

.

11

what either the Blood of all Men, or the suffering of all Angels could have bin worth. Otherwife, as the blood of bulls and goats, was to mean a Sacrifice, for this Soveraign King and Priest to offer: and any other hands, but of Christ, too prophane to offer up the blood of Christ: so certainly neither marble, nor gold, nor any fuch groß earthly matter could have been holy enough to receive, and to support that most precious Effusion. Christ's Eternal Spirit was the only decent Altar, to lift it up to God, and to fanctifie this Sacred Gift. So whetever both the Fathers, and we, fay fometime of that Cross, that Christ was nailed to, must be taken in a large sense: For strictly, and properly the Crofs was, both in the Law of God, and the Customary Law of old Rome, the instrument of a cursed punishment, and not an Altar fit for any holy Sacrifice.

4. Whereas Aaron, and all the Priests, who came after him of that Order, had a Tabernacle to officiate in, both little, as being but of some few cubits : and weak, as being fet up by men; Melchifedek appears bleffing Abraham, in the name of the most High God, not sitting in ferusalem, or between the Cherubins, as the Order of Aaron did (who had no other Sanctuary ether to offer, or to bless) but in the Name of the most High God, Master, er Possessor of Heaven and Earth, Gen. 14. 19. 22. that is, whose Dwelling and Possession, is not a leffer Temple than the whole World. The Ground where about this Temple stands, is all the Earth; wherefore God calls it his Foot-stool, Isa. 66. The compass and circuit of it reaches about to the utmost ends of this Earth. The surface of all the

Air, wherein all men promiscuously breath; makes but the out Courts of this great Temple. The Sun, Moon, and other Planets, are the Lamps of the Holy Place: and if you go somewhat higher, there you meet with the Firmament & all its Stars, which make that magnificent veil, embroidered with flowers, and studded with spangles, which opens and shuts that holy place, where the Almighty God hath his Throne, and the bleffed Angels their abode. And this great Mystery the Apostle partly alludes unto, and partly expresses in plain terms, when he fays, that Christ is Minister of the true Tabernacle, which the Lord bath pitched, and not man. Hebr. 8. 2. And that having offered himself up once, Heb. 7. 27. (in the out Court of this Tabernacle, or in the inferiour part of the World) he is by & \* with his own blood entered through the inner veil, into that Holy Place, where he is set on the right hand of the Throne of the Majesty in the Heavens; there to appear in the presence of God for us. Hence it is, that when he offered himself in the lower part of this World, the upper part of it felt the strength of his Sacrifice; the Lights of Heaven were darkned: and as in the daies of Solomon the Glory of Christ appeared at that great Confecration, and filled as well the Heavens, as the whole Earth with thick darkness: So, now that he is in Heaven, as in his true Sanctuary, these low and remote parts, where we crawl, feel his Intercessions from above. And thus wherefoever Christ presents himself, whether in Heaven, or in Earth, this true Melchifedek fills all the parts of his great Temple, with

1

a

d

e

e

turn over three leaves

Now among these magnificent wonders of Christ's Law, Bread and Wine can be reputed but of little importance, which you may find as well, or better among the Oblations of Aaron: and thus far belonging better to his Order, because he is often commanded to offer Bread; which Priest Melchisedek is not. Therefore if offering Bread and Wine makes an Order; Aaron will be more certainly a Priest after the Order of Melchisedek, than was either Melchiseaek, or Christ Himself. It is in vain that Bellarmin, and fome others fay, that Bread and Wine were but as Appendages and Samces of Aaron's fleshy Sacrifices. Which is to say, that the Order of Melchisedek was but an Appendage to the Order of Aaron: and that this of Aaron was both nobler and fuller than that of Melchisedek. I say nobler, because that is the Nobler Order of Priesthood, which is inflituted to offer the nobler Sacrifice: and fuch are Aaron's Victimes, that have life and Soul in them; whereas Bread and Wine have none. I fay fuller also, since according to this Roman Divinity, Melchisedeks whole Sacrifice was but a very small accessory to the Sacrifice of Aaron. So Aaron had his own living Oblations, aud the liveless ones of Melchisedek besides, within the compass of his Order.

It feems Roman Catholicks, and the holy Apofiles did not fludy Divinity in the fame School. For the Apostle, who alone hath taught both Hebrews and all the Church, what Melchisedek's Order signifies; either makes observations, or discovers

myste-

m

b Levit.c. 2. Bellarm. de Missal. 1.c. 6. Par. Respondeo primum. Beean. de Sacrif. q. 4.n. 18. Greg. de Valent. De Ricu, & c. Disp. 6. q. 11. Punet. 1. Par. 11.

mysteries in everyword, which Moses and David did ever say concerning Melchisedek, Gen. 14. and Pfal. 110. except only that Bread and Wine, which he presented Abraham with: whereas pretended Catholicks infift chiefly upon that Bread, as the main effential point, wherein they are to feek both for that order, and for the mysteriousnes thereof. Hence it is, that they d fay (and I suppose all learned Christians will wonder at it) that, when Christ offered upon the Cross that all-sufficient Sacrifice, which wrought everlafting Salvation, which at one offering confecrated all for ever: and by vertue whereof He sits at the right hand of God, and doth appear for us, within the veil, as High Priest for ever; was not after the order of Melchisedek, because for sooth upon the Cross Christ wanted Bread. The Apostle says expresly, that Christ is entred into Heaven as an High Priest, Hebr. c.5.6.7. c. 8. 1. & c. 9. 11. 12. after the order of Melchisedek, Pfal. 110. 1. 4. 5. where furely he appears with his own shape, and without Bread. But if we believe Roman Priests, he neither offered nor appeared upon the Cross, because there he appeared in his own shape, and without Bread. Christ, fays Bellarmin, by the Sacrifice of the Cross mas Priest, neither after the order of Melchisedek, because he did not offer himself under the form of Bread: nor after the order of Aaron, because he did not offer himself under the form of a beaft.

But all this hath no other ground, than a beaftly mistaking of the order of *Melchisedek*, and the order of *Aaron*, for the shape of their Sacrifices.

t

3

t

0

h

y

-

e

e

S

IS

4.

-

S

)-

or

75

3-

rs

Be-

e-

d Bellarm, de Miss. l. 1. c. 6. Par. Ex qua ratione. Becan. de Sacrif. 9.4.

Sacrifice: and all the Heavens, either through, or to which this High Priest must carry the blood, and therewith enter into that Sanctuary, to perform that other moitie of his Sacerdotal office, by an everlasting Appearance and Intercession. Finally a Decree confirmed by oath, immutable and never to be repented of, to consecrate a King, and a Priest to this everlasting Office: and to settle Eternity, both upon that Salvation, and upon all other Advantages, that depend on that Priest, and King. All these Doctrines support themselves by their own agreeablenes with all rational Equity, with the express Tenents of an Apostle, and with clear Analogy of Faith.

As touching the Bread and Wine, wherewith Melchisedek feasted Abraham, I have said nothing all this while: and the truth is, I am not certain what to fay, because it was a Private act, which the holy Apostle, who doubtles well understood what was either fignificant, or infignificant in it, took no more notice of, than of the Mules and Slaves, that probably brought this Bread and Wine. 'Tis true indeed, mention is fometimes made in the writings of the Fathers, of Melchisedeks presenting Bread and Wine with reference to the Eucharist; but tis by way of accommodation and allusion, with which ornamental Schemes of speech it is usual with them, as it is with other Authors, upon occafion to serve themselves: and besides, they declare that Melchisedek gave them to Abraham, and not to God.

It is well known that every circumstance of action that happens to a Type, or Parable, is not a part of either; & in the present case to be a *Priest* after

the

a

a

fe

T

aı

ar

P

91

the similitude, or the Order of Melshisedek (which two words the Apostle uses to express one thing, Hebr. 7. 15.) is to be ordained such a Priest, as Melchisedek was: and this Ordination or likenes, must not extend farther (unless we have some other infallible Guide, that will lead us that farther way)

than the holy Apostle extends it.

This Order is by the Apostle extended as far as to represent, 1. The joyning of two Dignities together, to wit, both the Royal and Sacerdotal in JESUS CHRIST, which were separated by Me-Jes. 2. The Eternity of this Royal Priest, and the perpetual Duration of his Priesthood. 3. The excellency and extraordinarines of both Priest, and Sacrifice; a thing which was never feen, but in Christ: nor so much as shadowed, but in Melchisedek. The Sacrifice is as great, and as good as the Priest: and the Priest with his own Body and Blood, and Soul, is the same with his Sacrifice. 4. The Vastness of the Tabernacle, as large and high, as the whole World. 5. The one infinite act of offering, which at one blow did fill up with the strength of his Sacrifice, all the Rooms of this Tabernacle, and all the Ages of the Church: and did obtain thoughout the fuccession of all these Ages, an eternal Salvation for all Men. All men, I fay, whofoever will give themselves up to this Priest, and wait for him in the Tabernacle, and partake of the Sacrifice. These are the great and high Mysteries, which, as well as any other, deferved not only to be foretold by Prophecies, but also foreshewed by Types and Figures. And there are none to this purpose, but this order of Melchisedek.

Now

turn Back one Leaf to 116

e

II

d

th

ng

in

he

at

no

nat

ue

igs

ad

out

ith

ual

ca-

are

not

cti-

fter

the

the sweet Savour, and Blessings of his powerful Sacrifice.

5. Although it is very probable, that Abraham, living the most part of his life as he did like a traveller and Pilgrim in his Countrey about Salem. either had, or fought more than once the happines to meet Melchisedek, whom he knew to be Priest of the most High God, in an higher manner than himself; and also that Melchisedek had the same mind to meet and bless Abraham, whom he knew to be gracious with his God, and moreover to have the Promises; it is not without a mystery, that this great Man never appears either with Ahraham, or with any man else more than once; which must evidently relate to that once appearing for fin, which the Apostle observes continually, both as quite opposite to the Order of Aaron, and proper to the Priesthood of Christ. Not that He should offer Himself often, as the High Priest, &c. but now once in the end of the world hath He appeared to put away sin, by the sacrificing of Himself.

Now, first, observe the great wisdome of God in contriving all things so sutably to their own Orders. In Aaron's Order all things are weak. 1. A Priest troubled with infirmity, Heb. 7. 28. 2. A Tabernacle made with hands, c. 9. 24. 3. Sacrifices that cannot purishe the Conscience, c. 9. 9. and therefore need to be often reiterated, c. 9. 7. 4. A Covenant old and decaying, c. 8. 13. And lastly a Commandment carnal and temporal to order all these things for a while, c. 7. 16. Contrariwise in the Order of Melchisedek all things are strong, and eternal. A Priest free from sin, and continuing for ever, in a Tabernacle made by God Himself, therein

to

Ċ

0

ai

cl

di

Ci

bo

ar

aff

an

ate

tha

to

to offer his own Blood by an Eternal Spirit in behalf of a new Covenant, made upon better promises of eternal Salvation, by one Oblation, once offered for sin on earth, and a continued appearance for us in Heaven. Finally, an Oath, a Law, and power of an endless life, to concert and bind all these great things together, Hebr. cap. 7. c. 8.c. 9. &c.

Secondly, observe how these two Orders are well fuited for their proper ends, & effects. Aarons Order tends to a Typical Redemption, from legal and Typical fins. Such were for example, to touch a dead body, to eat of any flesh torn by wild beasts, to come near a Leper, &c. The blood of Goats was fufficient to wash away such sins: and an infirm Priest to consecrate and offer such blood, and a Carnal Institution, to ordain such an ordinary Prieft. And either a Tabernacle made of skins, or a Temple made of stones, was good enough for fuch Sacrifices and fuch Priefts. The Order of Melchisedek is designed to procure and work a real and eternal Redemption. Therefore here is a Sacrifice of an infinite value to fatisfie an infinite Justice, and to buy out of its hand this everlasting purchase of eternal Salvation: A Priest of an Infinite dignity to offer, and to bless that infinitely precious Oblation: A Tabernacle of a large Extent both to contain all Guests, that is, all Men, who are univerfally invited to this Sacrifice; and to afford fuch, and fo many Rooms, as this Infinite and Eternal Priest must need stand and Officiate in. Therefore this Tabernacle cannot be less than both the whole Earth, and Heaven; the Earth to contain all Mankind, which is called to this

to 114 Sack one Leaf

UMI

e

S

h

e

119

b

r-

18-

efe

he

nd;

for

ein

to

Certainly the Order of Aaron can fignifie in no language the form of a Lamb or a Bull, nor the Order of Melchisedek the form of Bread. These Orders do effentially relate to the Law and Manner, wherewith these Men were established in their respective Priesthood. And so the Apostle doth interpret it, Hebr. 7. 15. 16. the Order of Aaron to be after the Law of a Carnal, that is ceremonial and temporal Commandment; and the Order of Melchisedek, after the Power of an endless life, and therefore of an unchangeable Priesthood. But and if these two distinct Orders relate farther to their Sacrifices, (as really they do some way or other, since they are established to that purpose) they will directly signisse, that the Priest of one Order was established to make Atonement both for Himself and for the People, with the Blood of another Victime, Hebr. 9. 25. And the Priest of the other to do it for the People, and not for Himself, by his own Blood, Hebr. 9.12. Therefore whosoever faw Aaron among his Lambs and Bulls, could not doubt of what he did fee; this is the Priest, might he say, and these are the Victimes, which he is to offer. But he that fees either Melchisedek in Scripture, or Jesus Christ upon the Cross, may well say, as I/aak did, being in the way to that Mountain where Christ afterwards fuffered; O Lord my God, here are two great and holy Priests indeed! but where are the Lambs for burnt Offerings? Gen. 22. 7. So that after his best thinking upon the folitary condition, wherein he fees them, he must conclude, that if they are ordained to offer there and then any Sacrifice, they must in all necessity offer themselves.

All these things are so evident, both by their own

2 17

t

p

C

th

fo

in

ft

in

uplik

tin

He

wh

eve

tol

eith

Ch

for

own dependency on each other, and by their sutablenes with the fundamentals of Christian Religion, that they may evidence themselves to any honest Conscience. But if one be contentious, the authority of an Apostle, who without contradiction refers what ever he says, to the Sacrifice of the Cross, and not one word to the Sacrifice of the Altar, which they call Mass, in a full and large discourse upon the Order of Melchisedek, is evidence enough to force and to convince the most stubborn.

Here I will by way of interrogatory propose three Arguments, which, however upon different matters, agree in this, that they can obtain admittance into any fober mans understanding, without any help of Scholarship. The first will be against praying to Saints; How it should come to pass, if prayer to Saints, or Angels be any useful piece of Christian Devotion, that during above 4000. years that God had a Church in the World, and among To many thousand Prayers, and Occasions of praying, the Wars and Troubles of David, and the Distresses of all Saints, not one example is recorded in all Scriptures, of any holy Man, who ever called upon any created Saint or Angel? And how is it likely or possible, that the Universal Church in after times, should learn either new waies towards Heaven, or new waies of true help and comfort, which neither Patriarks, nor Prophets, nor Apostles ever taught or knew?

The second is against Prayers for Souls conceived to be in Purgatory; How doth it come to pass, if either Prayers or Masses be true Acts of Christian Charity, as they are pretended to be, that St. Paul for instance, who is so careful of exhorting all sorts

ł

S

,

e

e

d

7

ft

ie

r.

y

ir

n

of men and women, to all kinds of Christian duties, forgets the most considerable; as for Fathers, Children, and all true Friends, to be charitable to their dearest Relations, being, as it is supposed, in Purgatory, and standing in greater need than ever of their Prayers, but specially of their Contributions for Masses? Did no Flames of Purgatory burn in the daies of St. Paul? or had Fathers, Wives and Children no Relations in those daies, that did either

dye, or fall into those Flames?

The third is full to this purpose against Mass or Sacrifice of the Altar; If this Mass Sacrifice be the Sacrifice properly both foreshewed & intended by the Order of Melchisedek; how it comes to pass that the Apostle spends the best part of a large Epistle up on this Order; and by Romes own confession, speaks not one fingle word of Mass, which is pretended to be the object & the compleat end of this Order? Was it because the Oblation of Bread & Wine did not set out so well the excellency of Christ above Aaron (which is the first f reply of Bellarmin) and the expressions of death and suffering, and of strong erres and tears, which he spares not to mention at every occasion in this Epistle, did set it out better! or (which is their gother reply) was it because this Mystery of Mass was too high for those Christians to whom he writes; but the other high Points of Christian Religion, as Christ's eternal Person, Incarnation, Passion, of which he speaks freely to them were not too high? Or if they fay the Apostle hath only named the Order, and not explained what it is; let them supply and explain it better.

f Bellarm. l. 1. de Miss. c. 6. Par. Respondeo. Becan. de Sacrif. q. 4. Pa. Objiciunt secundò. 8 Bell. Ibid.

But

is

is

fe

Se

fic

to

an

fte

N

Sec

an

lef

his

fig

Br

Ma

fupr.

But before they take on them to teach us more fully than the Apostle hath done this high Point of Divinity; let them better study Grammar, and learn that neither in Hebrew the Order of Melchisedek signifies the form of Bread, nor in Greek the Order of Aaron the form of Rains or Goats, or of any other Victimes that Aaron was ordained to offer. Otherwise, whensoever he did offer Bread, as he did often, not as an Accessary, as Bellarmin h and others would have it, but as a principal Sacrifice, Levit. 2. the Order of Aaron will become the Order of Melchisedek.

But then, what must we make of this Bread and Wine, which the Apostle thus lays aside? I say, 1. That this Apostolical neglect is a clear Demonstration, that this Bread and Wine which he passes by, is not so essential to the Order of Melchisedek, as is every thing elfe, which he most punctually observes. 2. The literal sense of these words, Melchisedek offered Bread and Wine, Gen. 14. 18. signifies not, that Melchisedek, offered himself either to God, or to Abraham, under the shape of Bread and Wine; but only that after the laudable Custome observed in those daies among Princes and Nations, whether confederates or friends, Melchisedek being a neighbour King, thought fit to meet and congratulate Abraham though perhaps then a leffer Prince, after a fignal Victory, and to supply his Army with fuch refreshments (most commonly fignified in those Countreys under the notion of Bread and Wine) as Souldiers might want in their March: & so S. Ambrose takes it out of many Jewish

h Bell. suprà. Greg. de Valent. de De Ritu, &c. Disf. 5, q.11. p.1. Becan.

But the reading regular

r

of

S

n

d

er

or

ne

Dy

iat

p.

ks

ed

er?

did

ove

and

rong

at

er!

this

ians

s of

In-

y to

oftle

ined

tter.

4. Pa.

But

Writers. Therefore were the Ammonites accursed, Deut. 23. and the Princes of Succoth threatned utter destruction, Judg. 8. 6. for refusing this friend-

ly entertainment to Moles and to Gideon.

Whether these Provisions of Bread and Wine were parts of any Sacrifices, as holy Feaftings were commonly, that had been offered to the Lord before Melchisedek brought them down, I know not, & it matters not. There is never a Priest, no, not Bellarmin k himself, who can tell how they could have bin facrificed at that time when they were offered. Melchisedek perhaps had in his way neither Oven, nor Altare portatile, that is, an Altar fit for Travellers to carry about, as itinerant Mass Priests have now a-daies; and Jesuite Salmeron gives us leave to think, that Melchisedek might offer them to Abraham, just in the same manner as the High Priest Achimelek once gave the shew Bread to David, I Sam. 21. and Pope Gregory his holy cakes to some Troops of Aquitany, that were marching against the Turks. However, most certain it is, that this Bread and Wine whether facrificed, or not, was brought by way of either supply, or Festival, to Abraham.

But let us suppose it to have bin offered both waies, that is, both to Abraham, and to God, (for in such a clear case as this, there's no danger to be liberal) and let us see in both what Mysteries this

literal sense can well bear.

First then Melchisedek offered Bread & Wine as a Sacrifice to God; this Priest offering, I say, represented our Saviour Christ, and this Bread and Wine offered, represented both the nature and the strength

17

to

bo lie

as

ed

it i

ou

ftre

<sup>\*</sup> Bell. de Missel. 1. c. 2. Par. Neque his repugnat. 1 Salmer. de Euchar. Tratt. 27. Par. Ruit secunda.

of the Sacrifice, which Christ offered among his fufferings upon the Cross; Bread is not Bread, that is, nourishment fit for men, as long as its substance stands in the Field, or lies on an heap in the Flore; it must be cut down, thresht, ground to powder, and with all this, 'tis not Bread yet. Therefore befides it must be dried & prepared, by suffering the violent heat of fire; thus after much ado it becomes Bread. So Christ Jesus was neither Bread to maintain life, (that is bread of life) nor Sacrifice to procure life by any propitiation of fins, as long as he remained alive, and workt Miracles in his native Countrey; He must be beaten, nailed to the Cross, and put to death, and yet, for all his constancy under all these Pressures from men, he is not much more than a Martyr. The vengeance due to fin, the wrath of God, and the fire of the Altar, must fall from Heaven upon him. Thus true Melchisedek. on his Crofs at Salem, was by his fufferings from Jews and Romans made a Martyr; by that fiery vengeance laid on him from above for all our fins, he hath been made besides Martyr, our burnt Offering; and in both, Abraham and his whole Army might see as in a figure, by what they might expect to live, and never die, when they met in their way both Melchisedek and his Bread. The like Mystery lies in the Wine.

secondly, consider, if you please, also this Bread, as offered to Abraham. In the sirst notion, as offered to God, it is a Sacrifice; as offered to Abraham, it is a Sacrament. As Sacrifice, it did prefigurate what our Saviour was to suffer for the sins of Mankind; and as Sacrament, it promised the refreshments, strength, sustenance, and nourishment, which A-

F 2

braham

f

٢.

d

y

h

in

is

as

e-

ne

th

ar.

of

braham and all his followers, that is, all true Christians, shall receive of true Melchisedek, after and from these sufferings. As you turn this Bread to. wards the Crofs, there you fee the beaten & burnt fide, where it was made a Sacrifice : and as you turn it towards the Table, there you fee the Food and Bleffing, which worthy Communicants can receive of a Sacrament. These two sides answer one to another, as the Womb for the Birth, (for the Church and all her Children are born out of the Wounds and Passion of their Saviour, as Eve was out of the open fide of her Husband,) and the Breasts for the growth of an Infant, as the Blood of the Passover, which faved Ifrael from Egypt, and the Manna that maintained them alive when they were faved in the wildernes; as the killing of Victimes upon the great brazen Altar, and the Sacerdotal Intercession grounded upon the death of these Victimes, before the Mercy-Seat: in a word, as Christ dying for His Disciples, and Christ bleffing them afterward with Mercies procured by that death.

Thirdly, compare this Bread and Wine under this fecond notion, that is, as a kind of refreshment offered by Melchisedek to Abraham, with the Bread and Wine of the Holy Communion, which Christ hath ordained for his Church; in this comparison that cannot be said properly to have been a Shadow to represent this: Since both this and that are but shadows of something more substantial than themselves; and in good severe Divinity, shadows are not instituted to represent shadows, though they are much like one another, because both represent but the same truth; in this sense one may be said to be the Figure of the other; and holy Fathers say

fo

W

yo P

an

to

ha

as

cio

fo fometimes. But however they are two Figures, or Sacraments, agreeing together like two Images, to represent one and the same Original. Such two Sacraments were the Flood and the holy Baptisme, which St. Peter 1. Ep. 3. 21. calls arniva, that is, answerable and parallel representations of washing and fanctification. For Christ the true Melchisedek, and faving Sacrifice of Mankind, being offered upon the Cross, neither in the first beginning, nor the last end, but about the middle space of the Generations of the World, God had fet up in the first several Sacraments to represent Christ as coming, & in the fucceeding Ages two other principal & fignal ones, to represent and commemorate him, as already come. These two orders of sacred signs, like fo many Stars shining in their respective Orbs, shew the way to one Christ: & like the Cherubs of Moses wait upon, and turn their faces towards one & the same Mercy-Seat. Thus here Melchisedek with his banquet, & Christ with his Eucharist, both give Bread and Wine alike; the one to Abraham and his Houshold, as an Affurance that none of them should ever want help and relief, till the Messias were come to them; and the other presents Christians with the like refreshments, as long as they will march, and fight after the example of Abraham, untill at last they come to Christ. So that if you divide all true Children of that most faithful Patriark into two Armies, marching the one before, and the other after Christ; those were, these are to look upon this Sacrifice, whereby Melchisedek hath obtained for them everlasting Peace & Justice, as the only Cause and Fountain of all the Gracious Effluxes that keep up tired Travellers, from either

e

S

r

ıt

d

h

at

0

1.

.

ot

y

nt

id

14

either being overcome by their Enemies in all their Battels, or from fainting under their own infirmi-

ties in their long way.

Melchisedek offering his Bread and Wine, whether to God, or to Abraham, may very well bear these true Doctrines; and I am sure that whatever Holy Fathers have either said, or alluded to this purpose, comes to no more. In the mean while, all this is far from signifying, much less from proving, that like as Melchisedek once did offer in Sacrisce B ead and Wine to God: so Christ must every day, and upon ten thousand Altars sacrisice Himself by Mass Priests, under the shew of Bread and Wine. And, I pray, what work do they here in behalf of Melchisedek, wherefore they should call themselves Priests after his Order?

The Order of Melchisedek admits no more of Servants to affift him, than of Fathers and Mothers to beget him, or of Predecessors and Successors to come before and after him. But though you should allow about this Mystery some Officers, because certainly we cannot think but Melchisedek had Bakers to make these Loaves, and Mules and Waggons to bring them, and Slaves to unlade them, to fet them upon the Altar (I speak all this by supposition) and to distribute them among the Souldiers, after he had confecrated them; and though you should all suppose that Mass Priests be called in among these Men to drudge about the Bread and Wine; yet of all these Slaves, none can be thought to have been called, or without call to have been fo bold and fawcy, as to lay hands upon his Master, and to offer Melchisedek himself either to God, or to the Souldiers, among his Loaves. If some such strange

a

strange attempt could be proved, that were a Myftery indeed, that might oblige us to feek among the Disciples, for more Officers than one Judas, to bind and deliver up Christ at their example. But till that be evidently demonstrated, (for such a bufiness must not be believed without clear demonstration) in God's name, what have Mass Priests in them resembling great and holy Melchisedek, that they should take upon themselves the title and dignity of his order? They are not Kings, unless it be by that round mark which the Barber shaves on their heads which they call m Crown. Their kindred and extraction is fully known, unless it be by chance of some Popes, whose Fathers were in the dark, but their Mothers were known enough. They have long lists of Predecessors, and brag of long Successions, which Melchisedek had not at all. And they have all, not one excepted, both beginning and end of daies.

As they are nothing like Melchisedek in their Persons, so are they quite different from him in their Sacrifice. For, to speak out of their own Principles, the order of Melchisedek was ordained to offer true substantial Bread: and Mass Priests by their own confession do offer true substantial sless. If you say that they offer sless, but 'tis under the appearance of Bread; you say by the same means, that with this appearance, they may seem what they are not, viz. Priests after the order of Melchisedek: but by their offering true real sless, they are most really what they will not appear to be, Priests after the manner of Aaron.

Any man may eafily perceive, that if they be

m Durant. Rational.l. 2.c. 1. Par. Septimo Corona.

F 4

Priests

,

e

Priests of any order, they are fitted from head to foot, with all the proper Characters that may resemble the order of Aaron, this only excepted,

that they are not descended from Levi.

1. Roman Priests are infirm, and sinners like other men; and, as it appears fometimes in whole droves of their Chief Priefts, whom they call Popes, above the rate of other men. 2. Therefore have they good need to offer after the manner of Aaron for their trespasses, as well as for the trespasses of other people. 3. They are many, because they cannot continue by reason of mortality, Heb. 7. 23. . They are made Priests after the Law of a carnal Commandment: both because their Consecration is with as course oyl, as that of Aarons could ever be: and because the order which they receive of offering the Body of Christ for Quick and Dead, is not so much as Levitical, as Aarons was, and was from God; but meerly erroneous, and grounded upon nothing else than the vanity of flesh & blood. 5. The Tabernacles wherein they offer, are made with hands as Aaron's was. 6. Their Sacrifices can do no more than the bulls and goats of Aaron could, and scarce so much. For, by their own confession, they cannot fo much as purge " away poor venial fins. 7. Therefore by reason of this weakness, must they oftner be reiterated, than ever the Levitical were: it being not heard, that whole thousands of Sacrifices were ever offered by 7ews for one man: as it is usual to hear of four thousand Maffes fung by Roman Priefts for one Soul: And as fome fay, o the founder of the fesuites had three

aı

to

fu

at

th

n Cajetan. de Celebrat. Miss. q.2. Lindan. Panopl. 1.4.c.5 1. Aiala de Tradit. 3. p. Consid. 5. o Petr. Mass. Vit. Ignat. 1. 2. c. 1 2. thousand

thousand of those, which they call Celestial Victims, celebrated for the happy fuccess of one busines he had at Rome. 8. What they offer, is what Aaron did, Frankincense, Cakes and Wine, and as they say, true flesh and blood, &c. Only Roman Priests can exceed the power of Aaron by two notable excellencies. 1. In offering the blood of a man fometimes for the recovery of a vile beaft; as in the Masses of St. Hubert, for an hound; of St. Antony, for an hog; and of St. Rochus and St. Barbara, for any other fort of cattel. Whereas the power of Aaron went no further than to offer beasts for men, and never men for men, much less for beafts. 2. The other is fuch as, I think, neither Melchisedek nor Aaron were ever acquainted with, to offer the body and blood of their Victimes in such a way, that the blood shall be really p shed, and yet the Sacrifice shall be unbloody.

These two Prerogatives being laid aside; all the World may quickly wonder, why Roman Priests will disown the Order of Aaron, whom they resemble in all other things: and appropriate unto themselves the Order of Melchisedek, to whom they are altogether unlike. Never such a disproportion was observed between Officers of the same Order. Aaron and his Priests and Levites, were so well forted together, that though they differed in degrees, yet one might see in them all, a most decent suitablenes, whensoever they were seen together about their Tabernacle and Altar: whereas at Mass, Christ, whom they make Chief Priest, & the Mass Priests, who pretend to be his Officers, agree one with another in nothing, as to this puragree.

P Bell. de Miff. l. 12. Par. Præter illa Argumenta.

pose. They have quite different Tabernacles; for Christ never offered in a Tabernacle made with hands, nor the Mass Priests in a Tabernacle made without hands. And whereas no Inferior Priests under the Law, ever were so bold, as to facrifice at the Altar without, whilest the Chief Priest was interceding in the Sanctuary within; these Mass Priests exercise a most disorderly Priesthood: for they never offered when Christ was offering on Earth, which was the only time for Priests to officiate under the Chief Priest: and now they take upon them to offer in this outward Court against all Law, when the Chief Priest, our bleffed Saviour, is entred to intercede in his Sanctuary which is in Heaven. Thus Mass tumbles all upside down; the Priests of Israel did never offer in the out Court, but when their Chief either was or could be with them; and the Priests of Rome can never offer, but when he is away from them.

Some are pleased to think, at least to say, that were it not for their continual offering, Christ could not continue to be a Priest: because they presuppose, that a Priest continues to be a Priest no longer than he sacrifices. After this rate the Priests of Israel were no more Priests, after they had passed their sifty years, for they did not offer after that age, Numb. 4. Nor the Priests of Rome, in the afternoons, for they are not to offer then: nor many of them more than during three or four hours, that is during three or four Masses, which they are bound to sing, and r no more, every year. Christ

himself

b

<sup>9</sup> Alph. de Castro cont. Haref. l. 10. Tit. Missa. Par. Secundò argumentantur. Salmero De sacrif. Tract. 27. Suarez Disc. 74. set. 2. Par. Cira Secundum. Becan. De Sacrif. q. 4. Par. Secunda conclusio. Bellar. De Miss. l. 1. c. 6. Par. Prætered. 1 Navar. c. 25. n. 8 \$.

himself had not bin Priest (I speak upon their own Principles) during the feventy years of the Babilonian captivity: nor should or could be hereafter under the Antichristian. For Christ had no substitutes, to offer any Sacrifice under that, nor as they fay, shall have any to fay Mass under this. And what will become of most Popes, whom they take for their Highest Priests, when by reason of their age, or other employments about the Church, they never, or seldome officiate? I will say more; In the judgement of their best Doctors, Priests may be Priests, and honest Priests, without saying so much as one Mass in their whole life. And thus Mass pretends to be necessary to uphold the eternal Priesthood of Christ.

But the true and direct Answer (although such filly things need no answer) is, that Offices and Dignities (such are the Priestly and Royal) given for life, are not at all limited either to Acts, or to moments of Function. Witnes those Priests, who neither fay Mass, nor absolve, and yet keep their Priestly office. I answer secondly, that there are fometimes fuch fignal Acts, as can give a denomination, & a title, not only during their Existency, but all along the time, that the Effects produced in that moment of their Existency can last. So God, and the Bleffed Virgin, (to infift on no more examples) continue really throughout all generations, the one to be the Creator for a work done in fix daies, and the other, to be a Mother for a childbearing of 9 months.

Our Saviour without Roman Priefts, or Roman Masses, continues to be Priest for ever, upon this threefold account. 1. Priesthood is a Dignity, that Bonavent. 4. Dist. 12. q. 4. Alexand. Alens. par. 4. q. 31. Becan. de mor. Miss. q. 1. conclus. 1. Suarez Disp. 80. set. 1.

cannot

S

0

ſ-

ıt

r-

of

it

re

C2

If

cannot be taken away but by degradation, or by death; which have no place in JESUS CHRIST. 2. Although the actual offering of himself upon the Cross, was of few hours; the strength and effect of that Offering is as long and lasting, as if the Offering it felf were eternal. 3. If a perpetual office requires a perpetual Function: Interceding, which is as essential to Priesthood, as Offering or Sacrificing, is perpetual. Durant himself is full for this. Christ, fays he, t performed excellently the Office of Priest, when He offered Himself upon the Cross for the sins of Mankind, and performs it yet more gloriously now, when sitting at the right hand of His Father, He intercedes continually for us. The Holy Apostle intimates the first account, Heb. 7. 16. by the strength of an indissoluble life. And more plainly, 24. when he fays, that Christ hath an unchangeable Priesthood, because he continues for ever. He intimates the second, Heb. 9.12. when he fays, that Christ once for all entred into the Holy Place after, or by having procured an everlasting Redemption. And he intimates the third, Heb. 7.25. and the first and the second also, when he says, that Christ is able to save them absolutely, who soever address themselves to God by Him: living for ever, that He may intercede for them.

Now, what doth a Roman Priest with his wasers any more towards these great fundamentals, which keep up the Eternal Priesthood of Christ, than an Astrologer with his Instruments, towards the upholding of Heaven? or poor Robin, with his Predictions, towards the causing of a good year? The very Mass Priests can sometimes laugh at these "weak reasons,

when they are fquabling among themselves.

et Durant. Rational.I.2. De Sacerdote, fol. 27. " Gabr. Vasq. T.3. Disp. 225.

CHAP.

1

 $f_0$ 

## CHAP. XII.

That neither Roman Priests, nor Roman Masses, have any probable ground in Scripture.

Have the longer infifted upon the Sacrifice and Priesthood of Melchisedek, both because generally it is not fo well understood by Reformed, and grofly abused and infifted upon by Roman Catholicks; although these in good truth may rather therewith destroy their Mass, than in any appearance establish it. For if Melchisedek did offer to God Bread and Wine, that might be a fignification of that Sacrifice on the Cross, where are to be fought both the true Bread of eternal Life, and the true wine of eternal Joy. And if you refer it to the Holy Communion, where the Holy Fathers fay, a our Saviour offered to His Disciples the same thing which Melchisedek gave to Abraham; then as Melchisedek gave true substantial Bread and Wine, it is a folly to conclude thence, that Christ should have given true substantial Flesh and Blood. For, what simplicity is it, if not worse than simplicity, because Melchisedek hath given Bread & Wine, hence to conclude, therefore Christ gives at the Communion Flesh and Blood under the shew of Bread & Wine? May I not conclude as wifely, out of the Paschal Lamb (which most Papists do make buse of, for to prove their Mass Sacrifice) because Moses gave

a S. Cyprian. 1.2. Ep. 3. ad Cecil. S. August. de Civ. 1. 17. c. 17. b Alph. de Castro. cont. Hares. 1. 10. Titul. Missa. Eell. de Miss. 1. 1. c. 7.

Flesh & Blood, therefore Christ gave upon the Cross. or upon his Table, true Bread and Wine, under the thew of Flesh and Blood? And if the Paschal Lamb of Moses proves the Communion to be Flesh, why shall not the Sacrifice of Melchisedek prove as strong. ly the same Communion to be Bread? If Moses, Gen. 14. or David, Pfal. 110. or the Apostle, Heb. 5,6,7. 8, 9, 10. Chapters, who are the three Authors only whom we may confult upon this Point, had given the Church any ground to think, first, That Melchisedek offered himself as a Victime among his Loaves. Secondly, That prefently after, some of his Slaves took him also, bound him to the Altar, and at last offered him both under that great heap of Bread, and within the Veffels of Wine. And thirdly, That this Office was fetled upon them, or others, whom they should name for Successors, as long as Melchisedek should be Priest: These three Articles (and nothing less) might have holpen the Roman Affairs with some pretence.

To supply it to the utmost of their Power, some stretch their Wit beyond Reason: For on one part, they slea off all the out-side, which of nature belongs to the Paschal Lamb, to have Flesh without Accidents: on the other part, they pick off the intire inward being of Bread and Wine from within their natural outside, to have thereby, the Accidents of these Bodies without Substance: & so taking the Flesh & Blood of Moses his Lamb, and the empty Shews & Accidents of Melchisedek's Bread and Wine, & sorting those with these, as well as they can together, they make up the Compound, which must fill up all Types and Figures. And this sorting and compounding is what they call Consecrating, and Singing Mass.

it

n

21

<sup>\*</sup> Bell. de Miff. l. 1. c. 7. Par. Respondeo Eucharsftiam.

y

k

k

d

n

e

d

e

ıt

e.

t,

is i-

1-

afe

&

&

t-

er, all

d-

tu-

Naturally few men can think, but it were a leffer Inconveniency once to admit of an usual Figure into our Saviour's Speech, This is my Body, than to forge & bring in continually fuch an unnatural Disfiguration against his Creatures, of Bread and Wine, Flesh and Blood. But when this Prodigy is like to fall upon the Saviour Himself, who can be so unchristian as not to keep it off from him, with admitting rather, if need would require it, all forts of both usual & unusual Figures one could think of? It is an infallible Rule in St. Augustine, d That what soever we find in the Word of God, that cannot properly be referred, either to Holines of Life, or Truth of Faith; as when Christ says, Unless we eat his Flesh, and drink his Blood, you shall not have life, &c. we must under stand it with a Figure. You may be fure, that Abraham would never have carried away his Son, nor tied him upon an Altar, in order to facrifice him, unless the Voice of God had been so express, & so clear for Isaac, Gen. 22. that nothing could help this loving Father, to understand that hard saying, of any thing else, than his Son, his Son Isaac, his only Son, whom he loved. Therefore, whenfoever Roman Priests go about to facrifice the Son of God, and to bind him, not hand and foot only, but fense and foul to, & within the capacity of a thin wafer(a weak prison for a mighty Saviour) they must needs shew the Command they have of doing it, expressed in terms so plain & strong, that there is no possibility left to either ordinary sense, or usual figures of men, to understand it otherwise. Aaron, & all his fuccessors, though it was but to offer Rams and Goats, yet had they a whole set of Instructions

d S. August. de Relig. Christ. l. 3.c. 10. Idem. c. 12, 13, 14, & 15.

34

and Commands for this service, so full, and so often repeated, that it had been both stark blindnes to doubt of it, and open rebellion not to do it: therefore no less can be expected of Roman Popes and Priests, but since they will take upon them to sacrifice the Son of God, & to bring, by their Sacrifice, that living both God and Saviour to a sad condition of death, they bring as express order for this, as either Abraham had for his Son, or Aaron or Eleazer for their Beasts.

Now therefore we must see, what clear Warrant, what absolute Command, and what irresistible Arguments Mass Priests have out of Holy Scripture, to inforce and countenance such a stupendous Office, as is the facrificing a great God. First, they are either so obscure, or so weak and uncertain in their own opinion, that the Mass Priests themselves, who have a visible Interest to think them good, do either contradict or suspect them. Secondly, they are so weak and so uncertain in themselves, (whatever Roman Priests may think of them) that, to prop up Mass Saerifice with such Reasons, is to uphold plain Impiety with plain Folly.

I begin with what these Reasons are, in their own opinion. 1. Their first and main one is taken out of the Figure of Melchised k, & Davids Oracle with it, Thou art a Priest for ever, &c. Which words, some take as a full proof of the standing continuation of Mass Priesthood, and Sacrifice. But in the judgment of others, this proof is not only weak, but also false. For, says Valgues, Christ hath no need of this continuing Sacrifice; for he shall be Priest still, even after Mart. Becan. de Sacrif. q. 4. Par. Melchisedek non solum repræsentativit. Bell. de Miss. 1. 1. c. 6. Par. Bit etiam alia. Gabr. Valg. T. 3. Dispersion.

the

bo

T

m

ev

tion

acl

no

c. 8.

Diff

fign

the end of the World, when there will be no such sa-

crifice.

n

0

e

5,

e

g

1,

1-

ir

t,

u-

to

e,

er

nr

ve

n-

ak

an

e/s

in

Vn

of

it,

ne

of

fe.

ti-

ter

nta-

Disp.

the

2. Another Proof they most insist upon, is the Sacrifice of the Passover, which they plead to have been intended, as a Figure to represent Mass, and to be accomplished at Mass. But & Bellarmin betrays unluckily the weaknes of this Argument, by a clear demonstration out of St. John 19. 36. Abone of him shall not be broken, which, says Bellarmin most truly, was foretold of the Paschal Lamb, and sulfitued in the Passion.

3. The same slaw is notoriously to be found in their manner of arguing out of the Sacrifice, Exod. 24. and other Mosaical oblations, that the Communion which Christ instituted, and consequently their Mass is a most real Sacrifice, supposing that hall, or most of them, were Figures to be fulfilled in this. For Pope Leo destroys such reasons, & i teaches solemnly, That all the Mysteries of former Ages, and all sorts of Sacrifices, were Shadows and Figures, that both pointed at, and ended in the Sacrifice of the Cross. Therefore this Argument is taken by other Schoolmen for a meer probable conjecture.

4. Of all Prophets, Malachy is the man, whom they think to have most clearly foretold Mass. In every place shall be offered unto my Name a pure oblation, Malac. c. 1. Yet Arias Montanus, m whom they acknowledge to be a right Pious and learned man, cannot see it; nor doth he find any thing in this Pro-

phet

p Bell. de Miss. 1. r. c. 7. Par. Illud autem alterum. h Bell. De Miss. 1. r. c. 8. Par. Huc referri possunt. Leo magnus Serm. 3. de Passune Domini: Francisc. Suarez. Disr. 74. sett. 2. Par. Illum autem alterum. Suarez. Disr. 74. sett. 1. Par. Sed præcipuum. Bell. de Miss. 1. 1. c. 10. Sed infigne, m Suarez. Ibid. Par. Quocirca sæpe miratus.

phet, that can satisfie his fellow Priests. And these are the likeliest proofs which the old Testament can afford them, which their own men thus contradict. The New Testament helps them as ill, or worse. 1. After they have screwed what they can out of Christ's Institution, which should be the proper seat of Mass; some do ingeniously confess, "that, what all the Gospels have said concerning it, can by it self convince no man. Only they quess it to be very consonant with the words, and Acts of Christs. Therefore instead of clear and invincible Demonstrations, (for nothing less can evince this strange Sacrifice) they must be contented with such reasons, as themselves acknowledge to be no better than Probabilities and Conjectures.

2. The like Fate have the two words, Do this, Whereupon yet they dare build two Sacraments, and one Sacrifice, that is, three high and large Mysteries, which were sufficient of themselves without any more addition to take up the whole Church of Rome. Some would fain interpret it, Sacrifice this; because sometimes the same words, in Hebrew, feem to fignifie it, but others reject it p as ridiculom

in matter of Proof.

3. The threefold Argument, which they will squeeze out of the institution, Matth. 26.&c. is quite as bad; though it be more relied upon, to demonstrate that what Christ did, and commanded to do, is their very Mass Sacrifice, because he saies in the present tenses, This is my Body, which is given, which De M. is broken; and this my Blood, which is shed. And

there-

tİ

0

in th

at

die

is.

all

[ub

cor

and

WO

mu

in F

Car

Can

97

fund Gabr

Par. Secundò potest. Id. Ultimo loco adjungere. P Bell. de Miff. l. 1; c.12. Par. Sed errant.

therefore they q conclude, that his Body was broken, and his Blood shed at the Communion, & so it was a Sacrifice. But others reject these three Arguments: and apply (as well they may) this giving, breaking, and shedding, to the sacrifice upon the Cross, which was to be done the next day; wishing their Companions not to stand upon subtilties, and to feek some stronger reasons for their Mass.

4. Finally, come we now to the strong hold, and feat of Mass, this is my Body. What clear Proof can be made out hence, a fober man can eafily guess, by their wrangling among themselves, about every one of these words. They confess, that the very reading of all they fay or unfay about the first word, Hoc. that is, This, were enough to overturn ones brain, or at the least, to tire out his Patience. No less contradicting shall you find among them upon est, that is, is. And very near as much about Body. For though all have the same interest to find out Mass. & Tranhof substantiation in these words; this work is so hard to compass, that they must feek it out several waies; ew, and at last after they have tortured and turned these lows words on all fides, the ablest and acutest of them must fay, " that what they fought for is not exprest will in Holy Scripture, nor can \* be proved by Scripture. uite Cardinal Bellarmin himself, though a Goliah in this non Camp, is forced to yield. Scotus dicit, &c. That is, do,

Scotus

here-

ſe

an

aſe.

of

eat

pat elf

ant

ad

10-

ley

ves and

his, nts,

My-

out

bis;

the Jansen. Concord. Evang. c. 131. pag. 903. Canus de Locis, l. 12. c. 13. A. d. Casiro. l. 10 . Tit. Miffa. Salmero de Luchar. Traff. 27. p. 20 3. Bell. which De Meff. l 1. c.12. Titelmac. in Matth. 26. Cajetan. F. Cerinth. 11. Pic. And mirand. Apolog. q. 6. Vasques. t. 3. Disp. 199. c. 1. Par. De verbo autem sfundetur. Catharinus, de Verbis quib. Tract. 2. Par. Lector consideret. [68.1. 10ccam. Quodl. 4.9.34, & 35. y Bell. de Euchar. 1.3.c.23. Par. Secundo

Scotus Says, fays he, That there is no place in Scripture, that can oblige one to admit of Transubstantiation. without the Declaration of the Church: and this is not unlikely, since most learned & acute men, such as Scotus

was, have been of this opinion.

The whole bufines amounts to this. There comes a gallant Souldier fent from Court, as he fays, with a Commission both to govern a Frontier Town, and to put in a Garrison. The Town wanting no allegiance, is ready to receive this new Governor, only defires to fee his power. Then he produces divers Papers, which certainly have the King's Hand, but fpeak ne. ver one plain word of either Garrison, or Governor, Or, if you please, there comes a bold Monk, like Father Escobar, or Bauny, with Bulls from His Holiness, in order, as he fays, to fulminate all fansenists, be. cause they stand too stiff for the Doctrine of St. Augustin, and too remiss for the Sovereignty of the Pope. Great stir is made in all the Churches, for the executing of these Bulls: but when they come to be well read, and examined in order to execution, not one word is in them found, that tends plainly & directly towards excommunicating fansenists; unless you be pleased to take every word, as his Fryers will interpret it, who neither agree among themselves about their interpretations, nor dare fay, that there is any thing express against any one fansenist. What then hath a wise Magistrate to do, but to keep his people quiet, and to put these fellows in the Goal. to co

The case in hand is worse than both these. The Church of Christ hath been happy, during many hundred years, with the pure unbloody facrifice of Prayers, and Almes, &c. and the Sacraments, Type, Antitypes, that is, facred Images, representing by their

Infti-

of

P

in

po

m

&

OV

the

wit

Fle

Wit

who

not

pret

Mel

men

out c

prefs

is, th

fo. Fo

Conf

3 1/4

S

S

a

0

e,

es

s,

e.

ì.

2

ſs,

e-

St.

he

he

to

on,

&

ess

vill

sa-

e is

hat

his

al.

The

un-

ray-

pes,

nfti.

UMI

Institution, and applying by Gods Spirit, the Body and Blood of Christ, offered to God upon the Cross. The Apologies, and Confessions of ancient Fathers fpeak of no more, & they who came next after them, interpret it word for word fo. A long while after, fwarms about a Rabble of Monks, crying, that thefe were but hollow fervices; that neither the Figures of the Law, nor the Oracles of Prophets could be fulfilled with fuch Offerings, and in a word, that to perform well the Institution of the Sacrament, which Christ hath left with his holy Church, she must both offer & facrifice Christ Himself. It was not hard for Priests, who then were reputed to have all the learning, & the holiness of mankind, and had the secular power of Rome besides, to make a great bussle among men, such especially as they were then, most ignorant & most zealous. But now when the heat is somewhat over; if you will inquire into the grounds which these men had, to amaze the world, & fill the Church with desperate proposals, of converting Bread into Flesh, and of facrificing Christ alive, by lodging him within the quantity of a thin Wafer, &c. with a whole fet of continual invisible Miracles; you shall not find one syllable in the whole Institution, which is pretended for all this. And though they raise Moses, Melchisedek, Isaiah, Malachi, out of the Old Testament to foretell Mass; and all the Holy Evangelists out of the New to confirm it; at last they are forced to confess, that all these Holy Men have not one express word to this purpose; but that the hurch, that is, themselves, Popes and Mass Priests declared it 10. For that no better Church did it, appears by their Confession, who s put Transulfantiation, Indulgen-3 Alpb. a Caftro. 1.8 . Tis. Indulgenter. Edit. Parifienfi. 2571 . pag. 578.

ces, and Purgatory, among the things mostly unknown to Ancient Fathers.

Now, if all the Reasons that can be brought in the behalf of Mass, be so weak in their judgement, who have a visible interest to believe, and to make them strong how weak must they be in themselves?

I begin with the words of the Institution, which, or nothing must be the feat, and, as they think, the very Institution of Mass. Christ took Bread, and blessed it, and gave to his Disciples to eat, saying, this is my Body. And therefore, as they conclude, he facrificed, and offered his Body to his Father, under the shape of that same Bread. Is giving Bread to men either a fignification, or a means of offering flesh to God? And where is in all this the least intimation of a Sacrifice ?

1. Where is that Address' & Adoration to God, which is inseparable from every lawful Sacrifice? They go about 2 to prove out of S. John 4. that there would be Sacrifices under the Gospel, because there will be Worshipping: But now methinks they should do better, to shew us here any Act, or any word that belongs to worshipping, before they think of Sacrifice, for certainly one may worship without fa- tend crificing: witnes the Pharifee & Publican, who prayed was in the Temple, Luke 10. But it is impossible to Sa this crifice without Worshipping. Where then is that ne with ceffary and decent action observed? Is speaking to Chri men, & bidding them to eat, a likely way of praying which to God? If you fay, that when Christ blessed the bread, it from and gave thanks, he prayed to God; you fay true, but not to the purpose. For this blessing the Bread, Bellar.

V

b

d

C

di

fo

cri Pr

rea

up

firf

be

expe

leds

nou

are

invo

I.

· Beca

Secunda

<sup>2</sup> Bell. de Miff. 1. 1. c. 41.

and giving thanks to God, is the ancient Eucharist and Sacrifice of the old Church, which in the Roman had the fortune (not unlike the fat kine, that were devoured by the lean, Gen. 41. 20. ) not to be better esteemed than a Preface, and an accidental Ceremony to the grand Mass Sacrifice that comes after, and is confecrated to God, by words directly spoken to men. Take eat, &c.

2. Where is the Altar, which they will alledge sometimes, b as an infallible Demonstration of Sacrifices? Were the Portative Altars of Itinerant Priests then in use? And did the Disciples find it ready in, or did they bring it with them into the upper Room, where Christ, as they think, faid the

first Mass?

n

t,

ce

5?

h, he

ef-

us

ri.

he

en

to on

od,

ce?

rue,

and

3. Since they confess, that their Sacrifice must be c visible, and the Act of offering it external, and exposed to sense; and the end of it, to be the acknowere ledging both of human Infirmity, and the highest hoere nour that can be done to God; for God's fake how uld are these three or four things, either expressed, or ord involved at Mass by this saying, This is my Body?

of 1. Was the Flesh of Jesus Christ, which they pre-sal tend to be offered, to be seen in the Bread, while he yed was consecrating? Can any Priest perceive whether Sa this Flesh be, or be not, in a consecrated wafer? And ne with what reason can they say as d they do, that g to Christ is seen in the form and colour of the Wafer, ring which is the very covering that hides it, and keeps ead, it from being seen? 2. Is the Transubstantiating the

Bread

ead, Becan. de Sacrif.q.6. Par. Prima Conclusio. Alanus de Sacrif.l.3.c.18.
Bellar.de Miss. 1.1.c.2. Par. Sextum Argum. uts. toto cap. 16. Bell. ibid. . Becan. de Sacrif. q. a. Par. Quinta Conclusio. a Becan. ibid. q.6. Par. Strunda Objectio.

Bread & Wine into Christ's Flesh and Blood (where. in they fay the real Immolation confifts) external, and expos'd to any fense? Do Priests, and Communicants, either fee the stirring, or hear the noise, when either the substance of Bread is skrewed out of its Accidents, or when the Flesh of Christ is skrewed into them? And when they answer, tis enough if it be heard in the uttering of the words; why do they not therefore fay as well, and upon the fame, or better ground, that the Bleffed Trinity, the Souls and thoughts of men, and the very fubstance of Angels, when we speak of them, are things fensible, yea, far more sensible than what they do, because we preach loud enough of immaterial substances; when as for certain good considerations the Masses Consecration is utter'd exceeding low? 3. How comes this Sacrifice of the Body and Blood of Christ, to be a proper means of exalting Divine Majesty, and acknowledging human Infirmity? For this they make to be the main End, wherefore they fing Mass. Is God the Father most highly pleased, and exalted, when he fees his dear Son either flung down into the stomack of a Priest, or sucked into the belly of a Spider? And is this a fit expression of human Infirmity, to see one Priest at an Altar appear with more power than all Angels together have? And whenfoever he will be pleafed but to fay five words, to be able to shew ten Miracles? Such Proofs, and fuch Doctrines, are well met together in point of Inconceiveablenes: and I suspect that men must transubstantiate their brains into what I am loth to fay, before they can believe Mass and Transubstantiation upon such grounds.

Le Becan. ibid. f Durant. Ration. l. 4. de Canone.

All

II Se

tı

th

G

ca

in

ce

M

Wi

wi.

wh

For

offe

Sub

tak

acci

have

But

All their other Reasons are as unlikely to be sound. I will instance but in these five, which the Council of Trent hath made choice of to assert their new Priesthood, before they curse those willfull People, that will not submit unto it.

The first is the Figure of Melchisedek, which, as it hath been already demonstrated, under what notion soever it be considered, can prejudice, but cannot serve Mass. For as a Sacrifice, that Bread could never be dryed and heated at any other fire, then at that of Divine vengeance upon the Cross; or as a Sacrament, it fignifies that strength, and that refreshment of Grace, wherewith Christ, the true Melchifedek, would from above bless his People in all their travellings and marches, untill his first coming, as the Holy Communion promises us the like bleffing, till the second: according to that of S. Peter Act. 3. 26. God hath raised his Son to bless us. If the Rom. Church can transubstantiate the History of Moses, concerning Melchisedek offering Bread, into a Legend, concerning Melchisedek's Slaves offering their King and Mafter under the shape of empty Loaves; that figure will ferve somewhat to their purpose, and then it will be time we look for another Answer.

The second is the Figure of the Paschal Lamb, which, as they say, was fulfilled at the Lords Sapper. For out of the Bread and Wine wth Melchisedek did offer, taking only the accidents, and leaving aside the substance: and out of the Lamb which Moses offered, taking the whole flesh and substance, and leaving the accidents and skin behind, they in great wisdome have got something to represent the Roman Mass. But here I find most visibly that general Coun

t

S

S

n

1

-(

33

o, b-

ns v ?

od

ine

or

ley

ed,

ang

nto n of

apther

t to les?

t to-

pect

into

s.

All

<sup>&</sup>amp; Concil. Trident. Seff. 22. c. s. h Ibid. Can. 1. & 2.

cils, even when his Holiness hath confirmed them, can erre both in Reason, and much more in Divinity, if this Tridentine really meant to make of this Lamb, what Beliarmin and others do make of it.

The Council of Trent erres grievously in good Logick and Reason; for the strength of this reasoning, as Jesuit Becan k contracts it, comes to this. The Paschal Lamb was a figure of the Mass, the Paschal Lamb was a Sacrifice: Therefore Mass is a Sacrifice. Just as if I should argue thus, Sarah and Agar, (as S. Paul says, Galat. 4.) were two Figures, that of the Gospel, this of the Law : Sarah and Agar, were two Women, therefore the Gospel and the Law are two Women. With this Logick I may better argue. The Paschal Lamb, as Mass Priests say, was the Figure of Mass, the Paschal Lamb was a bloody Sacrifice, once, and no more to be offered in a year in the evening, and then not a drop of the Blood must be drunk, but all must be sprinkled about the Doors; Therefore Mass is a bloody Sacrifice, that must not be fung every day, nor any day in the morning, and there the Priest must not drink more of the Cup then a lay-man. Such strong reasonings well become the Mass. Bellarmin upon the same Argument bungles yet worfe. He presupposes what he should prove, namely that the Disciples did eat the flesh of their Master at his Supper; and hence he concludes ( as well he might upon fuch a presupposal) that therefore he had been then facrificed. The Reverend Fathers of Trent in allow themselves the same liberty. And they do well, for certainly it is much an easier task, to presuppose Mass, then to prove it,

i Bell. de Miff. l. 1.c. 7. k Becan. de Sacrif. 9. 4. Par. Tertio probatur. 1 Bell. de Miff. l. 1. c. 7. Par. Dicent enim Adversarii. m Concil. Trident. Seff. 22. 6. 1.

But

ī

It

m

tri

by

and

be

fim

per

put

non

or f

any

grou

Sacr

an d

Ί

But secondly, their Divinity is full as bad as their Logick. For that the figure of the Paschal Lambrelates properly to the Passion, and not to the Eucharift, can be demonstrated by three infallible evidences. 1. By the Testimony of S. John c. 19. who fays expresly, that that was fulfilled upon the Cross, which was ordered about the Passeover, Exod. 12. 46. And Num. 9. 12. A bone of him shall not be broken. 2, The Paschal Lamb, which took away the sins of that House, where it was slaine, represented the Lamb of God, that takes away the fins of the world; which was done upon the Cross, by that Sacrifice, wherein lies the original and primitive fountain of Propitiation for fin, not by the Sacrifice of Mass, which can procure no pardon for mortal, and scarce any for venial fins. 3. By a demonstration of the Fact. It was at his Passion, and no where else, that this Lamb of God, was according to the Law, Exod. 12. 8. and 9. rosted with the Fire of vengeance and judgement, and kept from being burned, not by any extrinsecal help, as Flesh is when sodden in water, but by his own juice and liquor, that is, his own strength and holiness. For I presuppose, that if Roman Priests be confident to fay, and some of them perchance simple enough to believe, that Christ at his last Supper had all his Body and Blood in his hand, and fo put himself whole in the mouth of his Disciples; yet none of them will fay, that the heat of their mouths, or stomacks, did scorch him so, as to make him feel any part of what hath been prefigured by Rosting.

e

e,

s,

ot nd

en he

les

ve.

eir

as

re-Fa-

rty.

lier

patur.

. Tri-

But

This one Reason is enough to destroy the fifth ground, which the said Council takes from all other sacrifices and offerings under the Law; as if the truth and the accomplishment of all these figures were

G 2 found

found at Mass. There was not one Propitiatory Sacrifice under the Law, but was put to a violent and painfull destruction, both to represent under the law what finners did deferve, and to prefigure what against the times of the Gospel, our Saviour Christ was to fuffer. This fuffering was clearly feen and heard in the violent death, upon the Cross, and in the strong Cryes and Tears, Hebr. 5.7. which are the ordinary expressions both of trouble and torment. But as to that easy and senseless shadow of Death, wherein they make Christ lye at Mass; Moses hath neither rosted Lambs, nor burnt Sacrifices to represent it, or if he have, the Tridentine Fathers may do their cause a great deal of right, to shew where. For it is not to be imagined, that every petty circumstance of Christs Sacrifice on the Cross, should be both foretold by Prophecies, and foreshewed by Types and Figures, and that these Miracles and stupendous Passages, which ever fince above fixteen hundred years, happen every day to Christ at Mass, should not be so much as once hinted at, if they were true.

The fourth Proof for Mass is taken out of the Prophet Malachy, I. II. From the rising up of the Sun unto the going down of the same, my Name shall be great among the Gentiles: and in every place Incense shall be offered unto my Name and a pure Oblation. Upon which words, the Paraphrase or Interpretation of Jesuite Becan is good enough, or The Christians, who, from being Gentiles, shall be converted to the Faith, will serve me better through the whole World, then you ( Jews) have hitherto done in Judea: And every where shall be offered to me, by Christians, not an

o Martin Becan. de Sacrif. q. 4. Par. Verba Malachiæ fic.

unclean

7

F

Sec

te

Anclean Sacrifice, such as yours is, but a pure one. Hence, by an admirable Logick, they will draw this Conclusion, That therefore Christs Body and Blood shall be really destroyed, and sacrificed to God at Mass. Bellarmin spends a whole Chapter to make good this strange Inference: whereas all that can be made out either of this, or of other like Prophelies, as Isa. 19.21. and 26.21. And Feremiah 33.17. is this onely, That God shall be served under the Gospel, as well and better then under the Law, with Sacrifices and pure Oblations. All the difficulty therefore remains to know, what these Sacrifices and pure Oblations under the Gospel must be. The most ancient Fathers say, they are P Sacrifices of Praise: of Prayer, with pure Conscience: and of an humble contrite heart : an Incense by Devotion : a pure Oblation and Sacrifice made with good Works: and the Bleffed Communion besides, as the proper feat and center of all these pure and spiritual Oblations. We offer ( fay f they upon these words of Malachy ) to the high God the Sacrifice of Thanksgiving, the most Divine and Celestial Sacrifice. We offer after a new manner the pure Oblation of the new Covenant: This Sacrifice is a contrite Heart. We burn also before Him the Oblation here mentioned by the Prophet, in every place, with our Prayers, offering to God the sweet Fruits of holy Knowledge. We offer, and incense likewise the Memorial of the great Sacrifice; celebrating the Mysteries which he hath prescribed to us: and consecrating our selves both in Body and Soul to Him, &c. To this add the Oblation of the Gentiles, as 'tis intimated by S. Paul, Rom. 15.16. and interpreted by S. Chryf.

G 3 Hom

r

t

d

d

ft

t,

ne

178

be

se

7.

a-

i-

to

ld,

nd

an

an

p Tert. cont. Jud. c. 5. & Marc. l. 4. c. 1. q Euseb. de Dem. l. 1. c. 4. : Iren. l. 4. 32. I Euseb. de Dem. l. 4. c. ult. Theod. 1. Mal.

' Hom. 29. and foretold by Isa. 66. 19,20. in a Prophesie quite parallel to this, I will send unto the Nations that have not heard my Name, and they shall declare my Glory among the Gentiles, (which is the Commentary of Tertullian upon Malachy) and they shall bring all your Bretbren for an offering unto the Lord. Wherefore fays S. Chrysoftom, my Priesthood or Sacerdotal Function, is to preach the Gospel, and by preaching to bring such Victims to Christ, and not to bring and Sacrifice Christ to Christ. This is the full sense and Interpretation of the Holy Fathers. When they of Trent, or Rome, shall have shewed us, that to offer and destroy Christ at Mass, by a real Sacrifice, is under the Gospel a very pure Oblation, and not a visible Sacriledge, and a cruel Abomination, it will betime enough then to confider, whether the Prophet Malachy meant otherwise.

The fifth Proof for Mass, out of 1. Cor. 10. 20. You cannot be partakers of the Table of the Lord, and of the Table of Devils, pulls down what the Tridentine Fathers would fain build up. For there the A. postle concludes against the Corinthians, that by eating of the Table, that is, of the Festivals, and remainders of Sacrifices offered to Devils, they fall into a communion with those Devils, upon the like account, as by eating of the Table, that is, of the holy Festival and Sacrament of Christs Sacrifice, we thereby obtain a Communion with Christ: since both Tables and eatings, are of meats consecrated; these, to the honour of Christ, those to the honour of the Devils. Which reason cannot be true, unless Mass and Transubstantiation prove false: for a Corintbian might soon reply, That at those Tables of the Heathen, he neither eats nor drinks the very substance

T

V

th

to

vi

Bl

to

of

he

Al

Ta

ble

<sup>.</sup> Chrysoft. Hom. 20. in Kom. 15. 17. u Tert. l. 3. cont. Marc.

of the Devils; as it is certain, if Mass and Transubstantiation be not false, he eats at the Table of Christ, the very Body and Blood of Christ. Whereas if you take the bleffed Eucharist for what it is, to wit, both corporally in its own natural Elements, Bread web we break, and wine which we drink; and spiritually in its institution and holy use, a Memorial, a Sacrament, and, as it were, a facred Relick of the Sacrifice upon the Crofs; the Apostles Exhortation, or Conclusion, is most strong and rational. The eating of Bread confecrated into a Memorial of the Death and Sacrifice of Christ, is both a Profession and a Means of our Communion with Christ: Therefore, or rather much more, the eating and feafling upon Meats, first offered to Devils, and then brought down from their Altars to Tables fet up in their Temples, for Idolaters, who keep those Festivals to their honour, is a real Profession, whatsoever Men verbally say, of communion and fellowship with these Devils. And hence follows besides a second Evidence, against both Transubstantiation and Mass; that as these Meats, however polluted and relating to Devils, are neither Devils, nor substance of Devils: So the Bread which we break, and the Cup of Bleffing which we blefs, however facred and relative to Christ, are neither Christ, nor the Body and Blood of Christ. And if the Council of Trent think much to help up Mass Sacrifice, by mistaking a \* Table for an Altar ( wherein Cornelius à Lapide, who takes , a Table for a Table, is more ingenious then his Brother Bellarmin) let them also presuppose, that the Table of Corinthian Idolaters was an Altar, whereon

G 4 they

1

f

3

11

).

ed

1.

1.

t-

e-

n-

IC-

ly

re.

a-

to

De.

nd

ian ea-

nce

of

x Concil. Trid. Seff. 22. c. 1. y Cornel. à Lapid. 1. Corinib. 10. 2 Bell. de Miss. l. 1. c. 14.

they were used to eat their Devils. Then how far honest Christians may be perswaded by this laudible example to have Altars, whereupon they may facrifice and eat their Saviour, let all, whether reformed or unreformed Catholicks, be the Judges.

These are the best reasons the Council of Trent could get, to prove or countenance Mass Sacri-

fice.

Some other reasons there are nevertheless, scattered here and there among less considerable Authors, but either fo ridiculous, that, if it were not upon a ferious and fad subject, they might rather tempt one to laugh, then to think of any answer; as for example, that of Pfal. 72. 15. There shall be a handful of Corn upon the top of the Mountains, that is, fays one, The bread of Life over the crowns of the Priests heads: or otherwise, so light and weak, as when that b they will prove Mass out of S. John 4. 23. where our Saviour speaks of worshipping; or out of Als 13.2. where Barnabas and Simeon were minifring unto the Lard; that one may very well think to see Jiglers undertaking to raise a huge Tower upon a handful of dry flick. When they do find but any thing that hath relation to Bread, as the Offering of Corn, Levit. 23. The Cake baked upon the coals, I Kings 19. The morfel of Bread fet before the Angel, Genef. 18. The Shew Bread, Levit. 24. The Manna of the Wilderness, &c. presently they think to have found Transabstantiation and all. And if they chance to light any where, upon the least hint of Sacrifice, Priest, Oblation, or Altar, (Table Tometimes, as here, will ferve their turn ) then pre-

r

7

a Echius. b Bell. de Miss. l. 1. c. 11. & c. 13. c Bell. de Euch. l. 1. o. 3. Becan; c. 15. q. 5. Suarez. Disp. 41. n. 73. a. 6. Salmero. Trast. 21. fently

fently they fancie Mass; just as will those purblind Fowlers, who take any dry stick for a Wood-cocker like our more elevated Alchymists, who, wherefoever they hear in Scripture Moses or Solomon speaking of Gold, think that there lyes the mystery of their Philosophical Stone. But God be praised for it, the Holy Ghost hath taken so good care of securing Holy Communion against these unhappy surprizings, that no sincere and understanding Christian, need to fear what first besel the Jews, and now lyes heavy on Roman Priests, that their Table be made a snare to take themselves withal: nor the Holy Things that Christ hath intended for their

good, be made to them an occasion of falling.

All Men somewhat versed in Holy Scripture, cannot but observe, how the Apostles in their Writings are apt to express all Duties and Services which belong to the times of the Gospel, with Words and Phrases that are proper to the Law. Thus the s fubjecting our selves to Christ, the doing d of any good Work in Christs name, all Duties of Liberality and Charity, the f Preaching of the Gospel, and converting of Infidels, the dying in 8 the Faith of Christ, the h venturing our life for his Truth; the very i Beleiving on him, and Glorifying k of his Name, &c. are called Oblations and Sacrifices. Onely the Lords Supper, (which in the Roman account is Mass). though it deserves it best, is never called fo. All holy Acts of Religion, which Papilts can admit but for Metaphorical and improper Sacrifices, are honored in Scripture with the title of Sacrifices; and that, which they take for the only proper and true E-

G 5 vangelical

t

a

f

ts

n

of

i-

k

er

ut

ng

ne

re

4.

nd

aft

ole

e-

1. 21.

tly

c Rom. 12. 1. d Hebr. 13. 16. c Philip. 4. 18. f Rom. 15, 16. g 22 Tim. 4. 6. h Thilip. 2. 17. i Ibid. k 1. Pet. 2. 5.

vangelical Sacrifice, hath not so much as the bare name of it, but goes among Evangelical Writers, under no other name or notion, then Lords Supper, Communion, breaking of Bread. If there had been among the Apostles such a visible, fundamental, daily and proper Sacrifice known in the Church; how both having a being then, and being known, could

it then have wanted a name?

The fame wonder and observation may be made about the Office of a Priest, as it signifies a Sacrificer. It is certain, that our Saviour rather confirmed, then altered in his Church, that way of Government which had been established in the Temple of Israel. For what Aaron the High Priest, his Sons the inferior Priests, and the Levites were in the Temple, 1 says S. Jerome; the same Office have now the Bishops, the Priests, and the Deacons in the Church. And therefore the Persons employed, whether under this, or that Government, are (as to the matter) commonly qualifyed with the same Titles. All the Ministers of the Gospel, whom now we call Bishops, Priests, Doctors, Deacons, &c. were the ancient Pakidim, Zakenim, Rabbim, Mesharethim, in the Congregation of Israel. How then comes this order of facrificing Priest (if any such had been allowed by Jesus Christ, and his Apostles) to be quite left out? For these . whom we now call Priests, and whom S. Paul often mentions in his Epiftles, are not Sacrificers at all: But Elders properly, that is, Spiritual Rulers, and Magistrates in the Church ( such as may be Senators and Aldermen in a City ) established within a limited compass about the Affairs of Christ their Master, and about helping and directing Men to his fervice. Their

<sup>1</sup> S. Hieron. Epist. ad Evagrium.

Commission reaches so far, as, in Gods Name, to bless and dispense holy Ordinances; to declare all his revealed Will; and according to this, to abfolve Sinners, if they repent; and to bind them, if they do not; and fo in a manner to be Embassadors from God to Men: Their power reaches also so far, as both to recommend and to reconcile Men to God. Thus far have they a Sacerdotal Dignity, as Aaron and his Sons being Priests had. They have moreover a most noble Commission, to do their utmost endeavours to offer Men as holy Sacrifices to God; to destroy (like as Aaron did his Rams and Goats) what soever is fenfual in them; and to raise up their Souls, their Prayers and their Life towards God, as Aaron did his Heave offerings, Rom. 15, 16. But to offer up Christ himself, and to rear up Altars in order to Sacrifice the Son of God, in as real (though not the same) manner, as Aaron did a Kid or a Lamb, is no more commanded, and is less commendable, then Sacrificing harmless Children: and if that Crime be not expresly forbidden as this is, 'tis upon this account, that there have been men, when holy Scripture was writ, fo cruel, as to Sacrifice their own Children; but none so detestably barbarous and mad, as to think of facrificing their own Saviour.

There is both clear and frequent mention made in the New Testament, of all Offices somewhat considerable in the Church. They, who perhaps, did but upon some sew occasions foretel any thing that was to come, are absolutely called *Prophets*: They who could work some Miracle, although but for a time, have every one their Titles, of powers, &c. 1 Cor. 12. 28, 29. I need not mention Apostles, Evangelists, Rulers, Deacons of both sexes, &c. Both Ordinary,

r

d

S

s,

T,

II

t,

se!

en

br

rs

ed

nd

eir

n-

and extraordinary Offices in the New Testament have Names: how comes this alone (which is more admirable then the most extraordinary, and more frequent in the Roman Church then the most ordinary) to have none? How comes the Title of Sacrificing Priest so honorable, and so common under the Law, not to pass over among the rest, and in the crowd, to the Gospel, where they say this Sacrificing work is more confiderable, and more common? How came S. Peter and S. Paul &c. to be commonly called Teachers, &c. for Preaching the Gospel of Christ, and never sacrificing Priests for their daily finging Christs Mass, and facrificing Christs Body? Once more, how comes it to pass that any other Act of Christian Religion, for the most part is honored with some Sacerdotal expression, and that this about the holy Communion, though more capable of this honour, not once? That a work of Charity, for example, is called an Oblation of sweet sinell, Phil. 4. 18. and the holy Sacrament never but breaking of Bread, or eating the Lords Supper? And that a Sacerdotal Function should be given to Preaching to, and Converting of Men, and constantly and purposely waved off from that holy Office, which in many respects might better go under the Name of Oblation or Sacrifice?

The Reasons of this constant waving, must evidently be these two. 1. These Sacrificers of Mass, like hewers of Wood, or drawers of Water (once so common in Israel) have not any name in the Apostolical Church, because they have therein neither employment nor being. 2. The holy Offices and Duties about holy Communion, are not so much as once hinted at by any Sacrificing Allusion, because

the holy Ghost did foresee, what would be done in the after times; that Roman Priests would take on them to make their Saviour their Victim: pack him up Soul and Body within the quantity of a small Wafer: expose him to the hazard of falling, as the Lunatick did, Matth. 17. 15. sometimes into the Fire, fometimes into the Water, and fometimes into a worse place: take the great Name, and Almighty power of God in vain, and pretend ten or twelve of his Miracles to affift them, whenfoever they were pleased to facrifice his Son in this manner, at any time and for the least Occasion, as a Hen and a Hog; or the abominablest, as when they intend nothing so much by their consecration, and facrificing of Christ, " as either prophane sports, or witchcraft; the holy Ghoft, I fay, forefeeing all these Abuses, moved the hands and hearts of holy Writers, to pen nothing, that might give the least countenance to that abominable Character, which in the latter daies was to be pretended for this, and so allowing these Sacerdotal and venerable expressions, only to those Offices and duties, which were of a nature not to be drawn into fuch misconstructions; did by this care secure from Abomination all fuch persons, as would not delight themfelves with inventing Sacrifices without Scripture.

a

,

n

of

i-

er oer as

he

m Thom. in 4. dift. 11. q. 2. A. I. feet. Dicendum qd. Sacramenta.

## CHAP. XIII.

That the literal and proper sense of This is my Body, and others words belonging to the Institution of the Blessed Sacrament, destroys infallibly both Transubstantiation and Mass.

I should not need to adde more then what I have already said, were it not charity, to undeceive the World of a gross popular Errour; as if the direct and plain meaning of the words our Saviour uttered when he instituted this holy Sacrament, did cast a favourable Aspect upon Transubstantiation and Mass. There are millions of simple Souls, who are either kept in, or drawn away to the Roman Communion, upon this account, that Christ said, This is my Body: and thereupon will rather beleive that Christs Body is in the Priests hand, then either give themselves any farther trouble about it, or run the hazard as they think, to extenuate the full fense of it by any kind of Metaphors. Never Men were inchanted with a more palpable mistake, then Roman Catholicks are in this matter. For the fense of Christs words with us, is both full and literal, and as Papists do take it, it is both so perplexed and obscure, that their best Interpreters a confess, they can scarce agree among themselves about one word; and withal so impro-

<sup>2</sup> Catharin, de verbis quib. & c. Trael. 2. Gabr. Vasquez. Disp. 201. c. 1.

per and unfit for their turn, that before it can be applyed to Transubstantiation, or Mass, every word must be rack't out of its proper signification, by many unusual and strange Tropes or Figures.

. This is the plain and literal construction, which we make of Christs words, Matth. 26. 26. &c. Jefus took Bread, true substantial Bread: and blessed it, that is, both with prayer and thanks-giving he confecrated this Bread, and made it a holy Sacrament, and break, and gave it, &c. that is, and after he had broken in pieces and distributed among his Difciples this same Bread by him blessed and consecrated, he bids them to eat it in that proper and real mander, that Bread can be eaten, telling them with all, that this Bread which he hath thus taken, bleffed, broken, and given them to eat, was his Body, in as real and elevated manner of being, as a great and holy Sacrament can be faid to be the very Thing, w" it hath been instituted to represent and exhibite. So that the facred Eucharistical Act of receiving this Holy Sacrament with faith and contrition, must not be less accounted of then the very real Communion of Christs Body. All this is both said and done literally and really, without scarce so much as one Figure. For the particle is, as the best Rom. Catholicks will fometimes acknowledge, including all manner of beings, whether substantial or accidental, whether of quality, or cause, or effect, or relation, &c. common fense and reason can teach any man to chuse either this or that, (and no other) which he fees to be proper to the condition of the subject he hath in hand. So when Christ says, that God is a spirit, it is evidently so by a being of substance. When he says of him-

t

11

ts

1-

0

e-

re

in

ıs,

it

n-

ng

0-

c. T.

per.

<sup>\*</sup> fan fon. Liturg. 1. 4. c. 8.

felf, that he is the Resurrection; 'tis by a casual being: when S. Paul fays the Rock was Christ, it is a being of similitude: thus when here he fays that the Bread, which he took, bleffed, and gave, was his Body; it is confessed on b all hands, that the Bread cannot be Christs Body by a substantial being, or that the substance of that Bread, cannot be the Body of Christ: therefore here is must be understood of some other kind of being, that is suitable to the matter in question. Now the matter Christ there speaks of, is a true representing Sacrament, namely the consecrated Bread: and a represented truth, namely the true natural Body of Christ. Where, that must needs be faid to be this, by a being of fimilitude, or as \* Janfonius calls it, a similitudinary being, that is a being of resemblance.

But if you please to call it a Figure, as most of our Reformed Writers do; first it is but one; secondly one as plain and intelligible, as God ever was pleafed to make use of in the Institution of all his other most folemn Sacraments. The Paschal Lamb, is the Passage. Exod. 12. The Circumcision is the Covenant, Genes. 17. 10. This Cup is the New Testament, &c. Luc. 22. Thirdly, it is fuch an ordinary kind of Figure, as all men commonly love to use in their most ordinary and clearest Expressions. Thus if a Father will part his estate amongst his Children, delivering into their hands the Titles or Deeds of what he gives, he fays, my Son, here is the Land which my Father left me; and this the House which I bought fince, &c. This way of speaking is both so plain, and fo usual in all Languages, and Countreys, that it can drive none but distracted Men to Miracles and

b Bell. de Euchar. l. 3. c. 19. Par. Sed nullo negotio. Vafquez. Disp. 201. c. 1. Suarez. Disp. 57. sect. 7. Par. Prima sententia. \* fanson. supretran-

is

C

lo

W

transubstantiations, for fear of any such Figure.

Therefore what can be thought of them, who wil-

lingly entangle themselves into a Labyrinth of improper, inconvenient and unusual expressions, the better to involve themselves into Transubstantiation, and a necessity of Miracles? Be content with one most intelligible and most usual Figure, if you are pleased to call it, sigure; it leads you directly to the blessed Eucharist; whereas either you must force every one of these words out of their proper, and ordinary signification, or else you can never find Mass. It is worth the considering, how almost every word of Christ's Institution, is turn'd upside down by Roman Priests, to make it fit for their business.

1. As foon as Christ hath taken the Eread, presently they invent a Figure, that turns blessing into cursing. For whereas all other things thrive and prosper, when God blesses them: Bread decays worse then the Fig-tree when it was cursed Mark 11.21. for as they take it, it presently looses its whole substance, by this Blessing, as far at least as it relates to consecration. In this Catholick sense to bless or consecrate the Bread, is utterly to destroy it.

2. The word breaking must be understood by another quite contrary Figure. For whereas Blessing is with these Masters of new Language, the destroying of the whole substance; breaking spares all; or if it concerns any substance, it is in that strange sigure wherewith one is said to break the bones, when he scratches onely the skin. For they say the Body of Christ is broken, onely because the Forms and colours that wrap it about are broken.

3. Whereas the litteral construction of these four words he tock, blessed, brake and gave, relates visi-

r

a

d

e

e

1-

g

lľ

y

a.

er

ne

t,

c.

i-

st

er

r-

he

ny

ht

nd

it

nd

if.

bly to one and the same Thing, namely the Bread, as Bellarmin dazled with evidence of truth confessed once, The Lord, says he, 'took the Bread, blessed the Bread, gave the Bread, and said of the Bread, that it was his Body; and so no Transubstantiation; for the Bread cannot be the Body of Christ, but in our sense; to save Transubstantiation they must run to a distracting or distracted figure, whereby what Christ takes, is true bread, what he breaks is nothing, (at least no bread) and what he gives is Fless.

4. When the bleffed Evangelist adds faying, which is an evidence that he means only to relate what Christ said, and many dlearned Ro. Catholicks are forced to take it so; Priests who seem better to mind the concerns of their Mass, must put it to another use, for they make of it a Prolegue to usher in a greater Figure next following, which is called Prosoppeia, and prepare the Priest to personate Christ at the Al. tar, as if a Player e would att a King upon his Stage. This Prosopopeia, or Comicall Acting, which the most attentive Scholar may very well not observe once, though he had read the Gospel twice, is the main fupport both of Mass, Priesthood, and Sacrifice. For it is by virtue of this elevated fiction . that every Priest must be fancied to be at his Altar, what Christ was at his last Supper, and to have in his hand the fame Bread, the same Body, &c. which he shewed above 1600, years fince to his Disciples, and because all this is notoriously untrue ( for neither the thin Wafer, which the Priests consecrates, is the same unleavened Bread, which Christ blessed, and shewed

V

t

7

t

i

A

F

n

p

0

h

e Bell. de Euchar. l. 3. c. 19. Par. Primum autem. d Innocent. III. de Myst. Miss. l. 4. c. 17. Durand. 4. d. 8. q. 2. Suarez Disp. 58. sest. 4. Par. Dico ergo primò. c Gabr. Vasquez. Disp. 200. c. 3. Par. Velnti cum quis inducens Personam.

when he said This; nor is the Priests Body, Christs Body) this brave Figure f must needs be brought

to countenance these two or three lyes.

5. To come from the narrative to the significative and more effential words This is my Body. This, in its proper and usual construction is a Demonstrative, that must relate to what our Saviour tock, shewed, and gave to his Disciples, and as truth will out sometimes, they cannot hold but g confess that it was Bread. But when they better mind their business; they must put it to fignify something else. Some say therefore, that This must fignifie, this Bread, h that shall be presently transubstantiated into my Body, is my Body. Which if it be no figure, is certainly a huge incumbrance upon a poor Monosyllable. With some, This is as much as under this, or this which is confecrated under this. Which may be true or false of any thing. But however this for under this is no literal interpretation. With some, this fignifies an Individuum vagum, that is k some body or some thing; which is not fo much a Figure, as an abfurdity, that destroys the Nature of a Demonstrative, which stands to fignifie, either the thing spoken of before, or somewhat determinate and present to sense, or both. Hence it is that Thomas Aquinas I says that a Priest may, if he please, transubstantiate all the Loaves in the Market, which he can conveniently shew: but not all the Loaves in the Town, because he cannot point at them, nor fetch them into the the compass of the proper fignification that this hath. Others will have this to fignifie " the Body of Christ in Heaven.

d

e

.

e

r

0

it

7,

h

it

re

d

er

t-

a,

11.

e.

ft

٠,

ın

or

y

ne

d

e-

ne

ne

ed

de 4. ari

f Vid. Vasq. ibid. E Bell. Supr. h Alexand. 4. q. 10. m. 4. a. 2. Referente Vasque. i £gidius Theorem. 42. Talud. in 4. d. 8. q. 3. a. 1. k Durand. Capreol. 1 Thom. 4. Sent. d. 11. q. 2. a. 1. m Major upud Suarem. Disp. 58. sest. 7. Par. Tertia Sententia,

Which

which is worse; because farther from the Priest, then all the Bread of a great Town. Besides, no sense can be less litteral then this This Body which is in Heaven, is under this. Others finally take This for something else, but what that is, whether Accidents or substance of bread, or some other general substance, they cannot agree among themselves, nor any one with his own self, every one taking what he says upon this matter, not as certain, but only as more probable to his thinking, and less lyable to difficulties, then what his neighbour hath said before him. Mean while none of them dares take this word in its natural and literal signification.

6. The next word is, is used as bad. For many translate it , is o made , as if Christ had said this thing is made my Body. Others will have it, P is transubstantiated into my Body. Others, 9 passes into my Body. Cornelius à Lapide is worth them all, for without mincing the matter, " he makes is first operative, to fignify This is made, 217 Declarative to fignify that, it is fo, in the end of Consecration, and 31y transulfantiative, to signify, that this substance of Bread is transubstantiated and converted into my Body. In the first, this signifies litle or nothing. In the second, it signifies the Body of Christ. In the third, it fignifies the Bread. Others are ashamed of all this, as being 'Figures and manners of speaking never heard of in the World, which is most true. But what they themselves make of this word is comes to the fame. For if it be left to its proper and material institution, which is onely to fignify existence, and teing

and

ir

d

0

it

T

no

be

th

th

bei

it

fai

be

pe

25

ma

Tr

the

wh

Par

n Vasquez. Disp. 101. c. 1, 2, 3, 4. Catharin. De verbis quib. & c. Tratt.
2. o Soto in 4. Sent. Dist. 11. Wideford against Wicf. ad Art. 1. q Bonavent. 4. Sent. d. 8. t Cornel. à Lap. 1. Carinik. 6, 11. pag. 272. f Bell. de Euchar. l. 1. c. 10. Par. Secundo Cons.

and not any operation or conversion at all; it cannot operate Transubstantiation, or Conversion. this is among 'Mass Priests a known certain maxim, that the words of Confecration, namely, This is my Body, are operative and practical by their fignification, and not otherwise. Now the word is fignifies turning or conversion, neither formally and exprefly, as it appears; nor virtually, that is, by any implicite necessity: neither if you take this, as they do, for that which is contained under this, since the Body of Christ can be contained under the accidents of Bread, without Transubstantiation, as well as with it: Therefore of necessity they must either part with Transubstantiation, as not fignifyed, and therefore not wrought by these words, (which to them would be a fad parting ) or have it be fignified by is, which they confess to be a Figure never heard of. But let them take this is after their own mind, for a substantial being; yet will this be worse then a Figure, for either it will be an untruth, or at least a signification never fancied, or heard of in any case like this; viz. when a Sacrament, or a Memorial, or an Image, is faid to be the very Thing which it represents, unless it appears otherwise to be also a containing Vessel, as well as a representing Sacrament, which here it doth not.

The other part of the bleffed Eucharist is by Roman Priests dragged towards their Mass with as many and the same Tortures, or extraordinary Tropes, and with these besides and above.

1. It is a most unusual expression to say, as they do, that Christs Blood is shed or poured out, when it remains all in his Veins.

2. And

0

b

is

C-

e-

s,

ng

ut

y-

th

es

n.

ny

is

is

oto

or

pe-

fi-

nd

nce

my

In

the

all

ver

nat

the

in-

ing

Bo-

Bell.

ınd

t Sugrez Disp. 58. Seet. 4. Par. Ad hanc. Vasquez. Disp. 202. c. 2. Par. Mihi igitur. " Bell. de Miss. l. 1. c. 12. Par. Præter illa.

2. And that it is really poured out under \* any other then its own outward form or shape: just as if a mad man should complain that all his Bones were found in his skin, but most pitifully broken under his Cloak.

3. That 2 this way of pouring out blood, and breaking bones, is both properly and visibly Sa-

crificing.

4. To omit intelligible and usual Figures (as when S. Luke 22. 20. and S. Paul, 1 Cor. 11. 25. call the Wine, Cup; and say that this Wine, or Cup of Wine is the Covenant, that is, the Sacrament of the Covenant) this is a pretty odde one, which some of them do a take for a very proper expression. This Cup is my Blood, that is, after their Interpretation, my Blood in this Cup; as if a Cup that is in the Wine, and the Wine that is in the Cup, were all one to

fignifie a Cup of Wine.

5. What follows is a great deal worse. This Cup is the New Covenant in my Blood, that is, this Blood (for so they take the Cup to be) is in my Blood. Cardinal Bellarmin hopes to shift off this Impertinency, with this distinction, b that the Cup signifies the Blood shed at the last Supper; and the other Blood, that which was to be shed upon the Cross: Which is first such an equivocation, as none but a Jesuit would invent; and when 'tis invented, sew could uncypher. 2. It is a notorious contradicting of himself, who in another place refers 'this Blood shed, to this of the Cup, thereby to countenance his Mass Sacrifice: and here refers the same to that of the Cross

thereby

di

is

O

in B

is

an

ne

x Bell. ibid. Par. Ad fecundum. z Bell. ibid. Par. Præterea sub finem. z Becan. de Euchar. q. 5. Par. Respondeo primo. b 24. Bell. de Euch. l. 1. c. 11. Par. Ad quartam dico. c Bell. de Miss. l. 1. c. 12. Par. Tertio, quia Lucas.

thereby to fave his Transubstantiation: like that wise Man in Platarch, who taught a Parrot to sing, God save Augustus, or God save Antony, according as the success of the Battel, and his occasions should require. 3. It is a strange Figure, if not rather folly, to make a thing not seen, as the Blood in the Cup, a representation of any thing that is seen, as the Blood was upon the Cross.

6. The like Impertinency of Language appears in their Interpreting the Cup of Blessing, and the Bread which he break, &c. to this sense, The Blood of Christ is the Communion, or Communication of the Blood of Christ. And that which seems to be Bread, and is not, but is the real Body of Christ, which we break by no means, but really offer to God, is the Communication of the Body of Christ. This Roman literal sense includes three notable Figures: 1. A Contradiction to the Text; for the Bread is not broken at all, as they take it. 2. An untruth; for the Body is not the Communion of the Body. 3. A Battologie, or impertinent Repetition; the Blood, is the Blood; the Body, the Body.

7. To blanch somewhat these black Figure, some other Roman Interpreters take the Cup for drinking, and the Bread which we break, for eating the Body which we do not break, but Sacrifice; which is somewhat beyond any Poëtical License.

8. The very Eating and Drinking Christs Body and Blood, is by their own confession fextraordinary, and stropical: for to eat Bread or Flesh properly and without a Figure, is not only to get it down

the

1

f

f

is

1,

e,

0

up

od

r-

y, he

d,

ch

ait

ın-

If,

nis

ri-

ſs,

em.

uch.

cr-

by

A Bell. de Euch. l. 1. c. 12. Par. Secundum Argumentum. e Cornel. & Lapide, 1 Cor. 10. f Bell. de Euchar. l. 1. c. 7. Par. Ad primum Argumentum. g Vasq. Disp. 193. Par. Deinde cum prædictis.

the throat, as a Pill, which is swallowed and not eaten; but to tast and chew, and by little and little convey it into the stomack. Drinking likewise hath something proper to distinguish it self from eating, which they visibly confound, and by an unnatural Figure, make eating and drinking to be all one.

Nevertheless after all this, the Roman Priests, clogged as they are most prodigiously with these many and strange Figures, dare laugh at us for having one, and an ordinary and easy one; such is their personal Valour. And as to their cause, you must observe, That after they have used their utmost skill, and all both ordinary and extraordinary Tropes and Tortures, to force out of the words of our Saviour and his Apostles, any thing that may but nod towards Transubstantiation, or Mass: at last, they themselves are forced to confess, there is nothing in a Canone Biblico, that is, in the whole Bible, to their purpose: And after some wondring i why the Church would fasten this difficult and intricate fense, upon words, which otherwise might be eafily understood; at last they fall like Fanaticks to Revelations, and fay, That k the same holy Ghost who hath revealed all Scriptures, hath also revealed such Interpretations to the Church, although it was a good long while after the Institution of this Sacrament.

Well then, Whereas the sense of Nature can suggest, that no pious Man will ever think of sacrificing his Saviour, upon less evident Motives, then were those which Abraham had to sacrifice his own Son, that is, such express Orders as evidently come from God, and cannot be interpreted otherwise: And whereas it appears, that Roman

Priests

n

n

th

th I

th

m: W

fic

ma

Sett

h Gabr. Biel. Lett. 40. i Id. Lett. 41. G. k Id. Lett. 41. I.

Priests, instead of fuch demonstrations, have scarce fo much as a shadow of any probable Conjecture; this bold and stupendous Attempt, which they call Mass, without any farther dispute, falls by its own weight to the ground, unless they support it upon this Fanatick account, (as certainly Papists are in this Age the first and Primitive Quakers, not only in the setting up their Orders, as Dr. Stilling fleet hath shewed already, but also in their most fundamental and conspicuous Doctrines, as he, and any man, though of less abilities, could easily shew) That their Church had her best Revelations, during those ages in which she had the least learning: And that Pope Nicolas the fecond was moved with an excellent Spirit, when in the presence of his 1300 Bishops, he forced Berengarius to Blaspheme, that besides the Sacrament, the true Body of Christ was really broken by the hands of the Priests, and bruised by the teeth of Communicants. It is true, Papists seem now somewhat ashamed of this Doctrine: but however, they must insist upon new Revelations, without which, neither Mass nor Transubstantiation can be (as they m confess) demonstrated out of Scripture.

e

r

t

1,

25

ır

ut

ſt,

0-

le,

hy

ite

ea-

to

aho

uch

rood

can

fa-

es,

his

ent-

1 0-

man

iests

Here therefore I undertake to demonstrate, that the Revelation of their Spirit is false; by this token, that it is against the plain Revelation of Scripture. I prove it to be against Scripture, because it is against these words, This is my Body: and, to see how far men may be deluded sometimes by an empty sound of Words, when they do not attend their proper signification; though all other Scriptures were silent, I'll maintain that this one saying of Christ, This is my Bo-

Moscous 4. Dift. 1. q. 3. Par. Ad Argumentum. Gabr. Biel, in Can. Led. 40 Occham. de Corpore Christi. c. 3. Bell. de Euchar. l. 3. 6.13. Suarez. Disp. 5 a. Set. 1. Par. Dico ergo.

dy, contains as many infallible Reasons, as essential Words, to destroy both Transubstantiation & Mass.

The first Demonstration appears in the first word, This; which evidently relates to what our Saviour had in his hand, when he faid, This, & therefore fignifies as much, as if he had faid, This Bread. I therefore reason thus: That which is substantial Bread, is not substantially the Lords Body; but that which Christ hath in his hand, and gives to his Disciples, when he fays, This, is true substantial Bread: Therefore it cannot be substantially the Lords Body, but by refemblance only. Of these two Propositions, the first is confessed by all Parties to be true; infomuch, that Bellarmin acknowledgeth, . that if of two different things, as Bread and Body, one can be faid to be the other, we might say Darknes is Light, & Christ is Belial. The second Proposition, namely, That what Christ had in his hand, when he said, This, was Bread; and therefore this denotes the Bread, and is this Bread, I make it evident by these four waies.

1. By the very Evidence of the Words: Christ takes Bread, blesseth it, breaks it, gives it, and then says, take, this is, doubtless the thing he had takes to blessed, &c. and that was Bread. To which they have nothing to say but that between taking and giving, comes in Blessing, which they say, changes to Bread: as if one should say, He struck Peter, killed him, and then buried him: hence to prove, that he buried him alive, because he was alive when he struck him. Thus they make Blessing to be to the Bread, what killing is to a man alive: whereas it is evident that Blessing, especially that of Christ, makes

every and

77

Cá

te

Ci

or

ca

the

Bo

Br Br

hic

ing

Me

Fancenius in Coucord. c. 131. pag. 900. Suarez. Difp. 58. fest. 7. Par. Prima sententia. Alams de Euchar. l. 1. c. 23. Vasquez. Difp. 201. c. 1. Par. Cum superiori. o Bell. de Euchar. l. 3. c. 19. Par. Sed has nullo. p Bell. de Euchar. l. 1. c. 11. Ad ultimum.

,

r

is

h

S,

e.

ut

ne

h,

r-

be

is

at

as

nd

es.

rift

nen

Cen

ney

and

ges

kil-

hat

he

the

t is

kes Par

IIMI

every thing better, and killing, worse. However, as one may say, He struck Peter, killed him, & buried him: therefore he buried Peter, not alive, as he was when he struck him, but dead, as he was after he had killed him; so one may say, Christ took Bread, blessed it, and gave it to his Disciples: Therefore he gave them Bread, not such as it was when he took it, for it was but ordinary Bread; but such as it was after he had blessed it, that is, much better. Thus my Demonstration is true, as long as Christs Blessing is a Blessing: And Roman Priess are put to hard shifts, since their Mass cannot stand, unless they can prove that Life is Death, and Blessing a destroying Curse.

2. By the Interpretation of St. Paul, 1 Cor. 10.16. who explains these words, This is my Body, by this Paraphrase, The Bread which we break, is the Communion of the Lords Body: And after Consecration, calls it constantly Bread, c. 11. 28, 29. The same Interpretation justifies the sense of, This is my Blood, that is, as St. Paul and St. Luke take it, This Cup.

3. By the unanimous consent of Holy Fathers, who either in express terms interpret This q of the Bread, or most evidently presuppose it, whensoever they call the Holy Communion, as they do commonly, by the names of Types, Antitypes, Images, &c. of the Body and Blood of Christ: for neither Accidents of Bread can properly represent any other thing than Bread: Nor the Body of Christ, which they say lies hidden and invisible under those Accidents, can, being hidden and invisible, be the Representation, or Memorial, or Type, or Sacrament of any thing.

Pari o S. Iren. l. 4. c. 3 2. sub fin. Tertul. adv. Judaos. c. 1 1. pag. 2 2 2. Edit. Ricc. 1. galt. S. Cyprian. l. 1. Ep. 6. pag. 4 1. Edit. Lugd. 1 5 3 7. Origen. in Matth p Bell. Tract. 3 5. S. Cyrill. Hierof. Catech. Mystag. 3. & 4. S. Chrysoft. 1 Corc. 10. v. 17. Hom. 2 4. S. Theodoret. Dialog. 1. pag. 18. Edit. Paris. Fa. very mad. Horm. 6 9. 6. ult.

4. By the very Confession of many Roman Priests, who fome purposely, 'as Bonaventure, and some, when they do forget their Cause, being dazled with clear truth, as Bellarmin and others fay, That when one shews a thing as it were with his finger, as our Saviour did when He uttered these words, presenting Bread withal, and saying, Take, this is, &c. it were a silly thing to take it for any thing else than Bread. And disputing against Luther, The Lord, fays he, took Bread and blessed it, and gave it to His Disciples, & Said of it, This is my Body: therefore He took the Bread, He bleffed the Bread, He gave them that Bread, and said of the Bread, This is my Body. So untill Roman Priests will agree among themselves what it is that This can fignific besides Bread, and prove, that to bless Bread, is as good as to destroy it (which are distinct terms likely to last till the very end of the World) this first word which they make use of for Transubstantiation, will ftand as a clear Demonstration and Judgement against their Mass.

The second Demonstration appears in the second word is, this is. Which being capable of as many Interpretations, as there are kinds of beings determinable by the different condition of things which they are applied unto; the Question is now, whether it stands here for a substantial, or for a similitudinary & sucramental Being. Hereupon I reason thus. The words of our Saviour must be understood in that sense which they have alwaies, and not in that which they have never; Now this word of our Saviour, is, for, is sacramentally, and by similitude, between a sign, and the Thing signified, (as here the Bread and the Body of Christ) is a sense which it hath alwaies; and

· Bonav. 4. Sens. Diff. 8. (Bell. de Euch. l. 1. c. 11. Par. Hæc Expliacatio. Idem. de Euch. l. 3. c. 19. Par. Primum autem argumentum. Salmer. Traff. 19. pag. 134.

for,

f

h

fe

fi

b

P

for, is effentially, never; therefore is here must be understood, for, is significatively, and sacramentally, and not essentially. The first Proposition is clear; for what madness were it, to put upon words significations which they never had? The second is evident by an universal induction of all languages and speeches, whensoever is stands between any manner of fign, memorial, evidence, &c. and the Thing it represents in any way. Run over all, whether Sacraments or Signs in the Old and New Testament. The Lamb is the Passover. Exod. 12. Circumcision, the Covenant. Gen. 17. the seven kine, seven years, Gen. 41. the Rock, Christ. I Cor. 10. Sarah and Agar, two Covenants. Gal. 4. See St. Matth. 13. Rev. I.&c. Walk in a Gallery, where you may find hundreds of Pi-Ctures or Statues. This is Alexander the Great; and this Constantine, the first Emperor of Christians, &c. Discourse with a Lawyer of Parchmins, Deeds, Evidences. This is the Land you bought of your neighbour, and this is the Estate which I thought to mortgage,&c. Come neerer home among facred figures, and ask Moses, ask foseph, ask the Apostles, what the ears of corn, what the Lambs slain, when I frael went from Egypt, what the Candlestick, what the Stars, Rev. 1. are? None of all these, whether holy or unholy, if not brainless men, have a mind to perswade you, that a brazen statue is effentially a man, or a Parchmin effentially an house; or any sacred, or civil Image, effentially the very thing that it reprefents or makes fure; they mean only to tell you, they are fuch and fuch things by a facramental or fimilitudinary being, grounded upon some Resemblance, as St. Augustin teaches expresly. Roman Priests are the only Masters, who, to set up such Pro-

ne,

th

nen

บา-

ad

ng

ng

nd

bis

be

id,

ee

fie

as

ly

rd

n,

nt

nd

n.

a-

ey it

&

ne

at

ch

is,

n,

1e

ıd

j.

il-

r,

S. August. Ep. 23. ad Bonef.

digies as never came into the thoughts and hearts of men, must impose upon words such new significations, as in the like construction were never found in any language or speech of men. Where nevertheless they will also forget themselves sometimes so far, as to grant this very Truth, that in examples of this kind purposely instituted to signific (as is Bread and Wine in the blessed Communion) is, is the same with signifies, when they are said to be the very

things by them signified.

The third demonstration is taken from the third effential word Body, This is my Body; which neither in the Blessed Sacrament, nor in the pretended Sacrifice, which they call Mass, must appear as living, and glorious, but as flain and broken, and therefore actually dead: and so the Blood likewise as violently shed out of the veins. They cannot deny but "both Sacrament and Sacrifice are ordained to represent it fo: and the words This is my Body, which is given, or broken; and This is my Blood, which is shed, fignific expressy the same. Hence I make this clear Argument; The Body and Blood of Christ are in the Sacrament in the fame way and manner as they are broken and shed. Now the Body & Blood of Christ are not broken and shed in the Sacrament really, (otherwise Transubstantiation would kill Christ) but in a Sacramental Representation only. Therefore, &c. To this Mass Priests make a strange reply, \*that, by the strength of their words of Consecration, the Body is without Blood, & the Blood without the Body. So Blood and Body being fever'd the one from the other, the words would make a real destruction, in order to a real sacrifice, but that the

i

a

li

a

la

1

Vasquez. Disp. 179. e.7. Par. Secunda igitur ven. u Bell. de Euchar. l. 4. 6.21. Par. Sed hac sententia. x Bell. de Miss. 1.c. 27. P. Hac sententia non. itrength

strength of what they call Concomitancy (that is a new device in behalf of Transubstantiation) keeps the Body and Blood still together. By this means Christ in the Priests hands both lies dead by vertue of the Consecrating words, and stands living, by vertue of. this Concomitancy And because it is certain Christ dies no more, the Concomitancy being, in this behalf, stronger than Consecration; these words This is my Body broken, &c. fignifying both what is not, and what they cannot effect, (namely, that the Body is really separated from the Blood) become upon this pretended literal Interpretation, utterly false. Such antipathy hath holy Scripture (as well as right Reason, common sense, and well ordered Nature) against Mass, that the very words which Mass Priests choose to seal and support it, do destroy it: Not unlike that holy ground, whereupon the Temple of ferusalem once stood; which being abused by Jews, towards the dishonouring of Christian Religion, and the founding of another Temple, burst vinto Flames, and threw up every stone which had bin laid against those impious Builders.

## CHAP. XIV.

That Roman Priesthood, as far as it attempts to really offering and sacrificing the Son of God, is a most fearful Sacriledge.

B Esides the many Errors intrinsecal to Mass-sacrisice, which I have already observed: and the many more, and worse, which I have purposely omitted, lest this Book should swell with them into

y Theodores. Eeelaf. Hish. 1.3.c.20. Sozens. 1. 5. c. 22.

too

e 1

2

too great a volume; it appears by what I have already faid, that Mass is a facrifice made of four inseparable and capital Heads. The first is in putting our Saviour to a shameful condition of Death, and therewith exposing him to the hazard both of falling, during that time, into the Power of Devils, and of being made infrumental to their wicked works & fervice. The fecond, in a barbarous opinion, that God the Father is well pleased and honoured by Christians, when his beloved Son is abused in that manner: and that this infamous usage is the supremest degree of worship which can be bestowed upon him. The third is a groffer Idolatry than Pagans were ever guilty of, in worshipping for God, what they do both eat and facrifice, and therefore what is neither God, nor any thing like God. The fourth which now I am to speak of, is in setting up in the holyest Place, and among the holyest mysteries of Christs Church, a facrifice which God never instituted, and invading a Priesthood which God never called Roman Priests to.

This open and prefumptuous Sacriledge is, if not worse than the other three (for nothing can be worse) yet more wretched and dangerous, because God hath in former times declared his detestation against all the degrees of this sin, with more terrible & exemplary vengeances. It is certain, that of all Parts and Duties belonging to Divine worship, Altars and Sacrifices are those which God ever owned most to belong to his special Institution, and ever punisht them most grievously, whosoever durst venture to transgress it. The sin of those barbarous Fathers, who made their Children pass as a facrifice through the Fire, although most abominable in its intrinsecal act, yet is made out more odious by the Prophets

Prophets with this Character, that the Lord never thought, nor spake of it, fer. 7.31. The facrifices on the High Places, though otherwise legal enough as to both Priests and Victims, were abhorred for nothing else, but for being offered in a place which was not of Gods appointing. Nadab & Abibu, fons of Aaron, fell down dead before their Altar, for this one fin, viz. for burning their Oblation with that fire which the Lord had not commanded them, Lev. 10. 1. 12. The wrath of God brake out as fierce against those others, who failed in the lawful calling of Priesthood. Azariah, or Uzziah, was both a King, and one of the good Kings of Judah, 2 Kings 5. 3. yet God fmote him with an incurable Plague, and cut him off both from his House and his Throne all the daies of his life, for once daring to venture upon the Office that he was not confecrated to, of burning Incense in the Temple, 2 Chron. 26. And before him Corab, although the fon of Levi, upon as good a Title as Aaron: and Dathan, and Abiram, though famous men in the Congregation of Ifrael, & besides pretending some title to the Priesthood, wch of course their great Uncle Renben should have had, were together with an hundred and fifty other valiant men, partly burned, partly swallowed up alive by a miraculous Earthquake, for affuming unto themselves that Character which God had conferred on Aaron.

Now the offering of Mass Sacrifices is not one of these sins alone; it is an accumulation of all, and of worse. Aaron with all his priviledges dares not lay hand upon a Kid, nor his Sons meddle with ordinary Fire, in order to a facrifice, without a special command of God; And Roman Priests think it lawful for them to seize upon the Son of God, (so far as

their

their intention can reach, and certainly their guilt reaches as far; ) to lay him upon their Altars: to bind him there hand and foot, and which is worfe, foul and body, in order to an offering, without the least intimation of God. Therefore, if pretending to an holy order, which was but the order of Aaron, is an abomination in Corab, how can invading an holier & higher order, namely, that of Melchi fedek, be in Mass Priests a lesser sin? And if the sacred Person of a good King must be branded with plague and dishonour, for being rash with a censer: what must these men deserve, who commit infinitely worse? It were is vain to fay, that these judgements hapned but once, & therefore were extraordinary; for neither were the Sodomites burnt more than once: nor were all murtherers punisht in old times like Cain: not all facrilegious lyars struck down dead like Sapphira: nor to come nearer this matter, do all Prophaners of the bleffed Eucharith fall fick, or dead fometimes, as they did often in the Apostles, I Cor. 11. 30. Nevertheless Sodomites, or other lewd persons, who are escaped for a time, are still involved in the same guilt, and may expect the same punishment. This being a point of known Divinity, and attested by holy Fathers, that ' Gods way is to affert both the majesty and the holiness of his laws by exemplary punishments on the first trespasfers, that who foever shall fin after their example, may fee both what he deferves, and what fooner or later he must suffer, unless God give him grace to repent. Therefore if between former and later transgressors may be observed some difference, it shall be this, that these must look for worse than those,

S. Chrys. in Pf. 6.pag. 15 49. Edit. Leon. S. Theodor.: In Num. Iner. 30.

for three Reasons; the first, because besides their common transgression, they have neglected, and as it were affronted the Judgements that should have restrained them from transgressing; the second because they sin against the Gospel, which sins are far more punishable : witness the Judge himself, I say unto you, it shall be more tolerable, &c. Math. 11. 22. The 3d, because this boldness against Christ is far worse then against Aaron. From hence you may take a full Prospect of the Church of Rome, from the 2d Lateran Council in the year 1215. (where Transubstantiation was, I do not know how, canonized in the Croud ) to the last times, fince the Council of Trent hath abfolutely compleated Mass, by seating it more formally and more conspicuously then it was before, upon the back of Transubstantiation. Here you may see the Prodigy, which fix hundred years of darkness have at last cast into the World, not behind doors and in corners, where the reprobates of Israel did keep their abominations hidden, Ezekiel. 8. 5. &c. nor onely in the frontifpiece, and as it were the front of Churches, where wretched Uzziah carryed and shewed his Leprosie; but also to the very heart, and most vital entrails of their Temple, whence it diffuses and blows its poifon over all the parts of what they call their Catholick Religion. If Churches stand, if Priests officiate, if Doctors preach, if Bishops rule, if Popes reigne, if People pray, Mass is the beginning and the end; the center, and the foul, that gives motion to all those things. The best character that Priests have, tends to transubstantiate a Wafer into Christ, or to bring Carist within the form of a transubstantiated wafer: and there to keep him close to that place, where

where fome substance of Bread was before, till man or beaft come to eat him. The fludy and learning of Doctors is employed to shew, God is highly pleased, when he sees his Son so well dealt with: that tis no disparagement to him, if he falls into privy houses, or be danced about among witches, or there be fported with fometimes in that way, that no civil man or woman can fo much as fancy without blushing: that either concomitancy, or impassibility may cover all this infamy: and that though some heathen were abominable Idolaters, when they did worship for Gods Mice and Rats, yet Papists are good Chriftians, when they worship for their Saviour, what these vermine do sometimes eat : and the best devotion of poor Souls in the mean while is to go duly to Mais, to see and to adore these mysteries, and to be perswaded withall, that no Body can be faved, without beleiving as they do.

2. It appears by these Impieties, thus generally diffused through all the veines of Roman worship, how far that Church is a true Church. And to this purpose I advise all, whosoever will not be seduced with vain words and empty Titles, to lay by what Rome hath been heretofore : and then impartially to look into what she is in these present times. And least they should reject a Church for some particular abuse (which were not better then to cut off a tree, because of fome few withered Leaves, ) Let them look into what Rome is, by what Mass is; which is no leafe, or branch, but the main femme, and bulk of that Tree. Mass Priests love to adorn their corrupt Church, with all the commendations and honours, that she deserved when she was right: the Scribes did the like with Mofes's Chaire, and Caiphas with Aarons

<sup>2.</sup> See the informations before the Parliament of Normandie recorded as Rean, and fince printed, concerning a Nun by Name Magdalen Bavan.

Robes.

Robes. Thus 'tis no wonder if Scribes and Popes appear to filly men, as great Prelats under that Drefs. But the Illusion will soon disappear by discerning both past, and present states. Desperate old sinners may have had once good Birth and breeding: and without any exception, all lewd Women, have

for some years been pure Virgins.

3. Hence it appears, how extremly dangerous it is to live and dye in the Church of Rome. Neither my commission, nor my temper lead me so far, as to meddle with the eternal damning of men. Such fearful Judgements are still, what Sinai was once, thundring and flaming, and yet dark Mountains: fo, though Moses had set no Railes, I would by no means advance too near. Yet without rashness this I may fay, that they, who are faved in the Roman Church (which, I pray to God, they be many) are not faved with less danger, then the seven thousand were, 1 Kings 19. 18. among the Idolatrie of the ten Tribes: or the Corites Numb. 26. 11. in the Tents of Corab their Father. Neither those were faved, by worshipping the Calves of Dan: nor these, by aspiring to Aarons Priesthood: it was an extraordinary Mercy of God, who preserved those, and, I cannot tell how, rescued the other. However the worshipping in Dan was a damning Idolatrie: and the Sacrilegious Tents of Corah, a desperate fearful abode: out of which though some escaped, as through fire. I Corin. 3. 15. yet it is both a great fin, and no less folly, to venture on extraordinary Mercies by tempting God, and to neglect his express word, who calls us out of all peril, by separating oar selves from that Congregation and abode. Num. 16.21.

I confess it is not every sin, whether taught, or tolerated in a Church, that can justify, much less

inforce

inforce a separation from it : and certainly that man. is barbaroufly churlish, who will forfake a good ancient house, because he finds it not quite clean swept. Our Saviour shewed us a better example, when neither tables of money Changers, nor fond Traditions of Pharisees, could diswade him from going himfelf, and leading his Disciples into the Temple. Christ could pass by all these abuses, when he was fuffered to keep close to the holy Laws of God his Father, that were still taught in Moses his Chair, and to his holy Sacrifices, that were still offered on Aarons Altar. But when the case was otherwise; as when the Altar of God was lay'd afide, and that of Abaz, put in its place, 2 Kings 16. 10. or when 2 Antiochus left indeed the Lords Altar where it was, but build thereon another for the service of a strange God: or when the Roman Emperour Adrian b built a new Temple upon the foundation of the old, for the worship of Jupiter; then no sincere Israelite was to be such a fond lover of the honours and Priviledges bestowed on Ferusalem and the old Temple, as to be therewith inveigled to the worshipping of a new God. Now the Sacrifice of Mass alone heaps these three cases together, and so may frighten worse true Christians from the Roman Church, then either Ahaz, or Adrian might have frighted true Ifraelites from the Temple. I say worse, because neither the Priviledges bestowed on the best days of the Roman Church, ever were so considerable : nor the new fervice of Ahaz, Antiochus, and Adrian usher'd in upon the ancient credit of Jerusalem, more abominable. First as to Ahaz his Case; the Altars of the Roman Church are far more contrary to the holiness and will of God, in order to fuch an Attempt, as the

facrificing

<sup>\*</sup> Foseph. Antiquit. L. 12. c. 7. . Dio. 69.

facrificing of his Son; then were all the Altars of Damascus, in order to the Sacrifices and Oblations of Israel. And we do read that neither Ahaz, nor Urijah ever taught any worshipper this blasphemy, that their Altar had a Priviledge (which Roman Altars often have) of making Sacrifices of Beasts, much less or Christ, more beneficial then Gods Institution

makes them to be upon any other Altar.

Secondly, as to the Abomination of Antiochus and Adrian, it is a fad spectacle to see Mass Service so far beyond it. I do not use Hyperboles, or excess of expression in such odious matters as these. It is most true, that the everlafting Sacrifice and Altar of Jefus Ch is made by Roman Catholicks, a Foundation and Countenance to worse purposes, then ever was the worst Pagan Idolatrie. Once it was a great sin of Roman Pagans to worship Devils in the Temple and upon the Altars of God, but now far greater in Roman Catholicks, to abuse Christ in his own Church. It is bad enough for a Son to feed at home the worst enemies of his Father: but its infinitely more barbarous for him to abuse the Father himself in his own house, and at his table. And let Mass Priests say now whether this is not exactly true; that, whereas the law thinks it an unkindness to choak and boile a Lamb, with that milk, that should have fed him: and whereas common reason cannot but judge it an unnatural impiety, to abuse God in that very Temple and with those holy utenfils, that were consecrated to honor him in; they do make use at every Mass, of the holy words of Scripture, and of the almighty power of God, to bring down his dear Son as a Victime on their Altars. Let them fay whether it be not true that in order to a Sacrifice, they hold him there Body and Soul in the condition of a dead

dead man. Then when they have thus deprived him, of all actual use of life and strength; let them answer, whether in this fad equipage they do not give him up fometimes to be eaten by mad men, or men they think possess with Devils? Thus the holy table of the Lord confecrated to the praising of his holy Name, and to the Sacramental distributing of his mercies, is made an Altar and Stage for all these tragicals Acts against him. It is true; nothing of all this can be put to real execution: but however it is done by them, as much as their utmost power, and earnest intention can do it: and therefore it is as impious and as cruel, being thus intended, as if it were really done. To compleat all absurdity, and to make a Cloak for it of some fig leaves; God and his Christ, they fay, are best served on Earth, when they are thus served in their Churches. And when, as another good excuse, they alledge that Christ suffers no wrong, for being trussed up into Wafer, and there deprived of actual life and motion; because he enjoys in the mean while alwaies his glorious Being in Heaven: I may as probably answer, that he enjoyes no kind of glory in Heaven, because he lyes still under a weak and pittiful condition upon the earth: feing especial-ly that he enjoyes but one glorious being in one Place, which is the right hand of his Father : and for this one happy and good being, he fuffers twenty thousand shameful others, in twenty thousand others Places, where he must lye as really as he doth stand any where else, under the disposal of a Priest, or of a Witch, or of a Devil. The Lord felus be eternally praised, who hath rescued some Churches from embracing such Abominations for Gospel, and hath not given over his whole Christian Israel to follow without refervation the worship of Jeroboam.

FIMIS.

43327